# Questions About Finiteness of Shafarevich-Tate Groups of Higher Rank Elliptic Curves

Wei Ho William Stein

August 25, 2011

#### Abstract

### 1 Introduction

**Conjecture 1.1.** There are infinitely many pairs (E, p) with E an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  has rank  $\geq 2$ , and the p-primary part  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$  of  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  finite.

We will make use of the following two unproved conjectures, which are consequences of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.2** (Parity Conjecture). The parity of the rank of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  is even if and only if the sign in the functional equation for L(E, s) is +1.

**Conjecture 1.3** (Squareness). For any prime p, the order of  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p]$  is a perfect square.

**Remark 1.4.** Conjecture 1.3 is implied by finiteness of  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(p)$ , because of the Cassels-Tate pairing. However, it is an a priori weaker statement, since we could have  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \approx (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$ , in which case  $\#\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[p] = p^2$ , but  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  is not finite. Conjecture 1.3 is still very difficult; we only know it holds for curves with  $\operatorname{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) \leq 1$  and for specific examples of curves of rank  $\geq 2$ .

We prove Conjecture 1.1, assuming either Conjecture 1.2 or Conjecture 1.3. More specifically, we can prove the following three statements:

#### Theorem 1.5.

- 1. Assuming Conjecture 1.2 or Conjecture 1.3 for p = 2. Then there are infinitely many elliptic curves of rank exactly 2 with  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(2) = 0$ .
- 2. Same as 2, with p = 2 replaced by p = 3 (in both the hypothesis and conclusion).

3. Same as 2, with rank exactly 2 replaced by rank exactly 3.

We may also relax the assumptions somewhat; we only need the assumptions for curves in the families we use for these average Selmer results ( $\mathcal{F}_1$ , elliptic curves with one marked point, and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , elliptic curves with two marked points).

# 2 Proofs

*Proof of part of Theorem 1.5.* We prove 2. The arguments for the other two are identical, using the appropriate Selmer averages.

In the family  $\mathcal{F}_1$  of elliptic curves with one marked point, we use congruence conditions to construct a positive density family  $\mathcal{F}$  such that half have root number +1 and half have root number -1. By [], the average cardinality of the 2-Selmer group in this family is 6. (This average cardinality result is a key new input that makes it possible to prove this theorem.)

Dokchitsers' result [DD09] states that the root number gives the parity of the *p*-Selmer rank, i.e., root number +1 means even *p*-Selmer rank. We can ensure that our curves satisfy the hypothesis of Dokchiters (explain how). So in this case, half of the family has even 2-Selmer rank and half has odd. (This is another key nontrivial new input that makes this result possible.)

Let  $p_i$  denote the proportion of curves in  $\mathcal{F}$  with 2-Selmer rank *i*. We know (shown elsewhere) that  $p_0 = 0$  (this is a statement about specialization of rank for curves in a family). We also have

$$1/2 = p_1 + p_3 + p_5 + \cdots$$

and

$$1/2 = p_2 + p_4 + p_6 + \cdots$$

Thus

$$6 = 2p_1 + 4p_2 + 8p_3 + 16p_4 + \cdots$$

If  $p_2 = 0$ , then we would have

$$6 = 2p_1 + 8p_3 + 16p_4 + \dots \ge 2 \cdot 1/2 + 16 \cdot 1/2 = 9,$$

which is a contradiction. So  $p_2 > 0$ , i.e., a positive proportion of curves in  $\mathbb{F}$  have 2-Selmer rank 2 and root number +1. (In fact, we have that  $p_2 \ge 1/4$ .)

Since 100% of curves in  $\mathbb{F}$  have rank  $\geq 1$  (as mentioned above), Conjecture 1.2 implies that 100% of curves with 2-Selmer rank 2 and root number +1 (so  $p_2$  proportion of  $\mathbb{F}$ ) must have algebraic rank 2, hence for these curves  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2] = 0$ .

Alternatively, assuming instead that  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2]$  is a square also implies that 100% of curves with 2-Selmer rank 2 in  $\mathcal{F}$  must have algebraic rank 2 and trivial  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2]$ .

Clearly (and this time it really is "clearly"!) trivial  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[2]$  implies trivial  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(2)$ , and a group of order 1 is finite! So in fact, we have produced a fairly large proportion of curves (out of all curves of rank at least 1) with rank 2 and finite  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(2)$ .

### **3** Other related thoughts and questions

- 1. It seems unlikely that we could remove the conditional assumptions from Theorem 1.5, since then we would have produced a lot of rank 2 curves without knowing where the second point is coming from.
- 2. Can we vary p instead of the elliptic curve? Probably not, with these sorts of techniques.
- 3. Can we parametrize smaller families, e.g., the one y(y+1) = x(x-1)(x+a), in order to get Selmer average results for them?
- 4. Can we parametrize families of elliptic curves where the root number is always +1 or -1?
- 5. Question: are we also (conditionally) showing that for a positive proportion of all elliptic curves with rank  $\geq 2$  that  $\operatorname{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})(6) = 0$ ? That would be **extremely surprising** (at least, to me), even conditionally.

# References

[DD09] T. Dokchitser and V. Dokchitser, Root numbers and parity of ranks of elliptic curves, http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.1815.