

# On the Structure of Shafarevich-Tate Groups

V. A. Kolyvagin

Steklov Mathematical Institute, 117966, Moscow, GSP-1  
Vavilova St. 42, USSR.

1989

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Statement of Main Theorem of [?]</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Notation</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Properties of the Classes <math>\tau_{\lambda,n}</math></b>	<b>8</b>
4.1	The Definition of the Classes $\tau_{\lambda,n}$ . . . . .	8
4.2	Properties of the Points $y_\lambda$ . . . . .	9
4.3	Properties of the Localization of $\tau_{\lambda,n}$ . . . . .	10
<b>5</b>	<b>The Orthogonality Relation and the Characters <math>\Psi_{p,n}</math></b>	<b>16</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>A Structure Theorem for <math>\text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty]</math></b>	<b>22</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Parametrization of <math>\text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty]</math></b>	<b>28</b>

## 1 Introduction

Let  $E$  be a Weil elliptic curve over the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Note that, according to the Weil-Taniyama conjecture, every elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a Weil curve. Let  $R$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $E(R)$  the group of points of  $E$  over  $R$ . According to the Mordell-Weil theorem,  $E(R)$  is a finitely generated

(abelian) group, that is,  $E(R)_{\text{tor}}$  is finite and  $E(R) \cong E(R)_{\text{tor}} \times \mathbb{Z}^{g(R,E)}$ , where  $0 \leq g(R, E) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the rank of  $E$  over  $R$ . Let  $L(E, R, s)$  denote the  $L$ -function of  $E$  over  $R$  (which is defined modulo the product of a finite number of Euler factors). According to the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (which we abbreviate as BS),  $g(R, E)$  is the order of the zero of  $L(E, R, s)$  at  $s = 1$ .

Another important arithmetic invariant of  $E$  is the Shafarevich-Tate group of  $E$  over  $R$ :

$$\text{III}(R, E) = \ker \left( H^1(R, E) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(R(v), E) \right)$$

( $v$  runs through the set of all places of  $R$ ; see the section on notation at the end of the introduction). It is known (the weak Mordell-Weil theorem) that  $\text{III}(R, E)$  is a torsion group and for all natural  $M$  its subgroup  $\text{III}(R, E)_M$  of  $M$ -torsion elements is finite.

It is conjectured that  $\text{III}(R, E)$  is finite. In that case, BS suggests an expression for the order of  $\text{III}(R, E)$  as a product of  $L^{(g(R,E))}(E, R, 1)$  and some other nonzero values connected with  $E$  (for examples, see (1) in [1] for the case  $R = \mathbb{Q}$ , and see Theorem 1.2 below). Let  $[\text{III}(R, E)]^?$  denote the hypothetical order of  $\text{III}(R, E)$ ; then, according to BS, we have the equality  $[\text{III}(R, E)] = [\text{III}(R, E)]^?$ .

For a long time, no examples of  $E$  and  $R$  were known where  $\text{III}(R, E)$  is finite. Only recently, Rubin [2] proved that  $\text{III}(R, E)$  is finite if  $E$  has complex multiplication,  $R$  is the field of complex multiplication, and  $L(E, \mathbb{Q}, 1) \neq 0$ ; the author [1], [3], [4] proved finiteness of  $\text{III}$  for some family (see below) of Weil curves and imaginary quadratic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a more detailed exposition of these methods, results, and examples, see the introductions to [1] and [4].

We now state some results [4] from which we begin the study of  $\text{III}$  in this article.

Let  $N$  be the conductor of  $E$  and  $\gamma : X_N \rightarrow E$  a Weil parametrization. Here  $X_N$  is the modular curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  which parameterizes isomorphism classes of isogenies  $E' \rightarrow E''$  of elliptic curves with cyclic kernel of order  $N$ . The field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  has discriminant  $D$  satisfying  $0 > D \equiv \text{square} \pmod{4N}$ , where  $D \neq -3$  or  $-4$ . Fix an ideal  $i_1$  of the ring of integers  $O_1$  of  $K$  for which  $O_1/i_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/N$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $K_\lambda$  be the ring class field of  $K$  with conductor  $\lambda$ . In particular,  $K_1$  is the maximal abelian unramified extension of  $K$ . If  $(\lambda, N) = 1$ ,  $O_\lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \lambda O_1$ , and  $i_\lambda = i_1 \cap O_\lambda$ , let  $z_\lambda$  denote

the point of  $X_N$  over  $K_\lambda$  corresponding to the isogeny  $\mathbb{C}/O_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/i_\lambda^{-1}$  (here  $i_\lambda^{-1} \supset O_\lambda$  is the inverse of  $I_\lambda$  in the group of proper  $O_{|\lambda|}$ -ideals). Set  $y_\lambda = \gamma(z_\lambda) \in E(K_\lambda)$ ; the point  $P_1$  is the norm of  $y_1$  from  $K_1$  to  $K$ . The points  $y_\lambda$  and  $P_1$  are called Heegner points.

Let  $\mathcal{O} = \text{End}(E)$  and  $Q = \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\ell$  be a rational prime,  $T = \varprojlim E_{\ell^n}$  the Tate module, and  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ . Let  $B(E)$  denote the set of odd rational primes which do not divide the discriminant of  $\mathcal{O}$  and for which the natural representation  $\rho : G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}} T$  is surjective. It is known (from the theory of complex multiplication and Serre theory) that the set of primes not belonging to  $B(E)$  is finite. Moreover, according to the Mazur theorem, if  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $N$  is square-free, then all  $\ell \geq 11$  belong to  $B(E)$ .

If the point  $P_1$  has infinite order, (that is,  $P_1 \notin E(K)_{\text{tor}}$ ) and  $g(K, E) = 1$ , let  $C_K$  denote the integer  $[E(K)/\mathbb{Z}P_1]$ . The author proved the following theorem in [4].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $P_1$  has infinite order. Then  $g(K, E) = 1$ , the group  $\text{III}(K, E)$  is finite, and  $[\text{III}(K, E)]$  divides  $dC_K^2$ , where for all  $\ell \in B(E)$  we have  $\text{ord}_\ell(d) = 0$ .*

In Theorem 1.1,  $d$  is an integer which depends upon  $E$  but not upon  $K$ . The application of Theorem ?? to BS is clear from the following result of Gross and Zagier [5] for  $(D, 2N) = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *The function  $L(E, K, s)$  vanishes at  $s = 1$ . The point  $P_1$  has infinite order  $\iff L'(E, K, 1) \neq 0$ . If  $P_1$  has infinite order, then the conjecture that the group  $\text{III}(K, E)$  is finite and BS for  $E$  over  $K$ , together, are equivalent to the following statement:  $g(K, E) = 1$ ,  $\text{III}(K, E)$  is finite, and  $[\text{III}(K, E)] = \left( C_K / \left( c \prod_{q|N} b\langle q \rangle \right) \right)^2$ .*

In Theorem 1.2, the integer  $c$  is defined in terms of the parameterization  $\gamma$  (cf. [5]), and the integer  $b\langle q \rangle$ , where  $q | N$  is prime, is the index in  $E(\mathbb{Q}_q)$  of the subgroup of points which have nonsingular reduction modulo  $q$ .

Let  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$ , where  $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , be the canonical  $L$ -series of  $E$ . It converges absolutely for  $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$  and has an analytical continuation to an entire function of the complex argument. Let  $L(E, s)$  denote this function; it is the canonical  $L$ -function over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of the elliptic curve  $E$ . The function

$$\Xi(E, s) = (2\pi)^{-s} N^{s/2} \Gamma(s) L(E, s)$$

satisfies the following functional equation:

$$\Xi(E, 2 - s) = (-\varepsilon)\Xi(E, s),$$

where  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(E)$  is equal to 1 or  $-1$ .

Fix a prime  $\ell \in B(E)$ . Let  $n(p) = \text{ord}_\ell(p + 1, a_p)$ , where  $p$  is a rational prime. Hereafter in this article we use the notation  $p$  or  $p_k$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , only for rational primes which do not divide  $N$ , remain prime in  $K$ , and for which  $n(p) > 0$ . If  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Lambda^r$  denote the set of all products of  $r$  distinct such primes. The set  $\Lambda^0$  contains only  $P_0 := 1$ , and  $\Lambda = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} \Lambda^r$ . If  $r > 0$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda^r$ , let  $n(\lambda)$  denote  $\min_{p|\lambda} n(p)$ ; then  $M_\lambda = \ell^{n(\lambda)}$  and  $n(1) = \infty$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq n(\lambda)$ , and  $M = \ell^n$ . In [4], we constructed some cohomology classes  $\tau_{\lambda,n} \in H^1(K, E_M)$  which played a central role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

If  $R$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_M \rightarrow E(\overline{R}) \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\times M} 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(R)/M \rightarrow H^1(R, E_M) \rightarrow H^1(R, E)_M \rightarrow 0.$$

If  $R/L$  is a Galois extension, then

$$\text{res}_{R/L} : H^1(L, E_M) \rightarrow H^1(R, E_M)^{G(R/L)}$$

is the restriction homomorphism, which is an isomorphism when the  $\ell$ -component of the torsion part of  $E(R)$  is trivial (because of the spectral sequence). It is easily seen that the condition  $\ell \in B(E)$  leads to the triviality of the  $\ell$ -component of the torsion subgroup of  $E(K_\lambda)$  (cf. [6] for the case  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}$ ; the case  $\mathcal{O} \neq \mathbb{Z}$  can be considered analogously). In particular, the value  $\text{res}_{K_\lambda/K}$  completely determines the element  $\tau_{\lambda,n}$ . We now give an expression for this value. We use the standard facts about ring class fields (which follow from Galois theory and class field theory, cf. §1 in [3]). If  $1 \leq \lambda \in \Lambda$ , then the natural homomorphism  $G(K_\lambda/K_1) \rightarrow \prod_{p|\lambda} G(K_p/K_1)$  is an isomorphism, and we also have the isomorphisms

$$G(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p}) \xrightarrow{\cong} G(K_p/K_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/(p + 1).$$

For all  $p$ , fix a generator  $t_p \in G(K_p/K_1)$  and let  $t_p$  also denote the generator of  $G(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p})$  corresponding to this  $t_p$ .

## 2 Statement of Main Theorem of [?]

Let  $\ell$  be an odd prime and  $A$  a finite abelian group of  $\ell$ -power order. The *sequence of invariants* of  $A$  is the nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$  such that

$$A \approx \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{n_i}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Fix an elliptic curve  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and let  $\varepsilon$  denote the *negative* of the sign of the functional equation of  $E$ , and let  $K$  be a field that satisfies the Heegner hypothesis.

Suppose  $A$  is equipped with an action of complex conjugation  $\sigma$ . For  $\nu = 0, 1$  let  $A^\nu$  denote the submodule  $(1 - (-1)^\nu \varepsilon \sigma)A$ . Since  $\ell$  is odd,  $A = A^0 \oplus A^1$ , and  $\sigma$  acts on  $A^\nu$  as multiplication by  $(-1)^{\nu-1} \varepsilon$ . Proof:

$$\sigma(1 - (-1)^\nu \varepsilon \sigma)x = (\sigma - (-1)^\nu \varepsilon)x = (-1)^{\nu-1} \varepsilon x + \sigma x,$$

and

$$(-1)^{\nu-1} \varepsilon (1 - (-1)^\nu \varepsilon \sigma)x = ((-1)^{\nu-1} \varepsilon - (-1)^{2\nu-1} \sigma)x = ((-1)^{\nu-1} \varepsilon + \sigma)x.$$

Let  $X = \text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty]$ , and for  $\nu = 0, 1$ , let  $\{x_i^\nu\}$  be the sequence of invariants of  $X^\nu$ . If  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\nu(r) \in \{0, 1\}$  be such that  $r - \nu(r) - 1$  is even. Set

$$\xi(r, \nu) = r - |\nu - \nu(r)|.$$

Let  $B(E)$  denote the set of odd rational primes which do not divide the discriminant of  $\mathcal{O} = \text{End}(E)$  and for which  $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}}(T_\ell(E))$  is surjective. Fix  $\ell \in B(E)$  and for any prime  $p$  let  $n(p) = \text{ord}_\ell(\text{gcd}(p+1, a_p))$ . Let  $\Lambda^r$  denote the set of all products of  $r$  distinct primes  $p \nmid N$  such that  $p$  is inert in  $K$ , and for which  $n(p) > 0$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the union of the  $\Lambda^r$ , and for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  let  $n(\lambda) = \min_{p|\lambda} n(p)$ .

Suppose  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Let  $m'(\lambda)$  be the exponent of the highest power of  $\ell$  that divides  $P_\lambda$  in  $E(K_\lambda)$ . Let

$$m(\lambda) = \begin{cases} m'(\lambda) & \text{if } m'(\lambda) < n(\lambda), \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $m_r = \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda^r} m(\lambda)$ . For example,  $m_0 = \text{ord}_\ell([E(K) : \mathbb{Z}P_1])$ . Let

$$m = \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m(\lambda).$$

**Theorem 2.1** (Kolyvagin). *If  $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $r \geq 1 + \nu$ , then*

$$x_{r-\nu}^\nu = m_{\xi(r,\nu)-1} - m_{\xi(r,\nu)}.$$

**Theorem 2.2** (Kolyvagin).  *$\#\text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty] = \ell^{2(m_0-m)}$*

**Theorem 2.3** (Kolyvagin). *The full Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is true for  $E$  over  $K$  if and only if  $m = \text{ord}_\ell \left( c \prod_{q|N} c_q \right)$ , where  $c$  is the Manin constant, and the  $c_q$  are the Tamagawa numbers.*

### 3 Notation

Let  $\ell$  be a prime and  $A$  an abelian group of  $\ell$ -power order.

$\ell =$  a prime

$A =$  abelian group of  $\ell$ -power order

$M = \ell^n$

$A[M] =$  kernel of multiplication by  $M$

$A/MA =$  cokernel of multiplication by  $M$

$\bar{L} =$  algebraic closure of  $L$ , embedded in  $\mathbb{C}$

$\text{Gal}(R/L) =$  Galois group of  $R/L$ , when defined

$H^1(L, A) = H^1(\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L), A)$

$\mathcal{O}^* =$  units in the ring  $\mathcal{O}$

$R(v) =$  completion of  $R$  at the place  $v$

$K_\lambda =$  ring class field of  $K$  of conductor  $\lambda$

$\mathcal{K} =$  the unramified quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$

$H^1(R, A) \ni \tau \mapsto \tau_v = \tau(v) \in H^1(R_v, A)$

$\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p \approx \bar{K}(\mathfrak{p}) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}|v} R_v$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a fixed place over  $p \in \Lambda^1$

$H_{p,n} =$  (see page 12)

$X = \text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty]$

$n(\lambda) = \min_{p|\lambda} \text{ord}_\ell(\gcd(p+1, a_p))$

$m'(\lambda) = \text{ord}_\ell(P_\lambda \in E(K_\lambda))$

$m(\lambda) = \begin{cases} m'(\lambda) & \text{if } m'(\lambda) < n(\lambda), \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$m_r = \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda^r} m(\lambda)$

$m_0 = \text{ord}_\ell([E(K) : \mathbb{Z}P_1])$

$\nu \in \{0, 1\}$  (fixed)

$\nu(r) \in \{0, 1\}$  has opposite parity to that of  $r$

$\xi(r, \nu) = r - |\nu - \nu(r)|$

$\Lambda^r = \{ \text{all products of } r \text{ distinct } p \nmid N \text{ s.t. } p \text{ is inert in } K \text{ and } n(p) > 0 \}$

$\Lambda = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} \Lambda^r$

$\Lambda_n^r = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda^r : n(\lambda) \geq n \}$

$\Lambda_n = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} \Lambda_n^r$

7

$e(A) = e_\ell(A) = \min\{k \geq 0 : \ell^k A = 0\}$  (here  $A$  is a torsion  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module)

$e(a) = e_\ell(a) = e(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \cdot a) = \log_\ell(\text{order}(a))$

$\psi_{p,n}^\nu =$  (see page 14)

$u(\nu) =$  (see page 28)

We use  $n, n', n''$  for natural numbers and  $M, M', M''$ , resp., for  $\ell^n, \ell^{n'}$ , and  $\ell^{n''}$ .

## 4 Properties of the Classes $\tau_{\lambda, n}$

### 4.1 The Definition of the Classes $\tau_{\lambda, n}$

Fix  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\ell \in B(E)$ . Let  $M = \ell^n$ , where  $1 \leq n \leq n(\lambda)$ . We construct a class  $\tau_{\lambda, n} \in H^1(K, E[M])$ .

Let  $K_\lambda$  be the ring class field of  $K$  with conductor  $\lambda$ . Thus  $K_1$  is the Hilbert class field of  $K$  and if  $\lambda > 1$ , then

$$\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K_1) \longrightarrow \prod_{p|\lambda} \text{Gal}(K_p/K_1)$$

is an isomorphism and

$$\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gal}(K_p/K_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/(p+1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

For each  $p \mid \lambda$ , fix a generator  $t_p \in \text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda = \mathbb{Z} + \lambda\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\mathcal{I}_\lambda = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{O}_\lambda$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathcal{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $z_\lambda \in X_0(N)(K_\lambda)$  be the point corresponding to the cyclic  $N$ -isogeny

$$(\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{I}_\lambda^{-1}).$$

Set

$$y_\lambda = \pi_E(z_\lambda) \in E(K_\lambda).$$

Since  $\ell \in B(E)$ ,

$$\text{res}_K^{K_\lambda} : H^1(K, E[M]) \rightarrow H^1(K_\lambda, E[M])^{\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K)}$$

is an *isomorphism*. Thus to construct an element of  $H^1(K, E[M])$ , it suffices to give an element of  $H^1(K_\lambda, E[M])^{\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K)}$ , which is what we now do.

Let

$$I_p = - \sum_{i=1}^p i t_p^i$$

and

$$I_\lambda = \prod_{p|\lambda} I_p \in \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K_1)].$$

Let  $J_\lambda = \sum g$ , where  $g$  runs through a set of coset representatives for  $\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K_1)$  inside  $\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K)$ . Then  $J_\lambda I_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K)]$  and we let

$$P_\lambda = J_\lambda I_\lambda y_\lambda \in E(K_\lambda).$$

Then

$$\text{res}_K^{K_\lambda}(\tau_{\lambda,n}) = P_\lambda \pmod{ME(K_\lambda)} \in E(K_\lambda)/ME(K_\lambda) \hookrightarrow H^1(K_\lambda, E[M]). \quad (4.1)$$

**Remark 4.1.** If  $P_1$  has infinite order, then Kolyvagin proved that

$$\#\text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty] \mid \ell^{2m_0},$$

where  $m_0 = \text{ord}_\ell([E(K) : \mathbb{Z}P_1])$ .

## 4.2 Properties of the Points $y_\lambda$

Suppose  $p \mid \lambda$  and set  $\text{Tr}_p = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t_p^i$ . Then

$$\text{Tr}_p y_\lambda = a_p y_{\lambda/p}.$$

Let  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  denote the residue class field of  $\overline{K}_p$ , and set  $\tilde{E} = E_{/\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ .

$$E(\overline{K}_p) \ni \alpha \mapsto \tilde{\alpha} \in \tilde{E}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p).$$

Let  $\text{Fr}_p : \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  be the  $p$ th power automorphism. For all  $g \in \text{Gal}(K_\lambda/\mathbb{Q})$ , we have

$$\widetilde{gy_\lambda} = \text{Fr}_p(\widetilde{gy_{\lambda/p}}).$$

Let  $\theta_\lambda$  be the Artin reciprocity homomorphism from the group of classes of  $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$  ideals to  $\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K)$ , and let  $\sigma$  denote complex conjugation. We have

$$\sigma(y_\lambda) \equiv \varepsilon \theta_\lambda(\mathcal{I}_\lambda) y_\lambda \pmod{E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}}. \quad (4.2)$$

We have

$$(t_p - 1)I_p = \text{Tr}_p - (p + 1).$$

If  $M \mid \gcd(p+1, a_p)$ , then for all  $g \in \text{Gal}(K_\lambda/\mathbb{Q})$ , we have

$$gP_\lambda \equiv P_\lambda \pmod{ME(K_\lambda)},$$

so (4.1) really does defines an element  $\tau_{\lambda,n} \in H^1(K, E[M])$ .

Since  $\sigma g = g^{-1}\sigma$  for all  $g \in \text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K)$ , it follows that

$$\sigma I_p \equiv -I_p \sigma \pmod{M}.$$

This and (4.2) imply that if  $\lambda \in \Lambda^r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma P_\lambda &= \varepsilon(-1)^r P_\lambda \pmod{ME(K_\lambda)}, \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma \tau_{\lambda,n} &= \varepsilon(-1)^r \tau_{\lambda,n}. \end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 Properties of the Localization of $\tau_{\lambda,n}$

Recall that  $p$  is a prime of good reduction for  $E$  which is inert in  $K$  and that

$$a_p \equiv p+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{M}.$$

The primes  $p$  that we will actually use to prove things will be given by a Chebaterov density argument, so we can safely assume that  $p > 2$  (so that the appropriate reduction maps are injective). For all  $M = \ell^{n'}$ , we have

$$E[M] \subset E(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{un}})$$

and reduction induces a  $G_p = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{un}}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  isomorphism

$$E[M] \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{E}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[M].$$

We have

$$\text{Fr}_p^2 - a_p \text{Fr}_p + p = 0$$

on  $E[M]$  and  $\tilde{E}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[M]$ . Since  $a_p \equiv p+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ ,

$$\text{Fr}_p^2 - 1 = 0 \quad \text{on } E[M],$$

so  $E[M] \subset E[\mathcal{K}]$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the unramified quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Since  $p$  is inert in  $K$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{K} = K(p)$ .

Let  $F = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  denote the residue class field of  $\mathcal{K}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *We have a commutative square of isomorphisms*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(\mathcal{K})/ME(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{f_{p,n}} & E[M] \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \tilde{E}(F)/M\tilde{E}(F) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tilde{f}_{p,n}} & \tilde{E}[M], \end{array}$$

where

$$f_{p,n} = \frac{\text{Fr}_{p^2} - 1}{M}, \quad \tilde{f}_{p,n} = \frac{a_p}{M} \text{Fr}_p - \frac{p+1}{M}.$$

(The meaning of  $f_{p,n}$  is “first make a choice of  $M$ th root, then apply  $\text{Fr}_{p^2} - 1$ ”; this is well defined since different choices differ by an  $M$ th root, and the  $M$ th roots are fixed by  $\text{Fr}_{p^2}$ , since they are rational over  $\mathcal{K}$ .)

*Proof.* Suppose  $f_{p,n}(P) = 0$ , so there is  $Q \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$  such that  $MQ = P$  and  $(\text{Fr}_p^2 - 1)(Q) = 0$ . Thus  $Q \in E(\mathcal{K})$ , so  $P \pmod{ME(\mathcal{K})} = 0$ , and  $f_{p,n}$  is injective. The diagram commutes because  $\text{Fr}_p^2 - 1 = a_p \text{Fr}_p - (p+1)$  on  $E(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)[\ell^\infty]$ . The leftmost vertical map is surjective, by Hensel’s lemma, and hence an isomorphism because, as mentioned above, the rightmost vertical map is an isomorphism (and  $f_{p,n}$  is injective). Because  $f_{p,n}$  is injective so is  $\tilde{f}_{p,n}$ , so to complete the proof it suffices to show that  $\tilde{f}_{p,n}$  is surjective. Since  $\#\tilde{E}(F)$  is finite,

$$\# \left( \frac{\tilde{E}(F)}{M\tilde{E}(F)} \right) = \frac{\#\tilde{E}(F)}{\#M\tilde{E}(F)} = \frac{\#\tilde{E}(F)}{\#\tilde{E}(F)/\#\tilde{E}[M]} = \#\tilde{E}[M].$$

Thus  $\tilde{f}_{p,n}$  and hence  $f_{p,n}$  must be surjective.  $\square$

Let

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_M : E[M] \times E[M] \longrightarrow \mu_M$$

denote the Weil pairing. We have

$$[\gamma(e_1), \gamma(e_2)]_M = \gamma([e_1, e_2]_M) \tag{4.3}$$

for all  $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $E[M] = E[M]^0 \oplus E[M]^1$  be the decomposition of  $E[M]$  with respect to the involution  $\text{Fr}_p$ , as described in Section 2.

**Lemma 4.3.**  $E[M]^\nu \approx \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$  for  $\nu = 0, 1$ .

*Proof.* If the lemma is false, then  $\text{Fr}_p = 1$  or  $\text{Fr}_p = -1$  on  $E[\ell]$  (I don't 100% see this, though I don't see how it could be wrong either), and we have for any  $e_1, e_2 \in E[M]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2]_\ell &= [\text{Fr}_p(e_1), \text{Fr}_p(e_2)]_\ell = \text{Fr}_p[e_1, e_2]_\ell \\ &= ([e_1, e_2]_\ell)^p = [e_1, e_2]_\ell^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $[e_1, e_2]_\ell = 1$ , since  $\ell$  is odd. (In the last equality, we used that  $p \equiv -1 \pmod{\ell}$ .) This is impossible, because  $[\ , \ ]_\ell$  is nondegenerate.  $\square$

Let

$$H_{p,n} := H^1(\mathcal{K}, E[M]) = \text{Hom}(G_p^{\text{ab}}/(G_p^{\text{ab}})^M, E[M]) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{K}^*/(\mathcal{K}^*)^M, E[M]),$$

where we have used the isomorphism  $\theta_p : \mathcal{K}^*/(\mathcal{K}^*)^M \rightarrow G_p^{\text{ab}}/(G_p^{\text{ab}})^M$  from local class field theory. We have

$$\mathcal{K}^*/(\mathcal{K}^*)^M = \mathcal{A}_n \oplus \mathcal{B}_n$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle p \rangle = p^{\mathbb{Z}}/p^{M\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_n = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}^*/(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}^*)^M$ . Then

$$H_{p,n} = A_{p,n} \oplus B_{p,n}$$

where  $A_{p,n}$  (resp.,  $B_{p,n}$ ) is the subgroup of  $H_{p,n}$  of homomorphisms that are trivial on  $\mathcal{B}_n$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{A}_{p,n}$ ). Note that  $A_{p,n} = E(\mathcal{K})/ME(\mathcal{K})$ , since

$$E(\mathcal{K})/ME(\mathcal{K}) \subset A_{p,n} = H_{p,n}^{\text{un}}$$

and  $\#(E(\mathcal{K})/ME(\mathcal{K})) = M^2 = \#A_{p,n}$  (see Lemma 4.2).

If  $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$  is the class field of  $\mathcal{K}$  that corresponds to the subgroup  $(\mathcal{K}^*)^M p^{\mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\mathcal{K}^*$ , then  $B_{p,n} = H^1(G_{p,n}, E[M])$ , where

$$G_{p,n} = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{L}_{p,n}/\mathcal{K}).$$

Because  $H_{p,n} = A_{p,n} \oplus B_{p,n}$ , it follows that  $H_{p,n}^\nu$  decomposes into a direct sum of the cyclic subgroups  $A_{p,n}^\nu$  and  $B_{p,n}^\nu$  of order  $M$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}_p$  be the class field of  $\mathcal{K}$  corresponding to the subgroup  $p^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^* + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}})$ . The field  $\mathcal{K}_p$  is a cyclic totally ramified extension of  $\mathcal{K}$  of degree  $p+1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{p,n}$  is a subextension of  $\mathcal{K}_p$  of degree  $M$  over  $\mathcal{K}$ . Suppose that  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is a

multiple of  $p$ . The completion of  $K_{\lambda/p}$  in  $\overline{K}(\mathfrak{p})$  is the field  $\mathcal{K}$ , the completion of  $K_\lambda$  is the field  $\mathcal{K}_p$ , and the embedding (as decomposition group)

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathcal{K}}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathcal{K}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_{\lambda/p})$$

induces an isomorphism between  $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_p/\mathcal{K})$  and  $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p})$ . Thus the generator  $t_p \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_\lambda/K_{\lambda/p})$  can also be viewed as a generator of  $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_p/\mathcal{K})$ . Let  $t_{p,n}$  denote the generator of  $G_{p,n}$  which is the image of  $t_p$ .

For  $e \in E[M]$ , let  $b_{p,n}(e)$  be the element of  $H_{p,n}$  which sends  $t_{p,n} \in G_{p,n}$  to  $e$ . We define a nondegenerate alternating pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{p,n} : H_{p,n} \times H_{p,n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$$

by the following conditions: the group  $H_{p,n}^0$  is orthogonal to the group  $H_{p,n}^1$ , and for  $s \in A_{p,n}$  and all  $e \in E[M]$  we have

$$\zeta_{p,n} \langle s, b_{p,n}(e) \rangle'_{p,n} = [f_{p,n}(s), e]_M$$

where

$$\zeta_{p,n} \equiv (\theta_p^{-1}(t_{p,n}))^{(p^2-1)/M} \pmod{p}.$$

Let

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p,n} : H_{p,n} \times H_{p,n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$$

be the alternating pairing induced by cup product, the pairing  $[\cdot, \cdot]_M$ , and the canonical isomorphism  $H^2(\mathcal{K}, \mu_M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$ . This is a pairing of  $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  modules, hence  $H_{p,n}^0$  is orthogonal to  $H_{p,n}^1$ . According to formula (5) of [?],

$$\langle s, b_{p,n}(e) \rangle_{p,n} = \langle s, b_{p,n}(e) \rangle'_{p,n}$$

for all  $s$  and  $e$ , it follows that

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{p,n} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_{p,n}.$$

Fix generators  $e_p^\nu$  of the groups  $E_{M_p}^\nu$ , where  $M_p = \ell^{n(p)}$ , such that

$$[e_p^0, e_p^1]_M = \zeta_{p,n(p)}.$$

Set

$$e_{p,n}^\nu = \frac{M_p}{M} e_p^\nu.$$

Then  $[e_{p,n}^0, e_{p,n}^1] = \zeta_{p,n}$ , since  $[N\beta, N\alpha]_M = [\alpha, \beta]_{M_p}^N$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in E[M_p]$  and  $N = M_p/M$ . (I'm not sure this makes any sense, but it's my best guess at what Kolvagin means; what he writes makes no sense.)

**Definition 4.4** ( $\psi_{p,n}^\nu$ ). Define a homomorphism

$$\psi_{p,n}^\nu : H_{p,n}^\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$$

by  $\psi_{p,n}^\nu(x) = \langle x, b_{p,n}^\nu \rangle_{p,n}$ , where  $b_{p,n}^\nu = b_{p,n}(e_{p,n}^{1-\nu})$ .

Then  $\psi_{p,n}^\nu$  is trivial on  $B_{p,n}^\nu = \langle b_{p,n}^\nu \rangle$  and induces an isomorphism between  $A_{p,n}^\nu$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$  such that for all  $s \in A_{p,n}^\nu$  we have

$$\psi_{p,n}^\nu(s)e_{p,n}^\nu = (-1)^\nu f_{p,n}(s). \quad (4.4)$$

Let  $\psi_{p,n} = \psi_{p,n}^0 + \psi_{p,n}^1$  and, abusing notation, let  $\psi_{p,n}$  also denote the homomorphism  $H^1(K, E[M]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$  which is the composition of  $\psi_{p,n}$  and the localization homomorphism  $H^1(K, E[M]) \rightarrow H_{p,n}$ .

Let  $S_{\lambda,n}$  be the subgroup of  $\alpha \in H^1(K, E[M])$  such that  $\alpha(v) \in E(K(v))/ME(K(v))$  for all places  $v$  of  $K$  that do not divide  $\lambda$ . (Equivalently, the image of  $\alpha$  in  $H^1(K(v), E)$  is trivial for all  $v \nmid \lambda$ .) Thus  $S_{\lambda,n}$  contains  $\text{Sel}^{(M)}(E/K)$ , but  $S_{\lambda,n}$  might be bigger because there is no local condition at places that divide  $\lambda$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^r$ . Then  $\tau_{\lambda,n} \in S_{\lambda,n}^{\nu(r)}$ . If  $\xi(p, \lambda) = 1$ , then*

$$\tau_{p,n}(p) = P_\lambda \pmod{ME(K_p)} \in E(K_p)/ME(K_p).$$

If  $p \mid \lambda$ , then

$$\tau_{\lambda,n}(p) = \varepsilon \cdot \psi_{p,n}(\tau_{\lambda/p,n}) \cdot b_{p,n}^\beta, \quad \text{where } \beta = \nu(r) \quad (4.5)$$

$$\varepsilon \cdot \psi_{p,n}(\tau_{\lambda/p,n}) \cdot e_{p,n}^{\beta'} = \left( (-1)^\beta \cdot \frac{p+1}{M} \cdot \varepsilon - \frac{a_p}{M} \right) \widetilde{P_{\lambda/p}}. \quad (4.6)$$

*Proof.* The cohomology class  $\tau_{\lambda,n}$  contains the cocycle

$$k_{\lambda,n}(\gamma) = \left( \gamma \left( \frac{P_\lambda}{M} \right) - \frac{P_\lambda}{M} \right) + \frac{(1-\gamma)P_\lambda}{M}, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\frac{(1-\gamma)P_\lambda}{M} \in E(K_\lambda)$$

is the unique (since  $E(K_\lambda)[\ell^\infty]$  is trivial) solution to the equation  $Mx = (1-\gamma)P_\lambda \in ME(K_\lambda)$ . If  $\xi(p, \lambda) = 1$ , then  $K_\lambda \subset \mathcal{K}$  and  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathcal{K}) \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_\lambda)$ , hence, in view of (4.7), we see that  $\tau_{\lambda,n}(p) = P_\lambda \pmod{ME(\mathcal{K})}$ .

If  $R$  is a field and  $\alpha \in H^1(R, E[M])$ , denote by  $(\alpha)$  the image of  $\alpha$  in  $H^1(R, E)[M]$ . Again, in view of (4.7), we see that the class  $(\tau_{\lambda,n})$  contains the cocycle

$$k'_{\lambda,n}(\gamma) = \frac{(1-\gamma)P_\lambda}{M}.$$

In particular,

$$(\tau_{\lambda,n}) \in H^1(\text{Gal}(K_\lambda/K), E(K_\lambda)).$$

Let  $v$  be a place of  $K$  that does not divide  $\lambda$ . Then since  $K_\lambda/K$  is unramified outside  $\lambda$ , it follows that  $(\tau_{\lambda,n})_v \in H^1(K_v, E)^{\text{un}}$ . This group is always finite and is trivial if  $(v, N) = 1$ . Gross observed that in the case  $v \mid \lambda$ , we have  $(\tau_{\lambda,n})_v = 0$  as well. (Huh?) Hence  $\tau_{\lambda,n} \in S_{\lambda,n}^\beta$ .

Suppose that  $p \mid \lambda$ . Since reduction induces an isomorphism between  $E[M]$  and  $E(F)[M]$ , the element  $k_{\lambda,n}(\gamma)$  may be defined by its reduction. We shall show that if

$$\gamma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathcal{K}) \subset \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K_{\lambda/p}),$$

then the reduction of the first term of (4.7) is trivial. Indeed, it is equal to

$$\tilde{\gamma} \frac{\tilde{P}_\lambda}{M} - \frac{\tilde{P}_\lambda}{M} = 0,$$

since, by virtue of ... and the definition of  $P_\lambda$ , we have

$$\tilde{P}_\lambda = -(1 + 2 + \cdots + p) \text{Fr}_p \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p} \in ME(F).$$

Hence

$$\tau_{\lambda,n}(p) \in H^1(\text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_p/\mathcal{K}), E[M]) = B_{p,n}.$$

It remains to calculate the value of  $\tau_{\lambda,n}(p)$  at  $t_p$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1-t_p)P_\lambda}{M} &= \frac{(1-t_p)I_p I_{\lambda/p} J_\lambda y_\lambda}{M} \\ &= \frac{(p+1 - \text{Tr}_p) I_{\lambda/p} J_\lambda y_\lambda}{M} \\ &= \frac{p+1}{M} I_{\lambda/p} J_\lambda y_\lambda - \frac{a_p}{M} P_{\lambda/p}, \end{aligned}$$

and for its reduction, in view of ....., we have the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{p+1}{M} \text{Fr}_p - \frac{a_p}{M}\right) \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p} &= \tilde{f}_{p,n}(-\text{Fr}_p \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p}) \\
&= \tilde{f}_{p,n} \left( (-1)^{\beta'} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \tilde{P}_{\lambda/p} \right) \\
&= \varepsilon \cdot \psi_{p,n}(\tau_{\lambda/p}) \cdot e_{p,n}^{\beta'}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 5 The Orthogonality Relation and the Characters $\Psi_{p,n}$

Let  $R$  be an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $n \leq n'$  and  $n'' = n' - n$ . The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E[M] \rightarrow E[M'] \xrightarrow{M} E[M''] \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$E(R)[M'']/ME(R)[M'] \hookrightarrow H^1(R, E[M]) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n,n'}} H^1(R, E[M']) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n',n''}} H^1(R, E[M'']).$$

Suppose that for all integer  $n, n'$  with  $n \leq n'$  we have  $E(R)[M''] = ME(R)[M']$ . Then the maps  $\alpha_{n,n'}$  are injections and the image of  $\alpha_{n,n'}$  is  $H^1(R, E[M'])[M]$ , since  $\alpha_{n'',n'}$  is also an injection and  $\alpha_{n'',n'} \circ \alpha_{n',n''}$  is multiplication by  $M$ . (This is sneaky. Here  $\alpha_{n'',n'} : H^1(R, E[M'']) \rightarrow H^1(R, E[M'])$  is defined because  $n'' = n' - n \leq n'$ , and by hypothesis  $\alpha_{n'',n'}$  is an injection.) In this situation, it is useful to identify  $H^1(R, E[M])$  with  $H^1(R, E[M'])[M]$ . Specifically, we have the following two cases in which the hypothesis assumed at the beginning of this paragraph is satisfied. First, suppose that  $R = K$ . In this case, since  $E(K)[\ell^\infty] = 0$ , we identify  $H^1(R, E[M])$  with  $H[M]$ , where

$$H := H^1(K, E[\ell^\infty]) = \varinjlim_{M' \rightarrow \infty} H^1(K, E[M']).$$

Note that  $S_{\lambda,n}$  coincides with  $S_{\lambda,n'}[M]$  under this identification. The second case is when  $R = K(p)$  (completion of  $K$  at prime over  $p$ ) and  $n' \leq n(p) = \text{ord}_\ell(\text{gcd}(a_p, p+1))$ . Then  $E(R)[M'] = E[M']$ , hence,  $ME(R)[M'] = E[M''] = E(R)[M'']$ .

Let  $n \leq n' \leq n(\lambda)$ . It follows from (4.1) that

$$\tau_{\lambda,n} = \alpha_{n',n} \tau_{\lambda,n'}$$

or

$$\tau_{\lambda,n} = M'' \tau_{\lambda,n''},$$

in view of the identifications. From (4.4) and Proposition 4.5, for  $p$  a prime with  $p \nmid \lambda$  and  $s \in S_{\lambda,n}$ , we obtain the relations

$$\psi_{p,n'}(\tau_{\lambda,n'}) = \psi_{p,n}(\tau_{\lambda,n}) \pmod{M} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\psi_{p,n'}(s) = M'' \psi_{p,n}(s) \pmod{M'}. \quad (5.2)$$

If  $A$  is a torsion  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module, then  $e(A) = e_\ell(A)$  denotes the minimum nonnegative integer  $k$  such that  $\ell^k A = 0$ , so  $e(A)$  is  $\log_\ell$  of the exponent of  $A$ . If  $a \in A$ , then  $e(a) = e_\ell(a) = e(\mathbb{Z}_\ell \cdot a)$ , i.e.,  $\log_\ell$  of the order of  $a$ . For example, when  $m(\lambda) < \infty$  then

$$m(\lambda) = n(\lambda) - e_\ell(P_\lambda \pmod{\ell^{n(\lambda)} E(K_\lambda)}).$$

Suppose  $n \leq n' \leq n(\lambda)$ . By definition of  $m(\lambda)$ ,  $\tau_{\lambda,n'} \neq 0$  if and only if  $n' > m(\lambda)$ , and in that case we have

$$e(\tau_{\lambda,n'}) = e(P_\lambda \pmod{\ell^{n'} E(K_\lambda)}) \quad (5.3)$$

$$= e(P_\lambda \pmod{\ell^{n(\lambda)} E(K_\lambda)}) - (n(\lambda) - n') \quad (5.4)$$

$$= n' - m(\lambda). \quad (5.5)$$

Suppose  $n' \in [m(\lambda), n(\lambda)]$  and let  $n \in [n' - m(\lambda), n']$ , so

$$n' - m(\lambda) \leq n \leq n' \leq n(\lambda).$$

Let  $p \mid \lambda \in \Lambda^r$ . Then  $\tau_{\lambda,n'} \in S_{\lambda,n}^{\nu(r)}$ . From (4.5), in view of the equalities  $M\tau_{\lambda,n'} = 0$  and  $b_{p,n}^{\nu(r)} = M'' b_{p,n}^{\nu(r)}$ , it follows that  $M'' \mid \psi_{p,n'}(\tau_{\lambda/p}, n')$  and

$$\tau_{\lambda,n'}(p) = \varepsilon(\psi_{p,n'}(\tau_{\lambda/p}, n') / M'') b_{p,n}^{\nu(r)}.$$

If  $s \in S_{\lambda,n}^{\nu(r)}$ , then, in consequence of the reciprocity law, we have the orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{p|\lambda} \langle \tau_{\lambda,n'}(p), s(p) \rangle_{p,n} = 0.$$

This relation, taking into account the previous equality and the definition of the homomorphism  $\psi_{p,n}$ , gives us the relation

$$\sum_{p|\lambda} (\psi_{p,n'}(\tau_{\lambda/p,n'})/M'') \cdot \psi_{p,n}(s) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}. \quad (5.6)$$

The universality of the characters  $\psi_{p,n}$  (with  $n \leq n(p)$ ) is evident from the following proposition. We use the decomposition  $H = H^0 \oplus H^1$  relative to the action of  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $A^0$  and  $A^1$  be finite subgroups of  $H^0[M]$  and  $H^1[M]$ , respectively. For  $i = 0$  or  $i = 1$ , let  $\psi^i \in \text{Hom}(A^i, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$  and  $n' \geq n$ . Then there are infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $M' \mid M_p$  (i.e.,  $n' \leq n(p)$ ) and*

$$\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} (\text{restriction of } \psi_{p,n}^i \text{ to } A^i) = (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})\psi^i.$$

*Proof.* We consider in detail the case where  $E$  does not have complex multiplication. The other case is handled analogously.

Let  $E[M] = E[M]^0 \oplus E[M]^1$  be the decomposition of  $E[M]$  relative to the action of  $\Sigma = \{1, \sigma\}$ , where  $\sigma$  is the automorphism of complex conjugation. Since  $\sigma\zeta = \zeta^{-1}$  for all  $\zeta \in \mu_M$ , it follows that  $E[M]^i \approx \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 0, 1$  (cf. (4.3) and below). Let  $e^i$  be a generator of  $E[M]^i$ . Let  $V = K(E[M'])$ , where  $M' = \ell^{n'}$ . Note that  $\mu_{M'} \subset V$  because of nondegeneracy of the Weil pairing.

Define the homomorphism

$$f : H[M] \rightarrow H^1(V, \mu_m) \cong \text{Hom}(G_V^{\text{ab}}, \mu_M)$$

as follows: for all  $z \in G_V^{\text{ab}}$  and  $h = h^0 + h^1 \in H[M]$ , we have

$$f(h) : z \mapsto [h^0(z), e^1]_M^2 \cdot [h^1(z), e^0]_M^2. \quad (5.7)$$

I have to check that this is well-defined and is a homomorphism, and I also have to figure out *what* this is! It might be  $\text{res}^V$  composed with cupping with two elements of  $H^0(V, E[M])$ , and ?

Suppose that  $f$  is an injection. Let  $W$  be the abelian extension of  $V$  corresponding to  $f(A)$ , where  $A = A^0 \oplus A^1$ . That is,  $W$  is the fixed field of

$$\ker f(A) = \bigcap_{\varphi \in f(A)} \ker \varphi \subset G_V^{\text{ab}}.$$

By Kummer theory, the natural homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(W/V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(f(A), \mu_M)$$

is an isomorphism, hence, in view of the isomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow f(A)$ , we have the isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(W/V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, \mu_M).$$

Suppose that  $\eta \in \text{Gal}(W/V)$  corresponds to the element  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(A, \mu_M)$  such that  $\chi = \zeta^{\psi^\nu}$  on  $A^\nu$ , where  $\zeta = [e^0, e^1]_M$ . Let  $\beta = \eta\sigma_1 \in \text{Gal}(W/\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $\sigma_1$  is the restriction of complex conjugation to  $W$ . According to the Chebotarev density theorem, there exists infinitely many rational primes  $q$  which do not divide  $N\ell$ , are unramified in  $W$ , and such that

$$\beta = \text{Fr} := \text{Fr}_{W(w)/\mathbb{Q}_q}$$

for some place  $w$  of  $W$  dividing  $q$ . We shall show that such primes  $q$  satisfy the conditions of the proposition.

Since  $\beta$  is nontrivial on  $K$ , it follows that  $q$  is a prime of  $K$ . Furthermore,  $M' \mid (q+1)$ , since for  $\xi \in \mu_{M'} \subset V$ , we have

$$\xi^{-1} = \xi^\sigma = \xi^\beta = \xi^{\text{Fr}} = \xi^q.$$

We see that  $\text{Fr}^2 = \sigma_1^2 = 1$  on  $E[M']$  and, on the other hand,  $\text{Fr}^2 - a_q \text{Fr} + q = 0$  on  $E[M']$ . Hence  $a_q \text{Fr} = q + 1 = 0$  on  $E[M']$ , or, equivalently,  $M' \mid a_q$ . Therefore  $M' \mid M_q$ .

Let  $g \in \text{Gal}(V/\mathbb{Q})$  and let  $\alpha(g) = 1$  if  $g \in \text{Gal}(V/K)$ , and  $\alpha(g) = -1$ , otherwise. If  $(-1)^{\nu-1}\varepsilon = 1$ , then, by definition,  $\sigma$  acts trivially on  $H[M]^\nu$ , hence  $h^\nu(z^g) = gh^\nu(z)$ . If  $(-1)^{\nu-1}\varepsilon = -1$ , then  $\sigma$  acts on  $H[M]^\nu$  by multiplication by  $-1$ , hence  $h^\nu(z^g) = \alpha(g)gh^\nu(z)$ . Using (4.3) as well, for  $h^\nu \in A^\nu$ , we have

$$[h^\nu(\text{Fr}^2), e^{\nu'}]_M = [h^\nu(\eta), e^{\nu'}]_M^2 = \chi^\nu(h^\nu) = [e^0, e^1]_M^b,$$

where  $b = \psi^\nu(h^\nu)$ . Hence, considering (4.4), we see that  $\psi_{q,n}^\nu$  is proportional to  $\psi^\nu$  by a factor from  $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^*$ .

Now we shall prove that  $f$  is an injection. Let  $h \in \ker(f)$ . Then it follows from (5.7) that for all  $z \in G_V^{\text{ab}}$  we have

$$[h^0(z), e^1]_M = [h^1(z), e^0]_M^{-1}. \quad (5.8)$$

The substitution  $z \mapsto z^{g^{-1}}$  gives us the equality

$$[h^0(z), ge^1]_M = [h^1(z), ge^0]_M^{-\alpha(g)}. \quad (5.9)$$

For  $i = 0, 1$ , let  $e^i$  be the generator of  $E^i$  such that  $(M'/M)e_1^i = e^i$ . Define the homomorphism  $\varphi : \text{Gal}(V/K) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z})$  so that  $g(e_1^0, e_1^1) = \rho(g)(e_1^0, e_1^1)$ . Since  $\ell \in B(E)$ , it follows that  $\text{Im}(\rho) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z})$ . Furthermore, the homomorphism  $\rho : \text{Gal}(V/K) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z})$  is an injection, and is an isomorphism when  $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(E[M'])$ . The field  $K$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}(E[M'])$  if and only if  $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , in which case  $\rho(\text{Gal}(V/K)) = \ker(\delta')$ , where the homomorphism  $\delta' : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is induced by  $\det : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z})^*$  and the unique nontrivial homomorphism  $\delta : (\mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  (cf. [?, §4]).

Let  $g_0 \in \text{Gal}(V/K)$  be such that  $\rho(g_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Substituting  $gg_0$  for  $g$  in (5.9), we obtain the equality

$$[h^0(z), ge^0]_M = [h^1(z), ge^1]_M^{\alpha(g)}. \quad (5.10)$$

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(E[M'])$ . Then there exists an element  $g_1 \in \text{Gal}(V/\mathbb{Q}(E[M']))$  such that  $\alpha(g_1) = -1$ . The relations (5.9) and (5.10) for  $g = 1$  and  $g = g_1$ , respectively, together imply that for  $i = 0, 1$ ,  $[h^0(z), e^i]_M = 1$  and  $[h^1(z), e^i]_M = 1$ , hence  $h^0(z) = h^1(z) = 0$ .

Suppose that  $K \subset \mathbb{Q}(E[M'])$ . Then  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ , hence  $\ell > 3$ , since we are assuming that  $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ . Since  $\ell > 3$ , there exists an element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/M'\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\delta(a) = 1$  but  $a \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ . Let  $g_2 \in \text{Gal}(V/K)$  be such that  $\rho(g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ . Comparing (5.9) and (5.10) for  $g = 1$  and  $g = g_2$ , respectively, we obtain  $h^0(z) = h^1(z) = 0$ .

Thus  $\text{res}_K^V(h) = 0$ . It remains to show that

$$\text{res}_K^V : H[M] \rightarrow H^1(V, E[M])$$

is an injection. Let  $g_3 \in \text{Gal}(V/K)$  be such that  $\rho(g_3) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $G_3 = \{1, g_3\}$ . Then  $G_3$  is a subgroup of order 2 in the center of  $\text{Gal}(V/K)$ . We have  $E[M] = 0$  and  $H^1(G_3, E[M]) = 0$ . In view of inf-res-transgression applied to the group  $\text{Gal}(V/K)$  and its normal subgroup  $G_3$ , we see that  $\ker(\text{res}_K^V) = H^1(\text{Gal}(V/K), E[M])$  is the trivial group.  $\square$

We need the following corollary to Proposition 5.1.

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $A^0$  and  $A^1$  be finite subgroups of  $H[M]^0$  and  $H[M]^1$ . For  $i = 0, 1$  and  $j = 1, 2$ , let*

$$f_j^i : \text{Hom}(A^i, \mathbb{Z}/M) \rightarrow C_j^i$$

*be four surjective homomorphisms, and suppose that  $n' \geq n$ . Then there are infinitely many primes  $p$  such that  $M' \mid M_p$  and*

$$\#f_j^i(\text{restriction of } \psi_{p,n}^i \text{ to } A^i) = \#C_j^i.$$

*Proof.* By virtue of Proposition 5.1, it is enough to prove the existence of characters  $\psi^i \in \text{Hom}(A^i, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $e(f_j^i(\psi^i)) = e(C_j^i)$ . There exists a character  $\psi^\nu$ , since otherwise  $\text{Hom}(A^\nu, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$  is the union of two proper subgroups, which is impossible.  $\square$

Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^r$ ,  $\delta \in \Lambda^k$  and  $\delta \mid \lambda$ . Let  $S_{\lambda,\delta,n}$  denote the group  $S_{\lambda,n}$  when  $\delta = 1$ , and denote the intersection of  $S_{\lambda,n}$  with the kernels of the characters  $\psi_{p,n}$  for all  $p \mid \delta$  when  $\delta > 1$ . We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $r - k > 0$ . Then  $\#S_{\lambda,\delta,n}^\nu = n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S_{\lambda,\delta,n-1}^\nu$  is the subgroup of  $S_{\lambda,\delta,n}^\nu$  of all elements of order  $\ell^{n-1}$ , it is sufficient to prove the equality

$$\# \left( \frac{S_{\lambda,\delta,n}^\nu}{S_{\lambda,\delta,n-1}^\nu} \right) \geq \ell^{r-k}. \quad (5.11)$$

Note that (5.11) implies that the multiplicity of  $n$  in the sequence of invariants of  $S_{\lambda,\delta,n}^\nu$  is  $\geq (r - k)/n$ .

If  $v$  is a place of  $K$ , let  $H_{v,n}$  denote  $H^1(K(v), E[M])$  and  $A_{v,n}$  denote  $E(K(v))/ME(K(v))$ . If  $\beta$  is a set of places of  $K$ , let  $H_{\beta,n}$  denote the locally-compact group  $\prod_{v \mid \beta} H_{v,n}$ . The pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\beta,n} = \sum_{v \mid \beta} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{v,n}$$

identifies the group  $H_{\beta,n}$  with its dual group. We use multiplicative notation:  $v \mid \beta$  signifies that  $v \in \beta$  and  $\beta_1\beta_2$  denotes the cup product  $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2$ . An

element of  $\Lambda$  is identified with its set of prime divisors. Let  $\beta = \lambda/\delta$  and let  $Z_n$  be the image of  $S_{\lambda,\delta,n}$  in  $H_{\beta,n}$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $Z_n$  is an isotropic subgroup of  $H_{\beta,n}$ , because then  $Z_n^\nu$  is an isotropic subgroup of  $H_{\beta,n}^\nu$ , hence

$$\#Z_n = \sqrt{\#H_{\beta,n}} = M^{r-k}$$

and  $\#Z_{n-1}^\nu = (M/\ell)^{r-k}$  (the latter equality holds since, in the previous equality,  $n$  is any natural number  $\leq n(\lambda)$ ). Thus,  $\#(Z_n^\nu/Z_{n-1}^\nu) = \ell^{r-k}$ , whence follows (5.11).

Let  $\alpha$  be the set of all places of  $K$ . By Poitou-Tate duality, the image  $Y_1$  of the group  $H[M]$  in  $H_{\alpha,n}$  is an isotropic subgroup of  $H_{\alpha,n}$ . Let

$$Y_3 := \prod_{p|\delta} B_{p,n} \cdot \prod_{\gcd(v,\lambda)=1} A_{v,n}.$$

By local Tate duality  $A_{v,n}$  is an isotropic subgroup of  $H_{v,n}$ , and  $B_{p,n}$  is an isotropic subgroup of  $H_{p,n}$ , so  $Y_3$  is an isotropic subgroup of  $H_{\alpha/\beta,n}$ .

Let  $Y_2 = H_{\beta,n} \times Y_3$ . We have  $Z_n = \pi_\beta(Y_1 \cap Y_2)$ . (I do not know for certain exactly what Kolyvagin means by  $\pi_\beta$ , and he doesn't bother to say.) Obviously, the equality  $\langle Z_n, Z_n \rangle_{\beta,n} = 0$  holds. Let  $z \in H_{\beta,n}$  and  $\langle Z_n, z \rangle_{\beta,n} = 0$ . Let  $z'$  denote an element of  $H_{\alpha,n}$  such that  $\pi_\beta(z') = z$  and  $\pi_{\alpha/\beta}(z') = 0$ . Since  $z'$  is orthogonal to  $Y_1 \cap Y_2$ , by Pontrjagin theory,  $z' = z_1 + z_2$ , where  $z_1 \in Y_1^\perp = Y_1$  and  $z_2 \in Y_2^\perp$ . We have  $\pi_\beta(z_2) \in H_{\beta,n}^\perp = 0$  and  $\pi_{\alpha/\beta}(z_2) \in Y_3^\perp = Y_3$ . Hence  $z' - z_2 = z_1 \in Y_1 \cap Y_2$  and  $\pi_\beta(z' - z_2) = z$ , so  $z \in Z_n$ .  $\square$

We now have all that is necessary for the study of the group  $X = \text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty]$ .

## 6 A Structure Theorem for $\text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty]$

Let  $\Lambda_n^r$  denote the subset of  $\Lambda^r$  consisting of all elements  $\lambda$  such that  $n(\lambda) \geq n$ ; then

$$\Lambda_n = \bigcup_{r \geq 0} \Lambda_n^r.$$

Let  $\varphi_{p,n}^\nu$  be the restriction of  $\psi_{p,n}^\nu$  to the Selmer group  $S_M^\nu = S_{1,n}^\nu$  and  $\Phi_{\lambda,n}^\nu$  the subgroup of  $\text{Hom}(S_M^\nu, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$  generated by  $\varphi_{p,n}^\nu$  for all  $p \mid \lambda$ .

In the sequel, we shall assume that  $n'' \geq n' \geq n$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\delta \in \Lambda_{n''}^k$ ,  $n > m(\delta)$ ,  $\delta q \in \Lambda_{n''}^{k+1}$ , and  $e(\Psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n})) = e(\tau_{\delta,n})$ . Then  $m(\delta q) \leq m(\delta)$ . If, moreover,  $n'' - n \geq m(\delta q)$  and  $\iota = 1 - \nu(k)$ , then*

$$e(\varphi_{q,n}^{\iota} \pmod{\psi_{\delta,n}^{\iota}}) \leq m(\delta) - m(\delta q).$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.5,

$$\tau_{\delta q,n}(q) = \varepsilon \psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n}) b_{q,n}^{\iota}.$$

Then, in view of (5.3) and our assumptions, we have

$$n - m(\delta q) = e(\tau_{\delta q,n}) \geq e(\psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n})) = e(\tau_{\delta,n}) = n - m(\delta).$$

Hence  $m(\delta q) \leq m(\delta)$ .

It is a consequence of (5.6) that  $a\varphi_{q,n}^{\iota} \in \Phi_{\delta,n}^{\iota}$ , where

$$a = \frac{\psi_{q,n'}(\tau_{\delta,n'})}{\ell^{m(\delta q)}} \in \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$$

and  $n' = n + m(\delta q)$ . Since

$$\text{ord}_{\ell}(\psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n})) = n - e(\tau_{\delta,n}) = m(\delta)$$

and (5.1) holds, it follows that  $\text{ord}_{\ell}(a) = m(\delta) - m(\delta q)$ .  $\square$

If  $\delta \in \Lambda^k$ , where  $r \geq k$ , let

$$m_r(\delta) = \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda^r, \delta | \lambda} m(\lambda).$$

**Proposition 6.2.** *If  $\delta \in \Lambda^k$  is such that  $m(\delta) < \infty$ , then  $m_{k+1}(\delta) \leq m(\delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = n(\delta)$ ; then  $n > m(\delta)$ , since  $m(\delta) < \infty$ . According to Corollary 5.2, there exists  $q$  such that  $\delta q \in \Lambda_n^{k+1}$  and  $e(\psi_{q,n}(\tau_{\delta,n})) = e(\tau_{\delta,n})$ . The, by Proposition 6.1, we have the inequality  $m(\delta q) \leq m(\delta)$ .  $\square$

Recall that, for  $r \geq 0$ ,  $m_r$  denotes  $m_r(1)$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *The sequence  $\{m_r\}$  is such that  $m_r \geq m_{r+1}$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption the point  $P_1$  has infinite order. Hence  $m_0 < \infty$ , since  $m_0$  is the exponent of the highest power of  $\ell$  dividing  $P_1$  in  $E(K)$ . Now apply Proposition 6.2 and use induction on  $r$ .  $\square$

Let  $T_{\delta,n}^\nu$  denote the quotient group of  $\text{Hom}(S_M^\nu, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$  with respect to  $\Phi_{\delta,n}^\nu$ . Recall that  $\nu'$  denotes  $1 - \nu$ , where  $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $k \geq 0$ ,  $r \geq k$ ,  $\alpha = \nu(k)$ ,  $\beta = \nu(r)$ , and  $n'' \geq n' \geq n$ . Let  $\delta \in \Lambda_{n''}^k$  be such that  $x := m_r(\delta) < n$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_n^r$  such that  $m(\lambda) = x$ . Then there exists  $q \in \Lambda^1$  satisfying the following conditions:*

1.  $\xi(q, \lambda) = 1$  and  $M'' \mid M_q$ ;
2.  $e(\psi_{q,n'}^\beta(\tau_{\lambda,n'})) = e(\tau_{\lambda,n'})$ ;
3. *at our discretion, one of the following two conditions is fulfilled:*
  - (a)  $e(\psi_{q,n'}^{\alpha'}(\text{mod } \Phi_{\delta,n'}^{\alpha'})) = e(T_{\delta,n'}^{\alpha'})$ ;
  - (b) *if  $k \geq 1$ , then for a preassigned  $p_1 \mid \delta$ ,*

$$e(\varphi_{q,n'}^{\alpha'}(\tau_{\delta/p_1,n'})) = e(\tau_{\delta/p_1,n'});$$
4.  $e(\psi_{q,n'}^\alpha(\tau_{\delta,n'})) = e(\tau_{\delta,n'})$ ;
5. *there exists  $p \mid (\lambda/\delta)$  such that  $m(\lambda q/p) = x$ .*

Moreover, if  $\alpha = \beta'$  and  $n'' - n \geq y := m(\delta)$ , then we may choose a  $p$  satisfying condition 5 so that the following condition is fulfilled:

6.  $e(\psi_{p,n}^\alpha(\tau_{\delta,n})) = e(\tau_{\delta,n})$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition ??, there exists  $s \in S_{\lambda,\delta,n}^{\beta'}$  such that  $e(s) = n$ . According to Proposition ??, there exists  $q \in \Lambda^1$  satisfying conditions (1)–(4) and the following condition:

7.  $e(\psi_{q,n'}^{\beta'}(s)) = e(s) = n$ .

Since  $\tau_{\lambda q,n}$  and  $s$  are orthogonal (see ()), we have the relation

$$\sum_{p \mid \frac{\lambda}{\delta}} \psi_{p,n}^{\beta'}(s) \psi_{p,n}^\beta(\tau_{\lambda q/p,n}) = -\psi_{q,n}^{\beta'}(s) \psi_{q,n}^\beta(\tau_{\lambda,n}) := z \in \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows from ( ) and ( ) that conditions (2) and (7) are satisfied as well after the substitution  $n' \mapsto n$ . Hence  $e(z) = n - x > 0$ . By the definition of  $x$ , we have

$$e(\psi_{p,n}^\beta(\tau_{\lambda q/p,n})) \leq e(\tau_{\lambda q/p,n}) \leq n - x.$$

Thus, there exists  $p \mid (\lambda/\delta)$  such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

8.  $e(\psi_{p,n}^\beta(\tau_{\lambda q/p,n}) = n - x$  and, hence,  $m(\lambda q/p) = x$ ;
9.  $e(\psi_{p,n}^{\beta'}(s) = n$ .

If  $\alpha = \beta'$  and  $n'' - n \geq y$ , then we may take the element  $\tau_{\delta,n+y}$  to be  $s$ . If  $\tau_{\delta,n} = 0$ , then (6) holds. Otherwise  $e(\tau_{\delta,n}) = n - y > 0$ , and (6) follows from (9), since  $\tau_{\delta,n} = \ell^y \tau_{\delta,n+y}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $n > m_0$  and  $n' = n + m_0$ . (It says “ $m + m_0$ ” in [?], but  $m$  isn't defined anywhere.) Suppose that  $r = k + 1 \geq 1$ ,  $\delta \in \Lambda_{n'}^k$ , and  $m(\delta) = m_{r-1}$ . Then there exists a prime number  $p_r$  such that  $\delta p_r \in \Lambda^r$  and  $m(\delta p_r) = m_r(\delta)$ . For every such  $p_r$ , if  $\beta = \nu(r)$ , we have*

$$e(\varphi_{p_r,n}^\beta \pmod{\Phi_{\delta,n}^\beta}) = e(T_{\delta,n}^\beta) = m_{r-1} - m_r(\delta), \quad (6.1)$$

$$e(\psi_{p_r,n}(\tau_{\delta,n})) = e(\tau_{\delta,n}), \quad (6.2)$$

$$e(\phi_{p_r,n}^{\beta'} \pmod{\Phi_{\delta,n}^{\beta'}}) \geq m_{r-2} - m_{r-1}, \quad \text{where } r \geq 2. \quad (6.3)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{x+1}^r$ , where  $x = m(\delta)$ , be such that  $m(\lambda) = x$ . The existence of  $p_r$  follows from Proposition 6.4 applied to  $\delta$  and  $\lambda$  (and  $n'' = n'$ ,  $n' = n$ ,  $n = x + 1$ ).

Now apply Proposition 6.4 to  $\delta$  and  $\lambda = \delta p_r$  (where  $n'' = n'$  and  $n' = n$ ). Select a  $q$  corresponding to condition (3a)). From conditions (2) and (3a), and Proposition 6.1, it follows that  $e(T_{\delta,n}^\beta) \leq y - x$ , where  $y = m(\delta) = m_{r-1}$ . The element  $a = \tau_{\delta q,y}$  belongs to  $S_{1,y}^\beta \subset S_{1,n}^\beta$ , by virtue of Proposition 4.5 and the relation  $\tau_{\delta',y'} = 0$  for all  $\delta' \in \Lambda_y^{r-1}$  (by definition of  $m_{r-1} = y$ ). Since  $a = \ell^{n-y} \tau_{\delta,n}$ , it then follows from (8) that

$$e(\varphi_{p_r,n}^\beta(a)) = e(\varphi_{p_r,n}^\beta(\tau_{\delta q,n})) - (n - y) = y - x.$$

Since  $a \perp \Phi_{\delta,n}$ , we have that

$$e(\varphi_{p_r,n}^\beta \pmod{\Phi_{\delta,n}^\beta}) \geq y - x,$$

hence (6.1) is true.

Analogously, the element  $b = \tau_{\delta,m_{r-2}}$  lies in  $S_{1,n}^{\beta'}$  and  $b \perp \Phi_{\delta,n}^{\beta'}$ . According to (6), (6.2) is true, hence  $e(\varphi_{p_r,n}^{\beta'}(b)) = m_{r-2} - y$ , and (6.3) holds.  $\square$

If  $\omega$  is a sequence  $(p_0, \dots, p_r)$  of integers, for  $0 \leq i \leq r$  let  $\omega(i) = p_0 \cdots p_i$ . [Note, this is not how Kolyvagin defines  $\omega(i)$ , but his definition doesn't make any sense.] Define  $\Omega_n^r$  to be the set of sequences  $\omega = (p_0, \dots, p_r)$  such that  $\omega(r) \in \Lambda_n^r$  and  $m(\omega(i)) = m_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . In particular,  $\Omega_n^0$  contains only  $(p_0) := (1)$ .

A priori, by the Mordell-Weil theorem, and because  $E(K)[\ell^\infty]$  is trivial,  $(E(K)/ME(K))^\nu \cong (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{g^\nu}$ , where  $g^0 + g^1$  is the rank of  $E$  over  $K$ . The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)/ME(K) \rightarrow H^1(K, E[M]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E)[M] \rightarrow 0.$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (E(K)/ME(K))^\nu \rightarrow S_{1,n}^\nu \rightarrow X_{1,n}^\nu \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.4)$$

Here  $X_{1,n}^\nu = X_M^\nu$ . By the weak Mordell-Weil theorem, the group  $S_{1,n}^\nu$  is finite.

Recall that the Heegner point  $P_1$  has a unique representation  $P_1 = \ell^{m_0} \mathbf{x}$  where  $\mathbf{x} \in E(K) - \ell E(K)$  (set-theoretic difference).

Let  $n > m_0$ ,  $r = 1$ ,  $\omega = p_0 = 1$ , and choose  $p_1$  as in Proposition 6.5. Then  $T_{\delta,n}^0 = \text{Hom}(S_{1,n}^0, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$  and  $m_1(\delta) = m_1$ . According to (6.1), we have

$$e(S_{1,n}^0) = e(T_{\delta,n}^0) = m_0 - m_1 < n.$$

Hence, in view of (6.4), it follows that  $g^0 = 0$ ,  $S_{1,n}^0 = S_{1,m_0-m_1}^0$ , and  $X^0 = X_{1,n}^0 = X_{1,m_0-m_1}^0$  is a finite group. In particular, the invariants  $x_i^0$  of  $X^0$  coincide with the invariants of  $T_{1,n}^0$ .

Moreover, it follows from (6.2) that

$$e(\varphi_{p_1,n}^1(\mathbf{x} \pmod{ME(K)})) = n,$$

hence,  $S_{1,n}^1$  is the direct sum of  $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbf{x}\mathbb{Z} \pmod{ME(K)} = \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$  and  $Y = \ker \varphi_{p_1,n}^1$ .

Let  $r = 2$ ,  $\omega = (1, p_1)$ , and  $\delta = p_1$ . Then  $T_{\delta,n}^1$  is the dual group for  $Y$ . Hence, it follows from 6.1 that

$$e(Y) = e(T_{\delta,n}^1) = m_1 - m_2(\delta)$$

and by (6.4), we have  $g^1 = 1$  and  $X^1 = X_{1,n}^1 = X_{1,m_1-m_2}^1(\delta)$  is finite and isomorphic to  $Y$ . In particular, the invariants  $x_i^1$  of the group  $X^1$  coincide with the invariants of the group  $T_{p_1,n}^1$ .

In [?] it was proved that  $g^0 = 0$ , and in [?] that  $g^1 = 1$  and  $\#X \mid \ell^{2m_0}$ .

Recall that, for  $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$   $\nu(j)$  denotes the element of  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $j - \nu(j) - 1$  is even, and  $\xi(j, \nu) = j - |\nu - \nu(j)|$ .

**Theorem 6.6.** *Let  $r > 0$ ,  $n > m_0$ , and  $n' = n + m_0$ . Then  $\Omega_{n'}^r \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, for all  $\omega \in \Omega_{n'}^{r-1}$ , there exists  $p_r \mid \xi(\omega, p_r) \in \Omega_{n'}^r$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{n'}^r$ . Then for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ,*

$$e(\varphi_{p,n}(\tau_{\omega(j-1),n})) = e(\tau_{\omega(j-1),n'}),$$

and if  $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$  is such that  $r - \nu > 0$ , then for  $1 + \nu \leq j \leq r$  we have

$$e\left(\phi_{p_j,n}^\nu \pmod{\Phi_{\omega(j-1),n}^\nu}\right) = m_{\xi(j,\nu)-1} - m_{\xi(j,\nu)} = x_{j-\nu}^\nu.$$

*Proof.* For  $r = 1$  the theorem was proved above. Therefore, by induction, it suffices to prove the theorem for  $r \geq 2$ , assume it is true for all  $r' < r$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{n'}^{r-1}$ ,  $\delta = \omega(r-1)$ , and choose  $p_r$  as in Proposition 6.5 so that, in particular, the relations (6.1)–(6.3) hold. Since the theorem is true for  $r-1$ , it follows that  $e(T_{\delta,n}^\nu) = x_{r-\nu}^\nu$ , and for  $\beta = \nu(r)$ ,

$$x_{r-1-\beta'}^{\beta'} = m_{r-2} - m_{r-1}.$$

Hence the equality  $x_{r-\beta'}^{\beta'} = m_{r-2} - m_{r-1}$  holds, by (6.3) and the inequality  $x_{r-\beta'}^{\beta'} \leq x_{r-1-\beta'}^{\beta'}$ . In view of (6.1), (6.2), and the induction hypothesis, it remains only to prove that  $m_r(\delta) = m_r$ . This will be done if we prove that  $\Omega_{n'}^r \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed, using the fact that  $\xi(\omega', p') \in \Omega_{n'}^r$ , as above, we then have

$$m_{r-1} - m_r = x_{r-\beta}^\beta = m_{r-1} - m_r(\delta).$$

If  $u = m_r + 1$  for  $0 \leq k \leq r$ , let  $U^k$  be the set of pairs  $\omega \in \Omega_{n'}^k$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda_u^r$  such that  $\omega(k) \mid \lambda$  and  $m(\lambda) = m_r$ . It follows from Proposition 6.5 that  $\Omega_{n'}^r$  is nonempty if  $U^{r-1}$  is nonempty. Then, since  $U^0$  is nonempty, it is sufficient to prove that  $U^{k+1}$  is nonempty if  $k < r-1$  and  $U^k$  is nonempty. Then, by induction,  $U^{r-1}$  is nonempty. Let  $\xi(\omega, \lambda) \in U^k$ . Apply Proposition 6.4 to  $\delta = \omega(k)$ ,  $\lambda$  (and  $n'' = n'$ ,  $n = u$ ), and choose a  $q$  corresponding to condition (3a). We need to show that  $m(\delta q) = m_{k+1}$ ; then the pair  $((\omega, q), \lambda q/p)$  will belong to  $U^{k+1}$ . By Theorem 6.6 for  $k+1 \leq r-1$ , we have

$$m_k - m_{k+1} = x_{k+1-\alpha'}^{\alpha'} = e(T_{\delta,n}^{\alpha'}),$$

where  $\alpha = \nu(k)$ . On the other hand, in view of Proposition 6.1 and condition (3a), we see that  $e(T_{\delta,n}) \leq m_k - m(\delta q)$ . Hence  $m(\delta q) \leq m_{k+1}$ , but, by the definition of  $m_{k+1}$ , we have  $m_{k+1} \leq m(\delta q)$ . Thus  $m(\delta q) = m_{k+1}$ .  $\square$

## 7 Parametrization of $\text{III}(E/K)[\ell^\infty]$

The purpose of this section is the *parameterization of  $X$  and its dual group by a sequence of prime numbers more arbitrary than  $\Omega$* . This is essential for an effective description of the structure of  $X$  and its dual group, and for the parameterization of  $X$  by the classes  $\tau_{\lambda,n}$  and of its dual group by the characters  $\varphi_{p,n}$ .

Let  $n'$  be a nonnegative integer (I think). For  $r \geq 0$  let  $\Pi_{n'}^r$  be the set of sequence  $\pi = (p_0, \dots, p_r)$  such that  $\pi(r) \in \Lambda_{n'}^r$ ; if  $r > 0$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , then

$$e(\Psi_{p_j, n'}(\tau_{\pi(j-1), n'})) = e(\tau_{\pi(j-1), n'}) \quad (7.1)$$

and, if  $r \geq 2$  and  $2 \leq j \leq r$ , moreover,

$$e(\Psi_{p_j, n'}(\tau_{\pi(j-1)/p_1, n'})) = e(\tau_{\pi(j-1)/p_1, n'}). \quad (7.2)$$

Recall that

$$m = \min_{r \geq 0} m_r = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m_r.$$

Let  $\lambda \in \Lambda^r$  be such that  $m(\lambda) = m$ . As in the above proof of the nonemptiness of  $U^{r-1}$ , using Proposition 6.4, condition (3b), and induction, we shall prove that for all  $n'$  there exists  $\pi \in \Pi_{n'}^r$  such that  $m(\pi(r)) = m$ . We shall say that  $\pi \in \Pi_{n'}^r$  is *minimal* if  $m(\pi(r)) = m$ . From Proposition 6.1 and 6.4 it follows that if  $\pi' \in \Pi_{n'}^{r-1}$  is minimal, then there exists  $p_r$  such that  $(\pi', p_r) \in \Pi_{n'}^r$  is minimal.

Let  $n > m_0$  and  $n' \geq n + m_0$ . Assume that  $r \geq 2$ , that  $\pi \in \Pi_{n'}^r$  is minimal, and  $\pi - p_r$  is minimal as well.

**Definition 7.1** ( $u(\nu)$ ). If  $\nu \in \{0, 1\}$ , then  $u(\nu)$  denotes  $r - \nu$  if  $r - \nu$  is even (i.e.,  $\nu = \nu(r + 1)$ ), otherwise (i.e., when  $\nu = \nu(r)$ ),  $u(\nu) = r - \nu - 1$ .

Let  $\lambda^\nu = \pi(u(\nu) + \nu)$ . By Proposition 6.5,  $T_{\lambda^\nu, n}^\nu = 0$ , that is,  $\varphi_{p_j, n}^\nu$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq u(\nu) + \nu$ , generate  $\text{Hom}(S_M^\nu, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$ . In particular, the homomorphism  $\alpha_2^\nu$  in (??) below is an isomorphism. For  $1 - \nu \leq i \leq u(\nu)$ , set

$$\lambda_i^\nu = \pi(i + \nu)/p_{\nu(i)}$$

and

$$z_i^\nu = \tau_{\lambda_i^\nu, n+m(\lambda_i^\nu)} \in S_{\lambda_i^\nu, n}.$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq u(\nu)$  and  $1 - \nu \leq j \leq u(\nu)$ , define the elements  $a_{ij}^\nu \in \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$  as follows: if  $j > i$ , or if  $j + \nu = 1$  and  $i$  is even, then

$$a_{ij}^\nu = 0, \quad (7.3)$$

and for the remaining pairs  $ij$ :

$$a_{ij}^\nu = \psi_{p_{j+\nu}, n+m(\lambda_i^\nu)} \left( \tau_{\lambda_i^\nu / p_{j+\nu}, n+m(\lambda_i^\nu)} \right) / \ell^{m(\lambda_i^\nu)}. \quad (7.4)$$

From the orthogonality relation (??), with  $n' = n + m(\lambda_i^\nu)$  and  $\lambda = \lambda_i^\nu$ , it follows that for  $1 \leq i \leq u(\nu)$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1-\nu}^{u(\nu)} a_{ij}^\nu \varphi_{p_{j+\nu}, n} = 0. \quad (7.5)$$

Let  $a = (a_{ij})$  be a square matrix of dimension  $u$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $A(a)$  denote the abelian  $M$ -torsion group given by generators  $1_j$ , where  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and relations  $\sum_{j=1}^u a_{ij} 1_j = 0$ . By identifying  $1_j$  with the element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^u$  having the  $j$ th component equal to 1 and the others equal to zero, we can identify  $A(a)$  with the quotient group of  $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^u$  with respect to the subgroup generated by the rows of  $a$ .

Let  $r \geq 2 + \nu$ ,  $a^\nu = \{a_{ij}^\nu\}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq u(\nu)$ , and  $A^\nu = A(a^\nu)$ . Sending  $1_j$  to  $\varphi_{p_{j+\nu}, n}^\nu \pmod{\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu}$  and taking (7.5) into account, we define the surjective homomorphisms  $\alpha_i^\nu$  in () below. We have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^\nu & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\alpha_1^\nu} & \Phi_{\lambda^\nu, n}^\nu / (\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\alpha_2^\nu} \text{Hom}(S_M^\nu, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}) / (\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu) \\ & & \uparrow \alpha_3^\nu \\ X^\nu & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\alpha_4^\nu} & \text{Hom}(X^\nu, \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}). \end{array} \quad (7.6)$$

Here  $\varphi_{p_0, n}^0 := 1$  and  $(\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu)$  is the subgroup generated by  $\varphi_{p_\nu, n}^\nu$ . We proved above that the natural injection  $\alpha_2^\nu$  is an isomorphism. The isomorphism  $\alpha_3^\nu$  is induced by the exact sequence (?), and  $\alpha_4^\nu$  is any isomorphism between  $X^\nu$  and its dual group. We shall prove below that  $\alpha_1^\nu$  is an isomorphism as well.

If  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\text{ord}_\ell(b) := n - e(b)$ . Using Proposition ??, (?), and (?), we obtain the relation

$$\text{ord}_\ell(a_{ii}^\nu) = m(\lambda_i^\nu / p_{i+\nu}) - m(\lambda_i^\nu) \leq m_0 < n. \quad (7.7)$$

Since  $a_{ij} = 0$  if  $j > i$ , it then follows that

$$\text{ord}_\ell(A^\nu) \leq z^\nu := \sum_{i=1}^{u(\nu)} \text{ord}_\ell(a_{ii}^\nu).$$

Equation (7.7) implies that

$$z^0 + z^1 = 2m_0 - m(\pi(r-1)) - m(\pi(r)/p_1).$$

We shall show that  $m(\pi(r)/p_1) = m$ . Since  $m(\pi(r-1)) = m$ , by the conditions on  $\pi$ , it follows that

$$\text{ord}_\ell([A^0][A^1]) \leq z^0 + z^1 = 2m_0 - 2m. \quad (7.8)$$

Let  $\lambda = \pi(r)$ . Since  $\tau_{\lambda, n+m}$  and  $s = \tau_{\lambda/(p_1 p_r), n+m}$  are orthogonal, considered as elements of  $S_{\lambda, n}$  (cf. (?)), then if

$$\theta_1 = \psi_{p_1, n+m}(\tau_{\lambda/p_1, n+m}) / \ell^m,$$

it follows that

$$\theta_1 \psi_{p_1, n}(s) = \theta_2 := -(\varphi_{p_r, n+m}(\tau_{\lambda/p_r, n+m}) / \ell^m) \psi_{p_r, n}(s).$$

From conditions ?? and ?? and the equality  $m(\lambda/p_r) = m$ , we obtain that  $e(\theta_2) = e(s) > 0$ . Thus,  $\theta_1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^*$  and  $m(\lambda/p_1) = m$ , since otherwise  $m(\lambda/p_1) > m$ , which implies that  $\theta_1 \in \ell(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$ .

Since  $\text{ord}_\ell([X^0][X^1]) = 2m_0 - 2m$  (cf. ??) and ?? holds, it follows that the surjective homomorphisms  $\alpha_1^0$  and  $\alpha_1^1$  are isomorphisms.

Note that  $\psi_{p_{j+\nu}, n}(z_i^\nu) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , because then, by Proposition ??,  $z_i^\nu(p_{j+\nu}) \in B_{p_{j+\nu}, n}^\nu$  and  $\psi_{p, n}(B_{p, n}) = 0$  (cf. Section ??). We see from ?? and ?? that, if  $u(\nu) \geq 2$  and  $i < u(\nu)$ , then  $\varphi_{p_{i+1+\nu}}(z_i^\nu) \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^*$ . According to (??),

$$e(z_i^\nu) = n + m(\lambda_i^\nu) - m(\lambda_i^\nu) = n.$$

We shall show that if  $(c_1, \dots, c_{u(\nu)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{u(\nu)}$  is such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{u(\nu)} c_i z_i^\nu = 0, \quad (7.9)$$

then  $c_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq u(\nu)$ . It is sufficient to consider the case  $u(\nu) \geq 2$ . Then for  $2 \leq j \leq u(\nu) + \nu$ , we apply the characters  $\psi_{p_{j+\nu}, n}$  to (7.9). By the

properties of  $z^\nu$  noted above, we obtain  $c_1 = \cdots = c_{u(\nu)-1} = 0$  and, hence,  $c_{u(\nu)} = 0$  as well.

Then, from the definition of  $z_i^\nu$  and Proposition ??, it follows that

$$z_i^\nu(p_{j+\nu}) = a_{ij}^\nu b_{j+\nu,n}^\nu \pmod{E(K(p_{j+\nu}))/M}.$$

Thus

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{u(\nu)} c_i z_i^\nu \in S_{p_\nu,n}^\nu$$

and the following relation holds for  $1 \leq j \leq u(\nu)$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{u(\nu)} c_i a_{ij}^\nu = 0. \quad (7.10)$$

Note that the orthogonality between elements of  $S_{p_1,n}^1$  and  $\mathbf{x} \pmod{ME(K)}$ , in view of the fact that

$$\varphi_{p_1,n}(\mathbf{x} \pmod{ME(K)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^*$$

and (??), implies that  $S_{p_1,n}^1 = S_M^1$ . Therefore, (??) is the condition that  $w$  belongs to the group  $S_M^\nu$ . Let  $B^\nu = \{c_1, \dots, c_{u(\nu)}\}$  be the subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{u(\nu)}$  defined by (7.10). If  $a$  is a matrix, then  $a^{\text{tr}}$  denotes the transpose of the matrix  $a$ .

The pairing

$$(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{u(\nu)} \times (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^{u(\nu)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z},$$

under which  $(1_j, 1_j) = \delta_{ij}$  (the Kronecker symbol), induces the isomorphism  $\beta_2^\nu$  in (??). The isomorphism  $\beta_1^\nu$  is any isomorphism of the dual groups. The  $\beta_3^\nu$  is an injection  $(c_1, \dots, c_{u(\nu)}) \mapsto w$ . The isomorphism  $\beta_4^\nu$  is induced by the homomorphism  $S_M^\nu \rightarrow X^\nu$  in (??). We have

$$A(a^{\nu \text{tr}}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\beta_1^\nu} \text{Hom}(A(a^{\nu \text{tr}}), \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\beta_2^\nu} B^\nu \xrightarrow[\cong]{\beta_3^\nu} \ker(\psi_{p_2\nu}^\nu) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\beta_4^\nu} X^\nu. \quad (7.11)$$

We shall show that, for  $n > 2m_0$ ,  $\beta_3^\nu$  is also an isomorphism. Let  $a$  be a  $u \times u$  matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $j > i$  and

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^u \text{ord}_\ell(a_{ii}) \leq n.$$

Using induction on  $u$  and our assumption, we see that  $\text{ord}_\ell(A(a)) = \xi$ .

In particular, if  $n > 2m$  and  $a = a^{\nu \text{tr}}$ , then  $\xi \leq n$ , by virtue of (?), and hence,  $\text{ord}_{\ell_0}(B^\nu) = \xi = z^\nu$ . Thus, since  $\text{ord}_\ell([X^0][X^1]) = z^0 + z^1 = 2m_0 - 2m$ , and  $\beta_3^0$  and  $\beta_3^1$  are injections, it follows that  $\beta_3^0$  and  $\beta_3^1$  are isomorphisms.

Note that since  $\ell^{m_0}X^\nu = 0$ , for  $n = m_0$  and  $n' > 2m_0$ , we have the isomorphism  $\alpha_k^\nu$ , and for  $n' > 3m_0$ , the isomorphisms  $\beta_k^\nu$  for  $1 \leq k \leq 4$  (obtained by reduction modulo  $\ell^{m_0}$  of the corresponding homomorphisms for  $n = m_0 + 1$ ).

Fix  $\theta = 2$  or  $\theta = 3$ . Assume that the value of  $m$  is known, for example,  $m = m^?$ ; that is, the  $\ell$ -component of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for  $E$  over  $K$  is true. Assume as well that we can effectively calculate the values of  $\psi_{p,n''}$  on  $\tau_{\lambda',n''}$  for  $\lambda' \in \Lambda$  and  $(p, \lambda') = 1$ , i.e., in view of (?), we can calculate the coordinates of  $\tilde{P}_{\lambda'} \in \tilde{E}(F)$ , where  $F$  is the residue field of  $K(p)$ .

Then the above exposition gives us an algorithm for calculating  $m_0$  for some  $r \geq 1$ ,  $n' \geq \theta m_0 + 1$ , and  $\pi = (p_0, \dots, p_r) \in \Pi_{n'}^r$ , such that  $m(\lambda) = m(\lambda/p_1) = m$ , where  $\lambda = \pi(r)$ , and for calculating the coefficients  $a_{ij}^\nu \in \mathbb{Z}/M_0\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $M_0 \in \ell^{m_0}$ . Then for  $n = m_0$ , we obtain the isomorphism (?), in particular, the isomorphism  $A^\nu \cong X^\nu$  and the parametrization of the dual group of  $X^\nu$  by the characters  $\psi_{p,m_0}^\nu$  for  $p \mid (\lambda^\nu/p)$ . If  $\theta = 3$ , then we also obtain the isomorphisms in (?), in particular, the parameterization of  $X^\nu$  by means of  $\{z_i^\nu\}$ . We can, of course, use the explicit matrix  $a^\nu = \{a_{ij}\}$  to calculate the invariants of  $X^\nu$ .

Now we shall demonstrate the algorithm. Sort out (in any order) a triple  $n' > m$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\pi$  such that  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{n'}^r$ , until one is obtained which satisfies the following conditions.

First, we verify the condition

$$\psi_{p_r, m+1}(\tau_{\lambda/p, m+1}) = 0. \quad (7.12)$$

It follows from (7.12) that  $m(\lambda/p) = m$  and, in view of Proposition 6.1, that  $m(\lambda) = m$ . If  $r = 1$ , then (7.12) implies that  $m_0 = m$ , hence  $X = 0$ , since  $\#X = \ell^{2m-2m_0}$ , and we complete the calculations. If  $r > 1$ , then we verify the conditions

$$\frac{n' - 1}{\theta} \geq m'_0 := \min_{1 \leq j \leq u(1)+1} \text{ord}_\ell(\psi_{p_j, n}(\tau_{1, n'})) \quad (7.13)$$

and

$$\psi_{p_2, m'_0+1}(\tau_{1, m_0+1}) \neq 0. \quad (7.14)$$

It follows from (7.13) that  $m_0 = m'_0$ . If  $r > 2$ , then we verify the condition

$$\psi_{p_1, m_0+1}(\tau_{1, m_0+1}) \neq 0. \quad (7.15)$$

Furthermore, for  $1 \leq i \leq u(\nu)$ , we can calculate the values  $m(\lambda_i^\nu)$  according to the formula

$$m(\lambda_i^\nu) = \min_{j=\nu(i)-\nu, i < j \leq u(\nu)} \text{ord}_\ell \psi_{p_{j+\nu}, m_0+1}(\tau_{\lambda_i^\nu, m_0+1}). \quad (7.16)$$

Recall that  $\xi(r, \nu) = r$  if  $r - \nu$  is odd and  $\xi(r, \nu) = r - 1$ , otherwise. Then for  $\nu = 0$ , and for  $\nu = 1$  and  $1 \leq i \leq \xi(r, \nu) - \nu - 1$  (if such  $i$  exist), we verify the condition

$$\psi_{p_{i+\nu+1}, m(\lambda_i^\nu)+1}(\tau_{\lambda_i^\nu, m(\lambda_i^\nu)+1}) \neq 0. \quad (7.17)$$

The conditions (7.12), (7.14), and (7.13) if  $r = 2$ , or (7.15) and (7.17) if  $r > 2$ , are equivalent to the conditions (7.1) and (7.2); thus, we require a triple  $n', r, \pi$  for which (7.12) and (7.13) hold, and, if  $r = 2$ , (7.15) and (7.17) hold as well (for the case  $r = 1$ , see above).

The coefficients of  $a^\nu$  for  $r - \nu \geq 2$  are calculated using (7.3) and (7.4).

If  $r = 2$  or  $3$ , then  $m_2 = m(p_1, p_2) = m$ , hence,  $m_r = m$  for  $r \geq 2$ . Furthermore,  $u(0) = 2$  and the matrix  $a^0$  is a square diagonal matrix such that  $\text{ord}_\ell(a_{11}^0) = m_0 - m(p_1)$ . In view of Theorem ? and (?), we obtain that  $m_1 = m(p_1)$  and  $\text{ord}_\ell(a_{22}^0) = m_0 - m(p_1)$ . Then (?), as well as (?), holds already (if  $n = m_0$ ) for  $\theta = 2$ . In particular,  $X^0 \cong S_{M_0}^0 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{m_0-m_1})^2$ ; moreover,  $\tau_{p_1, m_0}$  and  $\tau_{p_2, m_0}$  form a basis for  $S_{M_0}^0$ , and  $\varphi_{p_1, m_0}^0$  and  $\varphi_{p_2, m_0}^0$  form a basis for  $\text{Hom}(S_{M_0}^0, \mathbb{Z}/M_0\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $r = 2$ , then  $m_1 = m(p_1) = m$ ; if  $r = 3$ , then  $p_1 = \lambda_1^0$  and, according to (7.16),

$$m_1 = \text{ord}_\ell(\psi_{p_2, m_0+1}(\tau_{p_1, m_0+1})).$$

If  $r = 2$ , then

$$e(X^1) = m_1 - m_2 = m - m = 0,$$

so  $X^1 = 0$ . Suppose that  $r = 3$ . Then

$$Y = \ker(\varphi_{p_1, m_0}) \cong X^1 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\ell^{m(p_1)-m})^2,$$

and  $\varphi_{p_2, m_0}^1$  and  $\varphi_{p_3, m_0}^1$ , restricted to  $Y$ , form a basis of  $\text{Hom}(Y, \mathbb{Z}/M_0\mathbb{Z})$ .

For  $r > 3$ , the group  $A^\nu \cong X^\nu$  splits into the direct sum of two isomorphic subgroups (according to Theorem ?). Such a decomposition is obtained as

a result of the orthogonality between  $\tau_{\lambda', m_0}$  and  $\tau_{\lambda'', m_0}$  for  $\lambda' \mid \lambda$  and  $\lambda'' \mid \lambda$ . This permits more rapid calculation of the invariants of  $X^\nu$ .

Recall (cf. Theorem ?) that the  $\ell$ -component of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is the equality  $m = m^?$ . *If it is known that  $m \geq m^?$ , which is automatically true when  $m^? = 0$ , then we can use the algorithm, as above, with  $m^?$  in place of  $m$ .* A calculation using this procedure ends if and only if  $m = m^?$ , hence it allows us to obtain the information above simultaneously with the proof of the equality  $m = m^?$ .

Let  $C$  be a curve of genus 1 over  $K$  having a point over  $K(v)$  for all places  $v$  of  $K$ . Suppose that

- $C$  is a principal homogeneous space over  $E$ ,
- $(z) \in H^1(K, E)$  is the cohomology class corresponding to  $C$ ,
- $M$  is the order of  $(z)$ ,
- every rational prime dividing  $M$  belongs to  $B(E)$ ,
- $z \in S_M$  is the element of the Selmer group which lies over  $(z)$ , and
- for all  $\ell \mid M$  and  $p \in \Lambda^1$  we can calculate the value  $z(p) \in E(K(p))/ME(K(p))$ .

Adding to  $z$ , if necessary, the element  $T \left( \sum_{\ell \mid M} \ell^{-m_0} \right) P_1 \pmod{ME(K)}$ , with the corresponding  $T \subset \mathbb{N}$ , we may assume that for all  $\ell \mid M$  we have

$$z(p_1)^1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^{m_0-m}}.$$

Then we have the following effective criterion (necessary and sufficient) for the curve  $C$  to have a point over  $K$  (with  $m$ ,  $m_0$ , and  $\lambda$ , of course, corresponding to  $\ell$ ):

$$\text{for all } \ell \mid M, \text{ for all } p \mid \lambda, z(p) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell^{m_0-m} E(K(p))}. \quad (7.18)$$

If the curve  $C$  is defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and has a point over  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$  for all places of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then the effective criterion for  $C$  to have a point over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the criterion (7.18) with  $z(p)^\nu$  in place of  $z(p)$ , where  $(1)^{\nu-1}\varepsilon = 1$ .