

ON THE STRUCTURE OF SHAFAREVICH-TATE GROUPS

V. A. Kolyvagin

Steklov Mathematical Institute, 117966, Moscow, GSP-1,
Vavilova St. 42, USSR.

Let E be a Weil elliptic curve over the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} . Note that, according to the Weil-Taniyama conjecture, every elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} is a Weil curve. Let R be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} and $E(R)$ the group of points of E over R . According to the Mordell-Weil theorem, $E(R)$ is a finitely generated (abelian) group, that is, $E(R)_{\text{tor}}$ is finite and $E(R) \simeq E(R)_{\text{tor}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(R,E)}$, where $0 \leq g(R, E) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the rank of E over R . Let $L(E, R, s)$ denote the L-function of E over R (which is defined modulo the product of a finite number of Euler factors). According to the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (which we abbreviate as BS), $g(R, E)$ is the order of the zero of $L(E, R, s)$ at $s = 1$.

Another important arithmetic invariant of E is the Shafarevich-Tate group of E over R :

$$\text{III}(R, E) = \ker (H^1(R, E) \longrightarrow \prod_v H^1(R(v), E))$$

(v runs through the set of all places of R ; see the section on notation at the end of the introduction). It is known (the weak Mordell-Weil theorem) that $\text{III}(R, E)$ is a periodic group and for all natural M its subgroup $\text{III}_M(R, E)$ of M -periodic elements is finite.

It is conjectured that $\text{III}(R, E)$ is finite. In that case, BS suggests an expression for the order of $\text{III}(R, E)$ as a product of $L(g(R, E))(E, R, 1)$ and some other nonzero values connected with E (for examples, see (1) in [1] for the case $R = \mathbb{Q}$, and see Theorem B below). Let $[\text{III}(R, E)]^?$ denote the hypothetical order of $\text{III}(R, E)$; then, according to BS, we have the equality $[\text{III}(R, E)] = [\text{III}(R, E)]^?$.

For a long time, no examples of E and R were known where $\text{III}(R, E)$ is finite. Only recently, Rubin [2] proved that $\text{III}(R, E)$ is finite if E has complex multiplication, R is the field of complex multiplication, and $L(E, \mathbb{Q}, 1) \neq 0$; the author [1], [3], [4] proved finiteness of III for some family (see below) of Weil curves and imaginary quadratic extensions of \mathbb{Q} . For a more detailed exposition of these methods, results, and examples, see the introductions to [1] and [4].

We now state some results [4] from which we begin the study of III in this article.

Let N be the conductor of E and $\gamma: X_N \longrightarrow E$ a Weil parameterization. Here X_N is the modular curve over \mathbb{Q} which parameterizes isomorphism classes

of isogenies $E' \rightarrow E''$ of elliptic curves with cyclic kernel of order N .

The field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ has discriminant D satisfying $0 > D \equiv \text{square} \pmod{4N}$, where $D \neq -3$ or -4 . Fix an ideal i_1 of the ring of integers O_1 of K for which $O_1/i_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/N$. If $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$, let K_λ be the ring class field of K with conductor λ . In particular, K_1 is the maximal abelian unramified extension of K . If $(\lambda, N) = 1$, $O_\lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \lambda O_1$, and $i_\lambda = i_1 \cap O_\lambda$, let z_λ denote the point of X_N over K_λ corresponding to the isogeny $\mathbb{C}/O_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/i_\lambda^{-1}$ (here $i_\lambda^{-1} \supset O_\lambda$ is the inverse of i_λ in the group of proper O_λ -ideals). Set $y_\lambda = \gamma(z_\lambda) \in E(K_\lambda)$; the point P_1 is the norm of y_1 from K_1 to K . The points y_λ and P_1 are called Heegner points.

Let $\mathcal{O} = \text{End}(E)$ and $Q = \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Let l be a rational prime, $T = \varprojlim_1 E_{l^n}$ the Tate module, and $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l$. Let $B(E)$ denote the set of odd rational primes which do not divide the discriminant of \mathcal{O} and for which the natural representation $\rho: G(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{O}}} T$ is surjective. It is known (from the theory of complex multiplication and Serre theory) that the set of primes not belonging to $B(E)$ is finite. Moreover, according to the Mazur theorem, if $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$ and N is square-free, then all $l \geq 11$ belong to $B(E)$.

If the point P_1 has infinite order, (that is, $P_1 \notin E(K)_{\text{tor}}$) and $g(K, E) = 1$, let C_K denote the integer $[E(K)/\mathbb{Z}P_1]$. The author proved the following theorem in [4].

THEOREM A. *Suppose that P_1 has infinite order. Then $g(K, E) = 1$, the group $\text{III}(K, E)$ is finite, and $[\text{III}(K, E)]$ divides dC_K^2 , where for all $l \in B(E)$ we have $\text{ord}_l d = 0$.*

In Theorem A, d is an integer which depends upon E but not upon K . The application of Theorem A to BS is clear from the following result of Gross and Zagier [5] for $(D, 2N) = 1$.

THEOREM B. *The function $L(E, K, s)$ vanishes at $s = 1$. The point P_1 has infinite order $\Leftrightarrow L'(E, K, 1) \neq 0$. If P_1 has infinite order, then the conjecture that the group $\text{III}(K, E)$ is finite and BS for E over K , together, are equivalent to the following statement: $g(K, E) = 1$, $\text{III}(K, E)$ is finite, and $[\text{III}(K, E)] = (C_K / (c \prod_{q|N} b\langle q \rangle))^2$.*

In theorem B, the integer c is defined in terms of the parameterization γ (cf. [5]), and the integer $b\langle q \rangle$, where $q|N$ is prime, is the index in $E(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ of the subgroup of points which have nonsingular reduction modulo q .

Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$, where $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be the canonical L-series of E. It converges absolutely for $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$ and has an analytical continuation to an entire function of the complex argument. Let $L(E, s)$ denote this function; it is the canonical L-function over \mathbb{Q} of the elliptic curve E. The function

$$\Xi(E, s) = (2\pi)^{-s} N^{s/2} \Gamma(s) L(E, s)$$

satisfies the following functional equation:

$$\Xi(E, 2 - s) = (-\varepsilon) \Xi(E, s),$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(E)$ is equal to 1 or -1.

Fix a prime $l \in B(E)$. Let $n(p) = \text{ord}_l(p + 1, a_p)$, where p is a rational prime. Hereafter in this article we use the notation p or p_k , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, only for rational primes which do not divide N , remain prime in K , and for which $n(p) > 0$. If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let Λ^r denote the set of all products of r distinct such primes. The set Λ^0 contains only $p_0 \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 1$, and $\Lambda = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} \Lambda^r$. If $r > 0$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^r$, let $n(\lambda)$

denote $\min n(p)$; then $M_\lambda = l^{n(\lambda)}$ and $n(1) = \infty$. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $1 \leq n \leq n(\lambda)$,

and $M = l^{\frac{p|\lambda}{n}}$. In [4], we constructed some cohomology classes $\tau_{\lambda, n} \in H^1(K, E_M)$ which played a central role in the proof of Theorem A.

If R is an extension of \mathbb{Q} , then the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_M \longrightarrow E(\bar{R}) \xrightarrow{\times M} E(\bar{R}) \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E(R)/M \longrightarrow H^1(R, E_M) \longrightarrow H^1(R, E)_M \longrightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

If R/L is a Galois extension, then

$$\text{res}_{R/L}: H^1(L, E_M) \longrightarrow H^1(R, E_M)^{G(R/L)}$$

is the restriction homomorphism, which is an isomorphism when the l -component of the torsion part of $E(R)$ is trivial (because of the spectral sequence). It is easily seen that the condition $l \in B(E)$ leads to the triviality of the l -component of the torsion subgroup of $E(K_\lambda)$ (cf. [6] for the case $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$; the case $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathbb{Z}$ can be considered analogously). In particular, the value $\text{res}_{K_\lambda/K}$ completely determines the element $\tau_{\lambda, n}$. We now give an expression for this value. We use the standard facts about ring class fields (which follow from Galois theory and class field theory, cf. §1 in [3]). If $1 < \lambda \in \Lambda$, then the natural homomorphism

$G(K_\lambda/K_1) \longrightarrow \prod_{p|\lambda} G(K_p/K_1)$ is an isomorphism, and we also have the isomorphisms $G(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p}) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(K_p/K_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/(p+1)$. For all p , fix a generator $t_p \in G(K_p/K_1)$ and let t_p also denote the generator of $G(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p})$ corresponding to this t_p . Let $I_p = -\sum_{j=1}^p jt_p^j$ and $I_\lambda = \prod_{p|\lambda} I_p \in \mathbb{Z}[G(K_\lambda/K_1)]$.

Let K be the composite of the K_λ , where λ' runs through the set Λ . Let J_λ denote $\sum \bar{g}$, where g runs through a set of fixed representatives of $G(K/K)$ with respect to $G(K/K_1)$ and \bar{g} is the restriction of g to K_λ ; thus $\{\bar{g}\}$ is the set of representatives of $G(K_\lambda/K)$ with respect to $G(K_\lambda/K_1)$. Let $P_\lambda = \sum J_\lambda I_\lambda y_\lambda \in E(K_\lambda)$. Then

$$\text{res}_{K_\lambda/K}(\tau_{\lambda,n}) = P_\lambda \pmod{ME(K_\lambda)}. \tag{2}$$

Suppose, further, that P_1 has infinite order. Let X denote the 1-component of $\prod \prod (K, E)$. Let $m_0 = \text{ord}_1 C_K$. As a consequence of Theorem A, we have the relation $[X] | 1^{2m_0}$. A natural development of the technique of using the classes $\tau_{1,n}$ is a complete description of the structure of X in terms of the Heegner points. I announced this result in [4] (as an analogue of a similar theorem in [4] for ideal class groups). In particular, the proof is given in this article. Now we shall formulate the theorem.

We have a bijective correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of finite abelian 1-groups and the set of sequences of nonnegative integers $\{n_i\}$ such that $i \geq 1$, $n_i \geq n_{i+1}$, and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} n_i = 0$.

Concretely, the sequence $\{n_i\}$ corresponds to the group $\sum_i \mathbb{Z}/1^{n_i}$. The sequence corresponding to a group A is called the sequence of invariants of A . If Σ is a group of order 2 with generator σ and A is a $\mathbb{Z}_1[\Sigma]$ -module, then for $\nu = 0$ or $\nu = 1$ let A^ν denote the submodule $(1 - (-1)^\nu \sigma)A$. Then A is the direct sum of A^0 and A^1 and σ acts on A^ν as multiplication by $(-1)^{\nu-1} \epsilon$. Let $\{x_1^\nu\}$ be the sequence of invariants of X^ν . If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\nu(r)$ denote the element from the set $\{0, 1\}$ such that $r - \nu(r) - 1$ is an even integer. Let $(r, \nu) = r - |\nu - \nu(r)|$. Let $m'(\lambda)$ be the exponent of the highest power of 1 which divides P_λ in $E(K_\lambda)$. Define $m(\lambda)$ as $m'(\lambda)$ if $m'(\lambda) < n(\lambda)$, and as ∞ otherwise. Let $m_r = \min m(\lambda)$, where λ runs through

Λ^r . In particular, m_0 is as previously defined, since $E(K)_{1^\infty} = 0$. We have the following theorem.

THEOREM C. *The sequence $\{m_r\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers such that $m_r \geq m_{r+1}$. If $\nu = 0$ or $\nu = 1$ and $r \geq 1 + \nu$, then we have the equality $x_{r-\nu}^\nu = m_{(r,\nu)-1} - m_{(r,\nu)}$.*

Let m denote $\min_{r \geq 0} m_r$, where λ runs through Λ , that is, $m = \min_{r \geq 0} m_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m_r$. Obviously, the next theorem follows from Theorem C.

THEOREM D. $[X] = 1^{2m_0 - 2m}$.

By combining Theorem D with Theorems A and B, we obtain Theorem E.

THEOREM E. *The equality $\text{ord}_1[X] = \text{ord}_1[\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (K, E)]^?$ (the 1-component of BS) holds $\Leftrightarrow m = m^? \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \text{ord}_1(c \prod_{q|N} b \langle q \rangle)$. In particular, if $m^? = 0$, \Leftrightarrow there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $P_\lambda \notin 1E(K_\lambda)$.*

Theorem C is a corollary of the more detailed Theorem 1 in §3. As I noted in [4], the classes $\tau_{\lambda,n}$ can generate elements in X ; see the introduction to [4] for the simplest examples. Thus, we have the material from which to construct elements in X . On the other hand, the orthogonality relation (from class field theory) between the elements of $H^1(K, E_M)$ and $\tau_{\lambda,n}$ (cf. (15) in §2) restricts the size of X . The Chebotarev density theorem plays an important role as well.

In §3 we also obtain a description of the structure of X and its dual group in terms of a special system of primes p , and connected with it a system of p -adic characters and the elements $\tau_{\lambda,n}$; cf. (33) and (38). On this basis we obtain, under the assumptions that $m = m^?$ and that it is possible to effectively calculate the coordinates of $P_\lambda \pmod{p}$ (this possibility can, it seems, be easily demonstrated), a description of the structure of X , a parameterization of X by $\tau_{\lambda,n}$, and a parameterization of its dual group by p -adic characters. If the inequality $m \geq m^?$ holds (in particular, if $m^? = 0$), the corresponding scheme of calculations can be used simultaneously for the proof of the equality $m = m^?$, which holds \Leftrightarrow such a program is effective. See the end of §3 for some applications to an effective solution of the problem when a curve of genus 1 has a rational point.

In the case of ideal class groups, we have an analogue of the equality $m = m^?$ (from the analytical formula for the ideal class number), and the

localization of an analogue of $\tau_{\lambda,n}$ can be effectively calculated. Thus, we have an effective description of some ideal class groups by means of the analogues of $\tau_{\lambda,n}$ (the effective version of Theorem 7 in [4]). We shall discuss these questions in detail in the next article.

We now list some general notation used in this article. If A is an abelian group and M is a natural number, then A_M and A/M denote the kernel and cokernel, respectively, of multiplication by M . If L is a field, then \bar{L} denotes its algebraic closure. If R/L is a Galois extension, then $G(R/L)$ denotes the Galois group of R over L . We shall abbreviate $H^1(G(\bar{L}/L), A)$ as $H^1(L, A)$, where A is a $G(\bar{L}/L)$ -module. If O is a commutative ring with 1, then O^* denotes its subgroup of invertible elements.

If R is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} and v is a place (a class of equivalent valuations) of R , then $R(v)$ denotes the corresponding completion of R . If $\tau \in H^1(R, A)$, then $\tau(v) \in H^1(R(v), A)$ denotes the v -localization of τ .

For all $p \in \Lambda^1$, fix a place \mathfrak{p} of \bar{K} which divides p . Let $\bar{K}(\mathfrak{p}) \approx \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the union of the $R(v)$, where R runs through the set of finite extensions of K and v is a place of R such that $\mathfrak{p}|v$ (we use the more common notation $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\mathbb{Q}(\mathfrak{p})$). We assume that \bar{K} is a subfield of the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . We use the notation n, n', n'' for natural numbers and M, M', M'' , respectively, for the numbers $1^n, 1^{n'}, 1^{n''}$.

1. Properties of the Classes $\tau_{\lambda,n}$.

In the sequel, we shall assume that λ belongs to Λ . Here we list the properties of the points y_{λ} ([4], cf. also [6]), which play an important role in the theory of the classes $\tau_{\lambda,n}$. Let $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\mathfrak{p}} t_{\mathfrak{p}}^j$ and suppose that p divides λ ; then

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{p}} y_{\lambda} = a_{\mathfrak{p}} y_{\lambda/\mathfrak{p}}. \quad (3)$$

Let \tilde{E} be the reduction of E modulo \mathfrak{p} and \mathcal{F} the residue field of $K(\mathfrak{p})$; if $\alpha \in E(\bar{K}(\mathfrak{p}))$, then let $\tilde{\alpha} \in E(\mathcal{F})$ be the reduction of α . Let $\text{Fr}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the Frobenius automorphism (raising to the \mathfrak{p}^{th} power) of the field \mathcal{F} . If p divides λ , then for all $g \in G(K_{\lambda}/\mathbb{Q})$ we have the relation

$$gy_{\lambda} = \text{Fr}_{\mathfrak{p}} gy_{\lambda/\mathfrak{p}}. \quad (4)$$

Let $\theta_{\lambda}(i_{\lambda})$ denote the value on the class of the ideal i_{λ} of the reciprocity homomorphism θ_{λ} between the group of classes of proper O_{λ} -ideals and the group $G(K_{\lambda}/K)$. Let σ be the automorphism of complex conjugation.

We have the relation

$$\sigma y_\lambda = \varepsilon \theta_\lambda (i_\lambda) y_\lambda \pmod{E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}}. \quad (5)$$

Obviously, we have $(t_p - 1)I_p = \text{Tr}_p - (p + 1)$, and since (3) holds, it follows that if $M|(p + 1)$ and $M|a_p$, then for all $g \in G(K_\lambda/\mathbb{Q})$, we have $gP_\lambda = P_\lambda \pmod{ME(K_\lambda)}$. Thus, the relation (2) may be used as a definition of $\tau_{\lambda, n}$.

Since $\sigma g = g^{-1}\sigma$ for all $g \in G(K_\lambda/K)$, it then follows that $\sigma I_p = -I_p \sigma \pmod{M}$. From this relation and (5) we obtain that $\sigma P_\lambda = \varepsilon(-1)^r P_\lambda \pmod{ME(K_\lambda)}$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda^r$. The corresponding property of the class $\tau_{\lambda, n}$ is as follows:

$$\sigma \tau_{\lambda, n} = (-1)^r \varepsilon \tau_{\lambda, n}. \quad (6)$$

We shall now discuss the properties of the localizations of $\tau_{\lambda, n}$. We first present some facts concerning local cohomology and list some notation. Recall that p does not divide N . Therefore the curve E has good reduction at p and we can use the standard properties of good reduction (cf. [7]).

Let \mathbb{Q}_p^{un} be the maximal unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p ; then \mathcal{F} is its residue field and reduction induces an isomorphism between $G = G(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{un}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $G(\mathcal{F}/\mathbb{Z}/p)$.

We shall also use Fr_p to denote the element of G corresponding to Fr_p .

By the properties of good reduction, for all $M' = l^{n'}$ we have $E_{M'} \subset E(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{un}})$, and reduction induces a G -isomorphism between $E_{M'}$ and $E(\mathcal{F})_{M'}$.

Then $E_{M'} = \mathbb{Z}/M' + \mathbb{Z}/M'$ and $Y^2 - aY + p$ is the characteristic polynomial of Fr_p on $E_{M'}$, so that $\text{Fr}_p^2 - a_p \text{Fr}_p + p = 0$ on $E_{M'}$ and on $E(\mathcal{F})_{M'}$.

Since $a_p \equiv p + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$, it then follows that $\text{Fr}_p - 1 = 0$ on $E_{M'}$, therefore $E_M \subset E(\mathcal{K})$. Here \mathcal{K} is an unramified quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , that is, the fixed field for Fr_p^2 . Since p is prime in \mathcal{K} , it follows that \mathcal{K}

$= K(p)$. Let F be the residue field of \mathcal{K} . Let $f_{p, n} = \frac{\text{Fr}_p^2 - 1}{M}$ and $\tilde{f}_{p, n} = \frac{a_p \text{Fr}_p - p + 1}{M}$. We have the following commutative diagram of isomorphisms with the vertical isomorphisms induced by reduction:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_{p,n}: E(K)/M & \longrightarrow & E_M \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \tilde{f}_{p,n}: E(F)/M & \longrightarrow & E(F)_M \end{array}$$

Indeed, the vertical homomorphisms are isomorphisms by the properties of good reduction. Then $\tilde{f}_{p,n}$ coincides with the reduction of $f_{p,n}$, since $\text{Fr}_p^2 - 1 = a_p \text{Fr}_p - (p + 1)$ on $E(\mathcal{F})_1^\omega$. Thus, we must only prove that $f_{p,n}$ is an isomorphism. This is true, since $f_{p,n}$ is an injection and $[E(K)/M] = [E(F)/M] = M^2$ (since $E(F)_M \simeq \mathbb{Z}/M + \mathbb{Z}/M$).

Let $[\ , \]_{M'}: E_{M'} \times E_{M'} \longrightarrow \mu_{M'}$ denote the nondegenerate alternating Weil pairing, where $\mu_{M'}$ is the group of M' th roots of unity. We have the following equality (cf. §4.3 in [8]):

$$[ge_1, ge_2]_{M'} = g[e_1, e_2]_{M'}. \tag{7}$$

Let $E_M = E_M^0 + E_M^1$ be the decomposition relative to the action of Fr_p (see the introduction). We shall show that $E_M^\nu = \mathbb{Z}/M$ for $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$.

Otherwise, $\text{Fr}_p = \pm 1$ on E_1 and we have $[e_1, e_2]_1 = [\text{Fr}_p e_1, \text{Fr}_p e_2]_1 = \text{Fr}_p [e_1, e_2]_1 = [e_1, e_2]_1^p = [e_1, e_2]_1^{-1} \implies [e_1, e_2]_1 = 1$ (since 1 is odd), which is impossible, since $[\ , \]_1$ is a nondegenerate pairing.

Let $H_{p,n}$ denote $H^1(K, E_M) = \text{Hom}(G^{\text{ab}}/(G^{\text{ab}})^M, E_M)$, where G^{ab} is the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension of K . Using the isomorphism $\theta_p: K^*/K^{*M} \longrightarrow G^{\text{ab}}/(G^{\text{ab}})^M$ from local class field theory, identify $H_{p,n}$ with $\text{Hom}(K^*/K^{*M}, E_M)$. The group K^*/K^{*M} is the direct sum of its cyclic subgroups of order M , $\mathcal{A}_n = p^{\mathbb{Z}/M}$ and $\mathcal{B}_n = U/U^M$, where U is the group of units of K . Let $A_{p,n}$ and $B_{p,n}$ be the subgroups of $H_{p,n}$ of all homomorphisms which are trivial on \mathcal{B}_n and \mathcal{A}_n , respectively. Then the group $H_{p,n}$ is the direct sum of $A_{p,n}$ and $B_{p,n}$,

and $A_{p,n} = E(K)/M$, since $E(K)/M \subset A_{p,n} = H_{p,n}^{\text{un}}$ and $[E(K)/M] = [A_{p,n}] = M^2$.

If $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$ is the class field of K which corresponds to the subgroup $K^{*M\mathbb{Z}}$ of K^* , then $B_{p,n} = H^1(G_{p,n}, E_M)$, where $G_{p,n} = G(\mathcal{L}_{p,n}/K)$.

The decomposition $H_{p,n} = A_{p,n} + B_{p,n}$ implies that $H_{p,n}^\nu$ decomposes into a direct sum of the cyclic subgroups of order M , $A_{p,n}^\nu$ and $B_{p,n}^\nu$.

Let K_p be the class field of K corresponding to the subgroup $p\mathbb{Z}_p^* + pO(p)$, where $O(p)$ is the ring of integers of K . The field K_p is a cyclic totally ramified extension of K of degree $p + 1$ and $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$ is a subextension of K_p of degree M over K . Suppose that p divides λ . By the properties of ring class fields (cf. §1 in [3]), the completion of $K_{\lambda/p}$ in $\bar{K}(p)$ is the field K , the completion of K_λ is the field K_p , and the embedding of $G(\bar{K}(p)/K)$ into $G(\bar{K}/K_{\lambda/p})$ induces an isomorphism between $G(K_p/K)$ and $G(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p})$. Thus, the generator t_p of $G(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p})$ can also be considered as a generator of $G(K_p/K)$. Let $t_{p,n}$ denote the generator of $G_{p,n}$ which is the image of t_p .

If $e \in E_{M'}$, then define $b_{p,n}(e)$ to be the element of $G_{p,n}$ which maps $t_{p,n}$ to e . We define a nondegenerate alternating pairing

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{p,n}: H_{p,n} \times H_{p,n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M$ by the following conditions: the group $H_{p,n}^0$ is orthogonal to the group $H_{p,n}$, and for $s \in A_{p,n}$ we have the relation

$$\zeta_{p,n} \langle s, b_{p,n}(e) \rangle'_{p,n} = [f_{p,n}(s), e]_{M'}$$

where

$$\zeta_{p,n} \equiv (\theta_p^{-1}(t_{p,n}))^{(p^2-1)/M} \pmod{p}.$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p,n}: H_{p,n} \times H_{p,n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M$ be the alternating pairing induced by the pairing $[\cdot, \cdot]_M$ and the canonical isomorphism $H^2(K, \mu_M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M$ from local class field theory. This is a pairing of $G(K/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ -modules, hence, the group $H_{p,n}^0$ is orthogonal to the group $H_{p,n}^1$. Since, according to formula (5) of [3], $\langle s, b_{p,n}(e) \rangle_{p,n} = \langle s, b_{p,n}(e) \rangle'_{p,n}$, it then follows that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p,n} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{p,n}.$$

Fix generators e_p^ν of the groups $E_{M_p}^\nu$, where $M_p = 1^{n(p)}$, such that

$[e_p^0, e_p^1]_M = \zeta_{p,n(p)}$. Let $e_{p,n}^\nu$ be equal to $(M_p/M)e_p^\nu$. Then $[e_{p,n}^0, e_{p,n}^1] = \zeta_{p,n}$, since $[M_\beta, M_\alpha]_M = [\alpha, \beta]_M^M$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in E_M$ and $M = M_p/M$.

Define the homomorphism $\psi_{p,n}^\nu: H_{p,n}^\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M$ as $\langle \cdot, b_{p,n}^\nu \rangle_{p,n}$, where $b_{p,n}^\nu = b_{p,n}(e_{p,n}^{\nu'})$ and $\nu' \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 1 - \nu$. Note that $\psi_{p,n}^\nu$ is trivial on $B_{p,n}^\nu = \mathbb{Z}/Mb_{p,n}^\nu$ and induces an isomorphism between $A_{p,n}^\nu$ and \mathbb{Z}/M such that for all $s \in A_{p,n}^\nu$ we have

$$\psi_{p,n}^\nu(s) e_{p,n}^\nu = (-1)^\nu f_{p,n}(s). \quad (8)$$

The homomorphism $\psi_{p,n}: H_{p,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M$ is, by definition, $\psi_{p,n}^0 + \psi_{p,n}^1$ and the homomorphism $\psi_{p,n}: H^1(K, E_M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M$ is the composition of $\psi_{p,n}$ and the localization homomorphism $H^1(K, E_M) \rightarrow H_{p,n}$.

Let $S_{\lambda,n}$ denote the subgroup of $H^1(K, E_M)$ of all elements α such that $\alpha(v) \in E(K(v))/M$ for all places v of the field K which do not divide λ . We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^\Gamma$. Then $\tau_{\lambda,n} \in S_{\lambda,n}^{\nu(r)}$ (see the definition of $\nu(r)$ in the introduction). If $(p, \lambda) = 1$, then $\tau_{p,n}(p) = P_\lambda \pmod{ME(K(p))}$. Suppose that p divides λ . Then we have the relations*

$$\tau_{\lambda,n}(p) = \varepsilon \psi_{p,n}(\tau_{\lambda/p,n}) b_{p,n}^\beta, \text{ where } \beta = \nu(r) \quad (9)$$

and

$$\varepsilon \psi_{p,n}(\tau_{\lambda/p,n}) e_{p,n}^{\beta'} = \left(\frac{p+1}{M}\right) (-1)^\beta \varepsilon - \frac{a_p}{M} \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p}. \quad (10)$$

Remark. *In the main, the statements of Proposition 1 were proved in [4] (Theorem 4). Here we remove some of the restrictions imposed in [4] on λ in the relation (9).*

Proof. Note that $\tau_{\lambda,n}$ corresponds to the cocycle

$$k_{\lambda,n}(g) = \left(g \frac{P_\lambda}{M} - \frac{P_\lambda}{M}\right) + \frac{(1-g)P_\lambda}{M}, \quad (11)$$

where $\frac{(1-g)P_\lambda}{M} \in E(K_\lambda)$ is the unique (since $E(K_\lambda)_{1^\infty}$ is trivial) solution of the equation $Mx = (1-g)P_\lambda \in ME(K_\lambda)$. If $(p, \lambda) = 1$, then $K_\lambda \subset \mathcal{K}$ and $G(\bar{K}(p)/\mathcal{K}) \subset G(\bar{K}/K_\lambda)$, hence, in view of (11), we see that $\tau_{\lambda,n}(p) = P_\lambda \pmod{ME(\mathcal{K})}$. Let (α) , where $\alpha \in H^1(R, E_M)$, denote the image of α in

$H^1(R, E)_M$ (cf. (1)). Again, in view of (11), we see that $(\tau_{\lambda, n})$

corresponds to the cocycle $k'_{\lambda, n}(g) = \frac{(1-g)P_\lambda}{M}$; in particular, $(\tau_{\lambda, n}) \in H^1(G(K_\lambda/K), E(K_\lambda))$. Let v be a place of K which does not divide λ . Since K_λ/K is unramified outside λ , it then follows that $(\tau_{\lambda, n})(v) \in H^1(K(v), E)^{un}$, the unramified cohomology group of E over $K(v)$. This group is always finite and is trivial if $(v, N) = 1$. Gross observed that in the case $v|\lambda$, $(\tau_{\lambda, n})(v) = 0$ as well.

Hence, taking into account (1) and (6), we have $\tau_{\lambda, n} \in S_{\lambda, n}^\beta$.

Suppose that p divides λ . Since reduction induces an isomorphism between E_M and $E(F)_M$, then $k_{\lambda, n}(g)$ may be defined by its reduction. We shall show that if $g \in G(\bar{K}(p)/K) \subset G(\bar{K}/K_{\lambda/p})$, then the reduction of the

first term in (11) is trivial. Indeed, it is equal to $\frac{\tilde{P}_\lambda}{\tilde{g}_M} - \frac{\tilde{P}_\lambda}{M} =$

0 , since, by virtue of (4) and the definition of P_λ , we have $\tilde{P}_\lambda = - (1 + 2 + \dots + p) Fr_p \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p} \in ME(F)$. Hence, $\tau_{\lambda, n}(p) \in H^1(G(K_p/K), E_M) = B_{p, n}$. It remains to calculate the value of $\tau_{\lambda, n}(p)$ at t_p . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1-t_p)P_\lambda}{M} &= \frac{(1-t_p)I_p I_{\lambda/p}^J y_\lambda}{M} = \frac{(p+1 - Tr_p)I_{\lambda/p}^J y_\lambda}{M} \\ &= \frac{p+1}{M} I_{\lambda/p}^J y_\lambda - \frac{a_p}{M} P_{\lambda/p}, \end{aligned}$$

and for its reduction, in view of (4), (6), and (8), we have the expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{p+1}{M} Fr_p - \frac{a_p}{M}\right) \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p} &= \tilde{f}_{p, n}(-Fr_p \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p}) = \tilde{f}_{p, n}((-1)^{\beta'} \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p}) \\ &= \varepsilon \psi_{p, n}(\tau_{\lambda/p}) e_{p, n}^{\beta'}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2. The Orthogonality Relation and the Characters $\psi_{p, n}$.

Let R be an extension of \mathbb{Q} , $n \leq n'$, and $n'' = n' - n$. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_M \longrightarrow E_{M'} \xrightarrow{M} E_{M''} \longrightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E(R)_{M''}/ME(R)_{M'} \longrightarrow H^1(R, E_M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n, n'}} H^1(R, E_{M'}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n', n''}} H^1(R, E_{M''})$$

Suppose that for all $n \leq n'$ we have $E(R)_{M''} = ME(R)_{M'}$. Then $\alpha_{n,n'}$ is an injection and its image is $H^1(R, E_{M'})_M$, since $\alpha_{n'',n'}$ is also an injection and $\alpha_{n'',n'} \circ \alpha_{n',n}$ is multiplication by M . In this situation, it is

useful to identify $H^1(R, E_M)$ with $H^1(R, E_{M'})_M$. Specifically, we have the following two cases. First, suppose that $R = K$. In this case, since $E(K)_{1^\infty} = 0$, we identify $H^1(R, E_M)$ with H_M , where $H = \varinjlim H^1(K, E_{M'})$. Note that $S_{\lambda,n}$ coincides with $(S_{\lambda,n'})_M$ under this identification. The second case is when $R = K(p)$ and $n' \leq n(p)$. Then $E(R)_{M'} = E_{M'}$, hence, $ME(R)_{M'} = E_{M''} = E(R)_{M''}$.

Let $n \leq n' \leq n(\lambda)$. Then it follows from (2) that $\tau_{\lambda,n} = \alpha_{n',n} \tau_{\lambda,n'}$, or $\tau_{\lambda,n} = M'' \tau_{\lambda,n'}$, in view of the identification. From (8) and Proposition 1, for $(p, \lambda) = 1$ and $s \in S_{\lambda,n}$, we obtain the relations

$$\psi_{p,n'}(\tau_{\lambda,n'}) = \psi_{p,n}(\tau_{\lambda,n}) \pmod{M} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\psi_{p,n'}(s) = M'' \psi_{p,n}(s) \pmod{M'}. \tag{13}$$

If A is a periodic \mathbb{Z}_1 -module, then $\#A$ denotes the minimum nonnegative integer k such that $1^k A = 0$. If $a \in A$, then $\#a = \#(a, A) = \#\mathbb{Z}_1 a$.

By the definition of $m(\lambda)$, $\tau_{\lambda,n'} \neq 0$ if and only if $n' > m(\lambda)$, and in that case we have

$$\#\tau_{\lambda,n'} = n' - m(\lambda). \tag{14}$$

Let $n' - m(\lambda) \leq n \leq n' \leq n(\lambda)$, and let $p|\lambda \in \Lambda^\Gamma$. Then $\tau_{\lambda,n'} \in S_{\lambda,n}^{\nu(r)}$. From (9), in view of the equalities $M\tau_{\lambda,n'} = 0$ and $b_{p,n}^{\nu(r)} = M'' b_{p,n}^{\nu(r)}$, it

follows that $M'' | \psi_{p,n'}(\tau_{\lambda/p,n'})$ and $\tau_{\lambda,n'}(p) = \varepsilon(\psi_{p,n'}(\tau_{\lambda/p,n'})/M'') b_{p,n}^{\nu(r)}$. If $s \in S_{\lambda,n}^{\nu(r)}$, then, in consequence of the reciprocity law, we have the

orthogonality relation $\sum_{p|\lambda} \langle \tau_{\lambda,n'}(p), s(p) \rangle_{p,n} = 0$. This relation, taking

into account the previous equality and the definition of the homomorphism $\psi_{p,n}$, gives us the relation

$$\sum_{p|\lambda} (\psi_{p,n'}(\tau_{\lambda/p,n'})/M'') \psi_{p,n}(s) = 0 \pmod{M}. \tag{15}$$

The universality of the characters $\psi_{p,n}$ (where $n \leq n(p)$) is evident from the following proposition. We use the decomposition $H = H^0 + H^1$ relative to the action of $G(K/Q)$.

PROPOSITION 2. Let A^0 and A^1 be finite subgroups of H_M^0 and H_M^1 , respectively, $\psi^{0,1} \in \text{Hom}(A^{0,1}, \mathbb{Z}/M)$, and $n' \geq n$. Then there exist infinitely many primes p such that $M' | M_p$, (i.e., $n' \leq n(p)$) and $\mathbb{Z}/M(\text{restriction of } \psi_{p,n}^{0,1} \text{ to } A^{0,1}) = (\mathbb{Z}/M)\psi^{0,1}$.

Proof. We consider in detail the case where E has no complex multiplication. The other case is handled analogously.

Let $E_M = E_M^0 + E_M^1$ be the decomposition of E_M relative to the action of $\Sigma = \{1, \sigma\}$, where σ is the automorphism of complex conjugation. Since $\sigma\zeta = \zeta^{-1}$ for all $\zeta \in \mu_M$, it then follows that $E_M^{0,1} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/M$ (cf. (7) and below). Let $e^{0,1}$ be the respective generator of $E_M^{0,1}$. Let $V = K(E_{M'})$. Note that from (7), since the pairing $[\cdot, \cdot]_{M'}$ is nondegenerate, it follows that $\mu_{M'} \subset V$.

Define the homomorphism $f: H_M \rightarrow H^1(V, \mu_M) = \text{Hom}(G_V^{\text{ab}}, \mu_M)$ (where $G_V^{\text{ab}} = G(V^{\text{ab}}/V)$ and V^{ab} is the maximal abelian extension of V) as follows: for all $z \in G_V^{\text{ab}}$ and $h = h^0 + h^1 \in H_M$, we have

$$f(h): z \mapsto [h^0(z), e^1]_M^2 [h^1(z), e^0]_M^2. \quad (16)$$

Suppose that f is an injection. Let W be the abelian extension of V corresponding to $f(A)$, where $A = A^0 + A^1$, that is, W is the fixed field for $\ker f(A) \subset G_V^{\text{ab}}$.

By Kummer theory, the natural homomorphism $G(W/V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(f(A), \mu_M)$ is an isomorphism, hence, in view of the isomorphism $f: A \rightarrow f(A)$, we have the isomorphism $G(W/V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \mu_M)$. Suppose that $\eta \in G(W/V)$ corresponds to the element $\chi \in \text{Hom}(A, \mu_M)$ such that $\chi = \zeta^{\psi^V}$ on A^V , where $\zeta = [e^0, e^1]_M$. Let $\beta = \eta\sigma_1 \in G(W/\mathbb{Q})$, where σ_1 is the restriction of σ to W . According to the Chebotarev density theorem, there exist infinitely many rational primes q which do not divide $N1$, are unramified in W , and such that $\beta = \text{Fr} \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \text{Fr}_{W(w)/\mathbb{Q}}^q$ for some place w of W dividing q . We shall show that such primes q satisfy the conditions of the proposition.

Since β is nontrivial on K , it follows that q is a prime of K . Furthermore, $M' | (q + 1)$, since for $\xi \in \mu_{M'} \subset V$, we have $\xi^{-1} = \xi^\sigma = \xi^\beta = \xi^{\text{Fr}} = \xi^q$. We see that $\text{Fr}^2 = \sigma_1^2 = 1$ on $E_{M'}$, and, on the other hand, $\text{Fr}^2 - a_q \text{Fr} + q = 0$ on $E_{M'}$. Hence, $a_q \text{Fr} = q + 1 = 0$ on $E_{M'}$, or, equivalently, $M' | a_q$. Therefore $M' | M_q$.

Let $g \in G(V/Q)$ and let $\alpha(g) = 1$ if $g \in G(V/K)$, and $\alpha(g) = -1$, otherwise. If $(-1)^{\nu-1} \epsilon = 1$, then, by definition, σ acts trivially on H_M^ν , hence, $h^\nu(z^g) = gh^\nu(z)$. If $(-1)^{\nu-1} \epsilon = -1$, then σ acts on H_M^ν by multiplication by -1 , hence, $h^\nu(z^g) = \alpha(g)gh^\nu(z)$. Using (7) as well, for $h^\nu \in A^\nu$, we have $[h^\nu(\text{Fr}^2), e^{\nu'}]_M = [h^\nu(\eta), e^{\nu'}]_M^2 = \chi^\nu(h^\nu) = [e^0, e^1]_M^b$, where $b = \psi^\nu(h^\nu)$. Hence, considering (8), we see that $\psi_{q,n}^\nu$ is proportional to ψ^ν by a factor from $(Z/M)^*$.

Now we shall prove that f is an injection. Let $h \in \ker f$. Then it follows from (16) that for all $z \in G_V^{ab}$ we have

$$[h^0(z), e^1]_M = [h^1(z), e^0]_M^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

The substitution $z \mapsto z^g$ gives us the equality

$$[h^0(z), ge^1]_M = [h^1(z), ge^0]_M^{-\alpha(g)}. \quad (18)$$

Let $e^{0,1}$ be the respective generator of $E^{0,1}$ such that $(M'/M)e_1^{0,1} = e^{0,1}$. Define the homomorphism $\rho: G(V/K) \rightarrow GL_2(Z/M')$ so that $g \begin{pmatrix} e_1^0 \\ e_1^1 \end{pmatrix} = \rho(g) \begin{pmatrix} e_1^0 \\ e_1^1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $1 \in B(E)$, it then follows that $\text{Im } \rho = GL_2(Z/M')$.

Furthermore, the homomorphism $\rho: G(V/K) \rightarrow GL_2(Z/M')$ is an injection, and is an isomorphism when $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(E_M)$. The field K is a subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(E_M)$ if and only if $1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, in which case $\rho(G(V/K)) = \ker \delta'$,

where the homomorphism $\delta': GL_2(Z/M') \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is induced by

$\det: GL_2(Z/M') \rightarrow (Z/M')^*$ and the unique nontrivial homomorphism

$\delta: (Z/M')^* \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ (cf. §4 in [1]).

Let $g_0 \in G(V/K)$ be such that $\rho(g_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Substituting gg_0 for g in (18), we obtain the equality

$$[h^0(z), ge^0]_M = [h^1(z), ge^1]_M^{\alpha(g)}. \quad (19)$$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(E_M)$. Then there exists an element $g_1 \in G(V/\mathbb{Q}/E_M)$ such that $\alpha(g_1) = -1$. Obviously, the relations (18) and (19) for $g = 1$ and $g = g_1$, respectively, together imply that $[h^0(z), e^{0,1}]_M = 1$ and $[h^1(z), e^{0,1}]_M = 1$, hence, $h^0(z) = h^1(z) = 0$.

Suppose that $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(E_{M'})$. Then $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, hence $l > 3$, since we are assuming that $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. Since $l > 3$, then there exists an element $a \in \mathbb{Z}/M'$ such that $\delta(a) = 1$ but $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$. Let $g_2 \in G(V/K)$ be such that $\rho(g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. Comparing (18) and (19) for $g = 1$ and $g = g_2$, respectively, we obtain $h^0(z) = h^1(z) = 0$.

Thus, $\text{res}_{V/K}(h) = 0$. It remains to show that $\text{res}_{V/K}: H_M \rightarrow H^1(V, E_M)$ is an injection. Let $g_3 \in G(V/K)$ be such that $\rho(g_3) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $G_3 = \{1, g_3\}$. Then G_3 is a subgroup of order 2 in the center of $G(V/K)$. Obviously, we have $E_M = 0$ and $H^1(G_3, E_M) = 0$. In view of the spectral sequence applied to the group $G(V/K)$ and its normal subgroup G_3 , we see that $\ker(\text{res}_{V/K}) = H^1(G(V/K), E_M)$ is the trivial group. ■

We need the following corollary to Proposition 2.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let A^0 and A^1 be finite subgroups of H_M^0 and H_M^1 , respectively, let $f_{1,2}^{0,1}: \text{Hom}(A^{0,1}, \mathbb{Z}/M) \rightarrow C_{1,2}^{0,1}$ be surjective homomorphisms, and suppose that $n' \geq n$. Then there exist infinitely many primes p such that $M' \mid M_p$ and $\#f_{1,2}^{0,1}(\text{restriction of } \psi_{p,n}^{0,1} \text{ to } A^{0,1}) = \#C_{1,2}^{0,1}$.*

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 2, it is enough to prove the existence of characters $\psi^{0,1} \in \text{Hom}(A^{0,1}, \mathbb{Z}/M)$ such that $\#f_{1,2}^{0,1}(\psi^{0,1}) = \#C_{1,2}^{0,1}$. There exists a character ψ^v , since otherwise $\text{Hom}(A^v, \mathbb{Z}/M)$ is the union of two proper subgroups, which is impossible. ■

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^r$, $\delta \in \Lambda^k$, and $\delta \mid \lambda$. Let $S_{\lambda, \delta, n}$ denote the group $S_{\lambda, n}$ when $\delta = 1$, the intersection of $S_{\lambda, n}$ with the kernels of the characters $\psi_{p,n}$ for all $p \mid \delta > 1$. We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $v \in \{0, 1\}$ and $r - k > 0$. Then $\#S_{\lambda, \delta, n}^v = n$.*

Proof. Since $S_{\lambda, \delta, n-1}^v$ is the subgroup of $S_{\lambda, \delta, n}^v$ of all elements of period l^{n-1} , it is sufficient to prove the equality

$$[S_{\lambda, \delta, n}^v / S_{\lambda, \delta, n-1}^v] \geq l^{r-k}. \quad (20)$$

Note that (20) implies that the multiplicity of n in the sequence of invariants of $S_{\lambda, \delta, n}^v$ is not less than $(r - k)/n$.

If v is a place of K , then $H_{v,n}$ denotes $H^1(K(v), E_M)$ and $A_{v,n}$ denotes $E(K(v))/M$. If β is a set of places of K , then $H_{\beta,n}$ denotes the locally

compact group $\prod_{v|\beta} H_{v,n}$. The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\beta,n} = \sum_{v|\beta} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{v,n}$ identifies the group $H_{\beta,n}$ with its dual group. We use multiplicative notation: $v|\beta$ signifies that $v \in \beta$ and $\beta_1\beta_2$ denotes $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$. An element of Λ is identified with its set of prime divisors. Let $\beta = \lambda/\delta$ and let Z_n be the image of $S_{\lambda,\delta,n}$ in $H_{\beta,n}$. It is sufficient to prove that Z_n is an isotropic subgroup of $H_{\beta,n}$, because then Z_n^\vee is an isotropic subgroup of $H_{\beta,n}^\vee$, hence $[Z_n] = [H_{\beta,n}]^{1/2} = M^{r-k}$ and $[Z_{n-1}^\vee] = (M/1)^{r-k}$ (the latter equality holds since, in the previous equality, n is any natural number $\leq n(\lambda)$). Thus, $[Z_n^\vee/Z_{n-1}^\vee] = 1^{r-k}$, whence follows (20).

Let α be the set of all places of K . By the Tate-Poitou theorem (cf. [9]), the image Y_1 of the group H_M in $H_{\alpha,n}$ is an isotropic subgroup of $H_{\alpha,n}$. Let Y_3 denote the group $\prod_{p|\delta} B_{p,n} \prod_{p|\delta, n(v,\lambda)=1} A_{v,n}$. Since $A_{v,n}$ is an isotropic subgroup of $H_{v,n}$, by local Tate theory, and $B_{p,n}$ is an isotropic subgroup of $H_{p,n}$ (cf. §1), it follows that Y_3 is an isotropic subgroup of $H_{\alpha/\beta,n}$.

Let $Y_2 = H_{\beta,n} \times Y_3$. We have $Z_n = \text{Pr}_\beta(Y_1 \cap Y_2)$. Obviously, the equality $\langle Z_n, Z_n \rangle_{\beta,n} = 0$ holds. Let $z \in H_{\beta,n}$ and $\langle Z_n, z \rangle_{\beta,n} = 0$. Let z' denote an element of $H_{\alpha,n}$ such that $\text{Pr}_\beta(z') = z$ and $\text{Pr}_{\alpha/\beta}(z') = 0$. Since z' is orthogonal to $Y_1 \cap Y_2$, then by Pontryagin theory, $z' = z_1 + z_2$, where $z_1 \in Y_1^\perp = Y_1$ and $z_2 \in Y_2^\perp$. We have $\text{Pr}_\beta(z_2) \in H_{\beta,n}^\perp = 0$ and $\text{Pr}_{\alpha/\beta}(z_2) \in Y_3^\perp = Y_3$. Hence, $z' - z_2 = z_1 \in Y_1 \cap Y_2$ and $\text{Pr}_\beta(z' - z_2) = z$, that is, $z \in Z_n$. ■

We now have all that is necessary for the study of the group $X = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \omega} (K, E)_{i,j}$.

3. A Structural Theorem for X .

Let Λ_n^r denote the subset of Λ^r consisting of all elements λ such that $n(\lambda) \geq n$; then Λ_n denotes $\bigcup \Lambda_n^r$. Let $\varphi_{p,n}^\vee$ be the restriction of $\psi_{p,n}^\vee$ to the Selmer group $S_M^\vee = S_{1,n}^\vee$ and $\Phi_{\lambda,n}^\vee$ the subgroup of $\text{Hom}(S_M^\vee, \mathbb{Z}/M)$ generated by $\varphi_{p,n}^\vee$ for all $p|\lambda$.

In the sequel, we shall assume that $n'' \geq n' \geq n$. We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5. Let $\delta \in \Lambda_{n''}^k$, $n > m(\delta)$, $\delta q \in \Lambda_{n''}^{k+1}$, and $\#\psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n}) = \#\tau_{\delta,n}$. Then $m(\delta q) \leq m(\delta)$. If, moreover, $n'' - n \geq m(\delta q)$ and $\iota = 1 - \nu(k)$,

then $\#\varphi_{q,n}^t \pmod{\Phi_{\delta,n}^t} \leq m(\delta) - m(\delta q)$.

Proof. By Proposition 1, $\tau_{\delta q,n}(q) = \varepsilon\psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n})b_{q,n}^t$. Then, in view of (14) and our assumptions, we have $n - m(\delta q) = \#\tau_{\delta q,n} \geq \#\psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n}) = \#\tau_{\delta,n} = n - m(\delta)$. Hence, $m(\delta q) \leq m(\delta)$.

It is a consequence of (15) that $a\varphi_{q,n}^t \in \Phi_{\delta,n}^t$, where $Z/M \ni a = \psi_{q,n'}(\tau_{\delta,n'})/1^{m(\delta q)}$ and $n' = n + m(\delta q)$. Since $\text{ord}_1(\psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n})) = n - \#\tau_{\delta,n} = m(\delta)$ and (12) holds, it then follows that $\text{ord}_1(a) = m(\delta) - m(\delta q)$. ■

If $\delta \in \Lambda^k$, where $r \geq k$, then $m_r(\delta)$ denotes $\min m(\lambda)$, where λ runs through the set of elements of Λ^r for which δ is a divisor. We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 6. *If $\delta \in \Lambda^k$ is such that $m(\delta) < \infty$, then $m_{k+1}(\delta) \leq m(\delta)$.*

Proof. Let $n = n(\delta)$; then $n > m(\delta)$, since $m(\delta) < \infty$. According to Proposition 3, there exists q such that $\delta q \in \Lambda_n^{k+1}$ and $\#\psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n}) = \#\tau_{\delta,n}$. Then, by Proposition 5, we have the equality $m(\delta q) \leq m(\delta)$. ■

Recall that, for $r \geq 0$, m_r denotes $m_r(1)$. The following proposition holds.

PROPOSITION 7. *The sequence $\{m_r\}$ is such that $m_r \geq m_{r+1}$.*

Proof. By assumption, the point P_1 has infinite order. Hence $m_0 < \infty$ (m_0 is the exponent of the highest power of 1 dividing P_1 in $E(K)$). Now apply Proposition 6 and use induction on r . ■

Let $T_{\delta,n}^\nu$ denote the quotient group of $\text{Hom}(S_{M'}^\nu, Z/M)$ with respect to $\Phi_{\delta,n}^\nu$. Recall that ν' denotes $1 - \nu$, where $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$. We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let $k \geq 0$, $r \geq k$, $\alpha = \nu(k)$, $\beta = \nu(r)$, and $n'' \geq n' \geq n$.*

Let $\delta \in \Lambda_{n''}^k$ be such that $x \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} m_r(\delta) < n$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^r$ such that $m(\lambda) = x$.

Then there exists $q \in \Lambda^1$ satisfying the following conditions:

0) $(q, \lambda) = 1$ and $M'' \mid M_q$;

1) $\#\psi_{q,n'}^\beta(\tau_{\lambda,n'}) = \#\tau_{\lambda,n'}$;

2) at our discretion, one of the following two conditions is fulfilled:

21) $\#\psi_{q,n'}^{\alpha'} \pmod{\Phi_{\delta,n'}^{\alpha'}} = \#\tau_{\delta,n'}^{\alpha'}$;

22) if $k \geq 1$, then for a preassigned $p_1 \mid \delta$, $\#\varphi_{q,n'}^{\alpha'}(\tau_{\delta/p_1,n'}) =$

$\#\tau_{\delta/p_1,n'}$;

$$3) \# \psi_{q, n'}^{\alpha}(\tau_{\delta, n'}) = \# \tau_{\delta, n'};$$

4) there exists $p | (\lambda/\delta)$ such that $m(\lambda q/p) = x$.

Moreover, if $\alpha = \beta'$ and $n'' - n \geq y \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} m(\delta)$, then we may choose a p satisfying 4) so that the following condition is fulfilled:

$$5) \# \psi_{p, n}^{\alpha}(\tau_{\delta, n}) = \# \tau_{\delta, n}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4, there exists $s \in S_{\lambda, \delta, n}^{\beta'}$ such that $\#s = n$. According to Proposition 3, there exists $q \in \Lambda^1$ satisfying conditions 0) - 3) and the following condition:

$$6) \# \psi_{q, n'}^{\beta'}(s) = \#s = n.$$

Since $\tau_{\lambda q, n}$ and s are orthogonal (cf. (15)), we have the relation

$$\sum_{p | (\lambda/\delta)} \psi_{p, n}^{\beta'}(s) \psi_{p, n}^{\beta}(\tau_{\lambda q/p, n}) = - \psi_{q, n}^{\beta'}(s) \psi_{q, n}^{\beta}(\tau_{\lambda, n}) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} z \in \mathbb{Z}/M.$$

It follows from (12) and (13) that conditions 1) and 6) are satisfied as well after the substitution $n' \mapsto n$. Hence, $\#z = n - x > 0$. By the definition of x , we have $\# \psi_{p, n}^{\beta}(\tau_{\lambda q/p, n}) \leq \# \tau_{\lambda q/p, n} \leq n - x$. Thus, there exists $p | (\lambda/\delta)$ such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$7) \# \psi_{p, n}^{\beta}(\tau_{\lambda q/p, n}) = n - x \text{ and, hence, } m(\lambda q/p) = x;$$

$$8) \# \psi_{p, n}^{\beta'}(s) = n.$$

If $\alpha = \beta'$ and $n'' - n \geq y$, then we may take the element $\tau_{\delta, n+y}$ to be s . If $\tau_{\delta, n} = 0$, then 5) obviously holds. Otherwise, $\# \tau_{\delta, n} = n - y > 0$, and then 5) follows from 8), since $\tau_{\delta, n} = 1^y \tau_{\delta, n+y}$. ■

Moreover, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 9. Let $n > m_0$ and $n' = m + m_0$. Suppose that $r = k + 1 \geq 1$, $\delta \in \Lambda_{n'}^k$, and $m(\delta) = m_{r-1}$. Then there exists p_r such that $\delta p_r \in \Lambda^r$ and $m(\delta p_r) = m_r(\delta)$. For every such p_r , if $\beta = \nu(r)$, we have

$$\# \varphi_{p_r, n}^{\beta} \pmod{\Phi_{\delta, n}^{\beta}} = \# T_{\delta, n}^{\beta} = m_{r-1} - m_r(\delta) \quad (21)$$

$$\# \psi_{p_r, n}(\tau_{\delta, n}) = \# \tau_{\delta, n} \quad (22)$$

$$\# \varphi_{p_r, n}^{\beta'} \pmod{\Phi_{\delta, n}^{\beta'}} \geq m_{r-2} - m_{r-1}, \text{ where } r \geq 2. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_{x+1}^r$, where $x = m(\delta)$, be such that $m(\lambda) = x$. The existence of p_r follows from Proposition 8 applied to δ and λ (and $n'' = n'$, $n' = n$, $n = x + 1$).

Now apply Proposition 8 to δ and $\lambda = \delta p_r$ (where $n'' = n'$ and $n' = n$). Select a q corresponding to condition 21). From conditions 1) and 21), and Proposition 5, it follows that $\#T_{\delta,n}^\beta \leq y - x$, where $y = m(\delta) = m_{r-1}$. The element $a = \tau_{\delta q, y}$ belongs to $S_{1,y}^\beta \subset S_{1,n}^\beta$, by virtue of Proposition 1 and the relation $\tau_{\delta', y} = 0$ for all $\delta' \in \Lambda_y^{r-1}$ (by the definition of $m_{r-1} = y$). Since $a = 1^{n-y} \tau_{\delta, n}$, it then follows from 7) that $\#\varphi_{p_r, n}^\beta(a) = \#\varphi_{p_r, n}^\beta(\tau_{\delta q, n}) - (n - y) = y - x$. Since $a \perp \Phi_{\delta, n}$, then we have that $\#\varphi_{p_r, n}^\beta \pmod{\Phi_{\delta, n}^\beta} \geq y - x$ and, hence, (21) is true.

Analogously, the element $b = \tau_{\delta, m_{r-2}} \in S_{1, n}^{\beta'}$ and $b \perp \Phi_{\delta, n}^{\beta'}$. According to 5), (22) is true, hence, $\#\varphi_{p_r, n}^{\beta'}(b) = m_{r-2} - y$, and (23) holds. ■

If ω is the sequence p_0, \dots, p_r , then for $0 \leq i \leq r$, $\omega(i)$ denotes the product $p_0 \dots p_r$. Define Ω_n^r to be the set of sequences $\omega = (p_0, \dots, p_r)$ such that $\omega(r) \in \Lambda_n^r$ and $m(\omega(i)) = m_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$. In particular, Ω_n^0 contains only $p_0 \stackrel{df}{=} 1$.

A priori, by the Mordell-Weil theorem, and because $E(K)_{1^\infty}$ is trivial, the group $(E(K)/M)^\nu$ is isomorphic to $(Z/M)g^\nu$, where $g^0 + g^1$ is equal to the rank of E over K . The sequence (1) induces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (E(K)/M)^\nu \longrightarrow S_{1, n}^\nu \longrightarrow X_{1, n}^\nu \longrightarrow 0. \tag{24}$$

Here $X_{1, n}^\nu = X_M^\nu$. By the weak Mordell-Weil theorem, the group $S_{1, n}^\nu$ is finite.

Recall that the Heegner point P_1 has a unique representation $P_1 = 1^{m_0} \alpha$, where $\alpha \in E(K) \setminus 1E(K)$.

Let $n > m_0$, $r = 1$, $\omega = p_0 = 1$, and choose p_1 as in Proposition 9. Then $T_{\delta, n}^0 = \text{Hom}(S_{1, n}^0, Z/M)$ and $m_1(\delta) = m_1$. According to (21), we have $\#S_{1, n}^0 = \#T_{\delta, n}^0 = m_0 - m_1 < n$. Hence, in view of (24), it follows that $g^0 =$

0, $S_{1,n}^0 = S_{1,m_0-m_1}^0$, and $X^0 = X_{1,n}^0 = X_{1,m_0-m_1}^0$ is a finite group. In particular, the invariants x_1^0 of X^0 coincide with the invariants of $T_{1,n}^0$.

Moreover, it follows from (22) that $\#\varphi_{p_1,n}^1(x \pmod{ME(K)}) = n$, hence, $S_{1,n}^1$ is the direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}/Mx \pmod{ME(K)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/M$ and $Y = \ker \varphi_{p_1,n}^1$.

Let $r = 2$, $\omega = (1, p_1)$, and $\delta = p_1$. Then $T_{\delta,n}^1$ is the dual group for Y . Hence, it follows from (21) that $\#Y = \#T_{\delta,n}^1 = m_1 - m_2(\delta)$ and, in view of (24), we have $g^1 = 1$ and $X^1 = X_{1,n}^1 = X_{1,m_1-m_2(\delta)}^1$ is finite and isomorphic to Y . In particular, the invariants x_1^1 of the group X^1 coincide with the invariants of the group $T_{p_1,n}^1$.

In [1] it was proved that $g^0 = 0$, and in [4] that $g^1 = 1$ and $[X]|1^{2m_0}$.

Recall that, for $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu(j)$ denotes the element of $\{0, 1\}$ such that $j - \nu(j) - 1$ is even, and (j, ν) denotes $j - |\nu - \nu(j)|$. We have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $r > 0$, $n > m_0$, and $n' = n + m_0$. Then the set Ω_n^r is nonempty. Moreover, for all $\omega \in \Omega_{n'}^{r-1}$, there exists $p_r | (\omega, p_r) \in \Omega_n^r$. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{n'}^r$. Then for $1 \leq j \leq r$ we have the equality $\#\varphi_{p,n}(\tau_{\omega(j-1),n}) =$*

$\#\tau_{\omega(j-1),n}$, and if $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$ is such that $r - \nu > 0$, then for $1 + \nu \leq j \leq r$ we have

$$\#\varphi_{p_j,n}^\nu \pmod{\varphi_{\omega(j-1),n}^\nu} = m_{(j,\nu)-1} - m_{(j,\nu)} = x_{j-\nu}^\nu. \quad (25)$$

Proof. For $r = 1$, the theorem was proved above. Therefore, by induction, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for $r \geq 2$, assuming it to be true for all $r' > r$. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{n'}^{r-1}$, $\delta = \omega(r-1)$, and choose p_r as in Proposition 9 so that, in particular, the relations (21) - (23) hold. Since the theorem is true for $r-1$, it then follows that $\#T_{\delta,n}^\nu = x_{r-\nu}^\nu$, and for $\beta = \nu(r)$, $x_{r-1-\beta'}^{\beta'} = m_{r-2} - m_{r-1}$. Hence the equality $x_{r-\beta'}^{\beta'} = m_{r-2} - m_{r-1}$ holds, by (23) and the inequality $x_{r-\beta'}^{\beta'} \leq x_{r-1-\beta'}^{\beta'}$. In view of (21), (22), and the induction hypothesis, it remains only to prove that $m_r(\delta) = m_r$. This will be done if we prove that the set Ω_n^r is nonempty. Indeed, using the fact that $(\omega', p') \in \Omega_{n'}^r$, as above, we then have $m_{r-1} - m_r = x_{r-\beta}^\beta = m_{r-1} - m_r(\delta)$. If $u = m_r + 1$ for $0 \leq k \leq r$, then U^k denotes the set of

pairs $\omega \in \Omega_n^k$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_u^\Gamma$ such that $\omega(k)|\lambda$ and $m(\lambda) = m_r$. It follows from Proposition 9 that Ω_n^Γ is nonempty if U^{r-1} is nonempty. Then, since U^0 is nonempty, it is sufficient to prove that U^{k+1} is nonempty if $k < r - 1$ and U^k is nonempty. Then, by induction, U^{r-1} is nonempty. Let $(\omega, \lambda) \in U^k$.

Apply Proposition 8 to $\delta = \omega(k)$, λ (and $n'' = n'$, $n = u$), and choose a q corresponding to condition 21). We need to show that $m(\delta q) = m_{k+1}$; then the pair $((\omega, q), \lambda q/p)$ will belong to U^{k+1} . By Theorem 1 for $k + 1 \leq r - 1$, we have that $m_k - m_{k+1} = x_{k+1-\alpha'}^{\alpha'} = \#T_{\delta, n}^{\alpha'}$, where $\alpha = \nu(k)$. On the other hand, in view of Proposition 5 and condition 21), we see that $\#T_{\delta, n}^{\alpha'} \leq m_k - m(\delta q)$. Hence, $m(\delta q) \leq m_{k+1}$, but, by the definition of m_{k+1} , we have $m_{m+1} \leq m(\delta q)$. Thus, $m(\delta q) = m_{k+1}$. ■

The purpose of the remainder of §3 is the parameterization of X and its dual group by a sequence of prime numbers more arbitrary than Ω . This is essential for an effective description of the structure of X and its dual group, and for the parameterization of X by the classes $\tau_{\lambda, n}$ and of its dual group by the characters $\varphi_{p, n}$.

For $r \geq 0$ define Π_n^Γ to be the set of sequences $\pi = (p_0, \dots, p_r)$ such that $\pi(r) \in \Lambda_n^\Gamma$; if $r > 0$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$, then

$$\#\psi_{p_j, n'}(\tau_{\pi(j-1), n'}) = \#\tau_{\pi(j-1), n'} \tag{26}$$

and, if $r \geq 2$ and $2 \leq j \leq r$, moreover,

$$\#\psi_{p_j, n'}(\tau_{\pi(j-1)/p_1, n'}) = \#\tau_{\pi(j-1)/p_1, n'}. \tag{27}$$

Recall that $m = \min_{r \geq 0} m_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m_r$. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^\Gamma$ be such that $m(\lambda) = m$.

As in the above proof of the nonemptiness of U^{r-1} , using Proposition 8, condition 22), and induction, we shall prove that for all n' there exists $\pi \in \Pi_n^\Gamma$ such that $m(\pi(r)) = m$. We shall say that $\pi \in \Pi_n^\Gamma$ is minimal if $m(\pi(r)) = m$. From Propositions 5 and 8 it follows that if $\pi' \in \Pi_{n'}^{r-1}$ is minimal, then there exists p_r such that $(\pi', p_r) \in \Pi_n^\Gamma$ is minimal.

Let $n > m_0$ and $n' \geq n + m_0$. Assume that $r \geq 2$, that $\pi \in \Pi_n^\Gamma$ is minimal, and $\pi - p_r$ is minimal as well. If $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$, then $u(\nu)$ denotes $r - \nu$ if $r - \nu$ is even (i.e., $\nu = \nu(r + 1)$), otherwise (i.e., when $\nu = \nu(r)$), $u(\nu)$ denotes $r - \nu - 1$. Let $\lambda^\nu = \pi(u(\nu) + \nu)$. By Proposition 9,

$\Gamma_{\lambda^{\nu}, n}^{\nu} = 0$, that is, $\varphi_{p_j, n}^{\nu}$, where $1 \leq j \leq u(\nu) + \nu$, generate $\text{Hom}(S_M^{\nu}, \mathbb{Z}/M)$.

In particular, the homomorphism α_2^{ν} in (33) is an isomorphism. For $1 - \nu \leq i \leq u(\nu)$, set

$$\lambda_1^{\nu} = \pi(i + \nu)/p_{\nu(i)} \quad (28)$$

and

$$z_1^{\nu} = \tau_{\lambda_1^{\nu}, n+m(\lambda_1^{\nu})} \in S_{\lambda_1^{\nu}, n} \quad (29)$$

For $1 \leq i \leq u(\nu)$ and $1 - \nu \leq j \leq u(\nu)$, define the elements $a_{ij}^{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}/M$ as follows: if $j > i$, or if $j + \nu = 1$ and i is even, then

$$a_{ij}^{\nu} = 0, \quad (30)$$

and for the remaining pairs ij :

$$a_{ij}^{\nu} = \psi_{p_{j+\nu}, n+m(\lambda_1^{\nu})} \left(\tau_{\lambda_1^{\nu}/p_{j+\nu}, n+m(\lambda_1^{\nu})} \right) / 1^{m(\lambda_1^{\nu})}. \quad (31)$$

From the orthogonality relation (15), with $n' = n + m(\lambda_1^{\nu})$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1^{\nu}$, it follows that for $1 \leq i \leq u(\nu)$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1-\nu}^{u(\nu)} a_{ij}^{\nu} \varphi_{p_{j+\nu}, n} = 0. \quad (32)$$

Let $a = \{a_{ij}^{\nu}\}$ be a square matrix of dimension u with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}/M . Let $A(a)$ denote the abelian M -periodic group given by

generators 1_j , where $1 \leq j \leq u$, and relations $\sum_{j=1}^u a_{ij} 1_j = 0$. By

identifying 1_j with the element of $(\mathbb{Z}/M)^u$ having the j^{th} component equal to 1 and the others equal to zero, we can identify $A(a)$ with the quotient group of $(\mathbb{Z}/M)^u$ with respect to the subgroup generated by the rows of a .

Let $r \geq 2 + \nu$, $a^{\nu} = \{a_{ij}^{\nu}\}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq u(\nu)$, and $A^{\nu} = A(a^{\nu})$. Sending 1_j to $\varphi_{p_{j+\nu}, n}^{\nu} \pmod{\varphi_{p_{\nu}, n}^{\nu}}$ and taking (32) into account, we define the surjective homomorphism α_1^{ν} in (33). We have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A^\nu & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1^\nu} & \Phi_{\lambda^\nu, n}^\nu / (\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2^\nu} & \text{Hom}(S_M^\nu, \mathbb{Z}/M) / (\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu) \\
 & & & & \uparrow \alpha_3^\nu \\
 X^\nu & \xrightarrow{\alpha_4^\nu} & & & \text{Hom}(X^\nu, \mathbb{Z}/M).
 \end{array} \tag{33}$$

Here $\varphi_{p_0, n}^0 \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} 1$ and $(\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu)$ is the subgroup generated by $\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu$. It was proved above that the natural injection α_2^ν is an isomorphism. The isomorphism α_3^ν is induced by the exact sequence (24), and α_4^ν is any isomorphism between X^ν and its dual group. We shall prove below that α_1^ν is an isomorphism as well.

If $b \in \mathbb{Z}/M$, then $\text{ord}_1(b) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} n - \#b$. Using Proposition 5, (26), and (27), we obtain the relation

$$\text{ord}_1(a_{ii}^\nu) = m(\lambda_1^\nu / p_{1+\nu}) - m(\lambda_1^\nu) \leq m_0 < n. \tag{34}$$

Since $a_{ij} = 0$ if $j > i$, it then follows that $\text{ord}_1[A^\nu] \leq z^\nu \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} u(\nu)$

$\sum_{i=1} \text{ord}_1(a_{ii}^\nu)$. Equation (34) implies that $z^0 + z^1 = 2m_0 - m(\pi(r-1)) - m(\pi(r)/p_1)$. We shall show that $m(\pi(r)/p_1) = m$. Since $m(\pi(r-1)) = m$, by the conditions on π , it follows that

$$\text{ord}_1([A^0][A^1]) \leq z^0 + z^1 = 2m_0 - 2m. \tag{35}$$

Let $\lambda = \pi(r)$. Since $\tau_{\lambda, n+m}$ and $s = \tau_{\lambda/(p_1 p_r), n+m}$ are orthogonal, considered as elements of $S_{\lambda, n}$ (cf. (15)), then if $\theta_1 =$

$\psi_{p_1, n+m}(\tau_{\lambda/p_1, n+m})/l^m$, it follows that

$$\theta_1 \psi_{p_1, n}(s) = \theta_2 \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} -(\varphi_{p_r, n+m}(\tau_{\lambda/p_r, n+m})/l^m) \psi_{p_r, n}(s).$$

From conditions (26) and (27) and the equality $m(\lambda/p_r) = m$, we obtain that $\#\theta_2 = \#s > 0$. Thus, $\theta_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/M)^*$ and $m(\lambda/p_1) = m$, since otherwise $m(\lambda/p_1) > m$, which implies that $\theta_1 \in l(\mathbb{Z}/M)$.

Since $\text{ord}_1([X^0][X^1]) = 2m_0 - 2m$ (cf. Theorem D of the introduction) and (35) holds, it follows that the surjective homomorphisms α_1^0 and α_1^1 are isomorphisms.

Note that $\psi_{p_{j+\nu}, n}(z_1^\nu) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$, because then, by Proposition

1, $z_1^\nu(p_{j+\nu}) \in B_{p_{j+\nu}, n}^\nu$ and $\psi_{p, n}(B_{p, n}) = 0$ (cf. §1). We see from (26) and (27) that, if $u(\nu) \geq 2$ and $i < u(\nu)$, then $\varphi_{p_{i+1+\nu}}(z_1^\nu) \in (\mathbb{Z}/M)^*$. According to (14), $\#z_1^\nu = n + m(\lambda_1^\nu) - m(\lambda_1^\nu) = n$. We shall show that if $(c_1, \dots, c_{u(\nu)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/M)^{u(\nu)}$ is such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{u(\nu)} c_i z_1^\nu = 0, \tag{36}$$

then $c_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq u(\nu)$. It is sufficient to consider the case $u(\nu) \geq 2$. Then for $2 \leq j \leq u(\nu) + \nu$, we apply the characters $\psi_{p_{j+\nu}, n}$ to (36). By the properties of z_1^ν noted above, we obtain $c_1 = \dots = c_{u(\nu)-1} = 0$ and, hence, $c_{u(\nu)} = 0$ as well.

Then, from the definition of z_1^ν and Proposition 1, it follows that $z_1^\nu(p_{j+\nu}) = a_{ij}^\nu b_{p_{j+\nu}, n}^\nu \pmod{E(K(p_{j+\nu}))/M}$. Thus, $w = \sum_{i=1}^{u(\nu)} c_i z_1^\nu \in S_{p_\nu, n}^\nu$ and the following relation holds for $1 \leq j \leq u(\nu)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{u(\nu)} c_i a_{ij}^\nu = 0. \tag{37}$$

Note that the orthogonality between elements of $S_{p_1, n}^1$ and $x \pmod{ME(K)}$, in view of the fact that $\varphi_{p_1, n}(x \pmod{ME(K)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/M)^*$ and (26), implies that $S_{p_1, n}^1 = S_M^1$. Therefore, (37) is the condition that w belong to the group S_M^ν . Let $B^\nu = \{c_1, \dots, c_{u(\nu)}\}$ be the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/M)^{u(\nu)}$ defined by (37). If a is a matrix, then a^{tr} denotes the transpose of the matrix a .

The pairing $(\mathbb{Z}/M)^{u(\nu)} \times (\mathbb{Z}/M)^{u(\nu)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M$, under which $(1_i, 1_j) = \delta_{ij}$ (the Kronecker symbol), induces the isomorphism β_2^ν in (38). The isomorphism β_1^ν is any isomorphism of the dual groups. Then β_3^ν is an injection under which $(c_1, \dots, c_{u(\nu)}) \mapsto w$. The isomorphism β_4^ν is induced by the homomorphism $S_M^\nu \rightarrow X^\nu$ in (24). We have

$$A(a^\nu \text{ tr}) \xrightarrow{\sim \beta_1^\nu} \text{Hom}(A(a^\nu \text{ tr}), \mathbb{Z}/M) \xrightarrow{\sim \beta_2^\nu} B^\nu \xrightarrow{\sim \beta_3^\nu} \ker \varphi_{p_{2\nu}}^\nu \xrightarrow{\sim \beta_4^\nu} X^\nu. \tag{38}$$

We shall show that, for $n > 2m_0$, β_3^ν is also an isomorphism. Let a be a square matrix of dimension u over \mathbb{Z}/M such that $a_{ij} = 0$ for $j > i$ and $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^u \text{ord}_1(a_{ii}) \leq n$. Using induction on u and our assumptions, we see that $\text{ord}_1[A(a)] = \xi$.

In particular, if $n > 2m$ and $a = a^{\nu \text{ tr}}$, then $\xi \leq n$, by virtue of (35), and hence, $\text{ord}_1[B^\nu] = \xi = z^\nu$. Thus, since $\text{ord}_1([X^0][X^1]) = z^0 + z^1 = 2m_0 - 2m$, and β_3^0 and β_3^1 are injections, it follows that β_3^0 and β_3^1 are isomorphisms.

Note that since $1^{m_0} X^\nu = 0$, then for $n = m_0$ and $n' > 2m_0$, we have the isomorphisms α_k^ν , and for $n' > 3m_0$, the isomorphisms β_k^ν for $1 \leq k \leq 4$ (obtained by reduction modulo 1^{m_0} of the corresponding homomorphisms for $n = m_0 + 1$).

Fix $\theta = 2$ or $\theta = 3$. Assume that the value of m is known, for example, $m = m^?$; that is, the 1-component of BS for \tilde{E} over K is true. Assume as well that we can effectively calculate the values of $\psi_{p, n''}$ on $\tau_{\lambda', n''}$ for $\lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $(p, \lambda') = 1$, i.e., in view of (10), we can calculate the coordinates of $\tilde{P}_\lambda \in \tilde{E}(F)$, where F is the residue field of $K(p)$.

Then the above exposition gives us an algorithm for calculating m_0 for some $r \geq 1$, $n' \geq \theta m_0 + 1$, and $\pi = (p_0, \dots, p_r) \in \Pi_{n'}^r$ such that $m(\lambda) = m(\lambda/p_1) = m$, where $\lambda = \pi(r)$, and for calculating the coefficients $a_{ij}^\nu \in \mathbb{Z}/M_0$, where $M_0 = 1^{m_0}$. Then for $n = m_0$, we will obtain the isomorphism (33), in particular, the isomorphism $A^\nu \xrightarrow{\sim} X^\nu$ and the parameterization of the dual group of X^ν by the characters ψ_{p, m_0}^ν for $p | (\lambda^\nu/p)$. If $\theta = 3$, then we also obtain the isomorphisms in (38), in particular, the parameterization of X^ν by means of $\{z_i^\nu\}$. We can, of course, use the explicit matrix $a^\nu = \{a_{ij}^\nu\}$ to calculate the invariants of X^ν .

Now we shall demonstrate the algorithm. Sort out (in any order) a triple $n' > m$, $r \geq 1$, π such that $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^r$, until one is obtained which satisfies the following conditions.

First, we verify the condition

$$\psi_{p_r, m+1}(\tau_{\lambda/p, m+1}) = 0. \tag{39}$$

It follows from (39) that $m(\lambda/p) = m$ and, in view of Proposition 5,

that $m(\lambda) = m$. If $r = 1$, then (39) implies that $m_0 = m$, hence $X = 0$, since $[X] = 1$ $^{2m-2m_0}$, and we complete the calculations. If $r > 1$, then we verify the conditions

$$\frac{n' - 1}{\theta} \geq m'_0 \frac{df}{\min_{1 \leq j \leq u(1)+1} \text{ord}_1(\psi_{p_j, n'}(\tau_{1, n'}))} \quad (40)$$

and

$$\psi_{p_2, m'_0+1}(\tau_{1, m'_0+1}) \neq 0. \quad (41)$$

It follows from (40) that $m_0 = m'_0$. If $r > 2$, then we verify the condition

$$\psi_{p_1, m'_0+1}(\tau_{1, m'_0+1}) \neq 0. \quad (42)$$

Furthermore, for $1 \leq i \leq u(\nu)$, we can calculate the values $m(\lambda_{1_i}^\nu)$ according to the formula

$$m(\lambda_{1_i}^\nu) = \min_{j=\nu(i)-\nu, i < j \leq u(\nu)} \text{ord}_1 \psi_{p_{j+\nu}, m'_0+1}(\tau_{\lambda_{1_i}^\nu, m'_0+1}). \quad (43)$$

Recall that $(r, \nu) = r$ if $r - \nu$ is odd and $(r, \nu) = r - 1$, otherwise. Then for $\nu = 0$, and for $\nu = 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq (r, \nu) - \nu - 1$ (if such i exist), we verify the condition

$$\psi_{p_{1+\nu+1}, m(\lambda_{1_i}^\nu)+1}(\tau_{\lambda_{1_i}^\nu, m(\lambda_{1_i}^\nu)+1}) \neq 0. \quad (44)$$

The conditions (39), (41), and (40) if $r = 2$, or (42) and (44) if $r > 2$, are equivalent to the conditions (26) and (27); thus, we require a triple n', r, π for which (39) and (40) hold and, if $r \geq 2$, (42) and (44) hold as well (for the case $r = 1$, see above).

The coefficients of a^ν for $r - \nu \geq 2$ are calculated using (30) and (31).

If $r = 2$ or 3 , then $m_2 = m(p_1, p_2) = m$, hence, $m_r = m$ for $r \geq 2$. Furthermore, $u(0) = 2$ and the matrix a^0 is a square diagonal matrix such that $\text{ord}_1(a_{11}^0) = m_0 - m(p_1)$. In view of Theorem C (see the introduction) and (33), we obtain that $m_1 = m(p_1)$ and $\text{ord}_1(a_{22}^0) = m_0 - m(p_1)$. Then (38), as well as (33), holds already (if $n = m_0$) for $\theta = 2$. In particular, $X^0 \simeq S_{M_0}^0 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/1^{m_0-m_1})^2$; moreover, τ_{p_1, m_0} and τ_{p_2, m_0} form a basis for $S_{M_0}^0$, and φ_{p_1, m_0}^0 and φ_{p_2, m_0}^0 form a basis for $\text{Hom}(S_{M_0}^0, \mathbb{Z}/M_0)$. If $r = 2$, then $m_1 =$

$m(p_1) = m$; if $r = 3$, then $p_1 = \lambda_1^0$ and, according to (43), $m_1 = \text{ord}_1 \psi_{p_2, m_0+1}(\tau_{p_1, m_0+1})$.

If $r = 2$, then $\#X^1 = m_1 - m_2 = m - m = 0$, so $X^1 = 0$. Suppose that $r =$

3. Then $Y = \ker \varphi_{p_1, m_0} \simeq X^1 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/1^{m(p_1)-m})^2$, and φ_{p_2, m_0}^1 and φ_{p_3, m_0}^1 , restricted to Y , form a basis of $\text{Hom}(Y, \mathbb{Z}/M_0)$.

For $r > 3$, the group $\Lambda^V \simeq X^V$ splits into the direct sum of two isomorphic subgroups (according to Theorem C). Such a decomposition is obtained as a result of the orthogonality between τ_{λ', m_0} and τ_{λ'', m_0} for $\lambda' | \lambda$ and $\lambda'' | \lambda$. This permits more rapid calculation of the invariants of X^V .

Recall (cf. Theorem E of the Introduction) that the 1-component of BS is the equality $m = m^?$. If it is known that $m \geq m^?$, which is automatically true when $m^? = 0$, then we can use the algorithm, as above, with $m^?$ in place of m . A calculation using this process ends if and only if $m = m^?$, hence it allows us to obtain the information above simultaneously with the proof of the equality $m = m^?$.

Let C be a curve of genus 1 over K having a point over $K(v)$ for all places v of K . Suppose that C is a principal homogeneous space over E , $(z) \in H^1(K, E)$ is the cohomology class corresponding to C , M is the period of (z) , every rational prime dividing M belongs to $B(E)$, $z \in S_M^1$ is the element of the Selmer group which lies over (z) , and that for all $l|M$ and $p \in \Lambda^1$ we can calculate the value $z(p) \in E(K(p))/M$. Adding to z , if necessary, the element $T\left(\sum_{1|M} 1^{-m_0}\right)P_1 \pmod{ME(K)}$, with the corresponding $T \subset \mathbb{N}$, we may assume that for all $l|M$ we have $z(p_1)^1 \equiv 0 \pmod{1^{m_0-m}}$. Then we have the following effective criterion (necessary and sufficient condition) for the curve C to have a point over K (with m , m_0 , and λ , of course, corresponding to 1):

$$\forall 1|M, \forall p|\lambda \quad z(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{1^{m_0-m} E(K(p))}. \quad (45)$$

If the curve C is defined over \mathbb{Q} and has a point over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ for all places of \mathbb{Q} , then the effective criterion for C to have a point over \mathbb{Q} is the criterion (45) with $z(p)^V$ in place of $z(p)$, where $(1)^{V-1} \varepsilon = 1$.

References

1. Kolyvagin, V. A., "On the Mordell-Weil group and the Shafarevich-Tate group of Weil elliptic curves," *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 52, No. 6, 1154-1180 (1988).
2. Rubin, K., "The Tate-Shafarevich group and L-functions of elliptic curves with complex multiplication," *Invent. Math.*, 89, 527-560 (1987).
3. Kolyvagin, V. A., "Finiteness of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\prod_{p|N} (E, \mathbb{Q})$ for a subclass of Weil curves," *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 52, No. 3, 522-540 (1988)
4. Kolyvagin, V. A., "Euler systems." To appear in the Grothendieck Festschrift, Birkhäuser.
5. Gross, B. H., Zagler, D., "Heegner points and derivatives of L-series," *Invent. Math.*, 84, 225-320 (1986).
6. Gross, B. H., "Kolyvagin's work on modular elliptic curves." To appear in Proceedings of the Durham Symposium on L-functions and Arithmetic (1989).
7. Tate, J., "The arithmetic of elliptic curves," *Invent. Math.*, 23, 179-206 (1974).
8. Shimura, G., Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1971).
9. Serre, J. -P., Cohomologie Galoisienne, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1973).
10. Kolyvagin, V. A., Logachev, D. Y., Finiteness of the Shafarevich-Tate Group and the Group of Rational Points for Some Modular Abelian Varieties, *Algebra and Analysis (USSR)*, No. 5 (1989).