# Gromov–Witten Invariants

Basic Notions seminar, Harvard University 26th April 2004

Tom Coates

## Some motivation: two problems

- How many rational curves of degree d are there on a quintic threefold  $Q \subset \mathbf{P}^4?$ 
  - define the quintic threefold
- How many rational curves of degree d are there in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  which pass through 3d 1 general points?

- this is the degree of the relevant Severi variety

#### The first problem could make sense

We're thinking about degree-d holomorphic maps  $f : \mathbf{P}^1 \to Q$ . The tangent space to the space of such maps is

 $H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, f^{\star}TQ)$ 

Riemann–Roch says that we expect the dimension of the space of such maps to be 3

But this counts *parametrized* maps; we should regard two such maps  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  as the same if they differ by a reparametrization of the domain.

Aut( $\mathbf{P}^1$ ) is 3-dimensional, so we expect  $\{f : \mathbf{P}^1 \to Q\}/\sim$  to consist of isolated points.

#### The second problem could make sense

General approach:

{maps from *n*-pointed curves to X} / ~  $\xrightarrow{ev_i}$  X

We want to compute

$$\#\left(\operatorname{ev}_1^{-1}(p_1)\cap\ldots\cap\operatorname{ev}_n^{-1}(p_n)\right)$$

Applying Riemann–Roch again, we expect that

 $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{\max\}/\sim = n + (1-g)(\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X - 3) + \langle c_1(TX), d \rangle$ 

Take  $X = \mathbf{P}^2$ , degree = d, n = 3d - 1. Then the expected dimension is 6d - 2, so...

## Compactifying our spaces of maps

Since we want to intersect cycles, we should compactify our spaces of maps.

Model example: Deligne–Mumford space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ .

– compactification of the space of smooth curves of genus g with n distinct marked points

Definition of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ : we allow nodal curves, but require *stability*.

- geometrically meaningful compactification
- these are smooth varieties (g = 0) / orbifolds (g > 0)

Examples:  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}$ ,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,5}$ 

#### Moduli spaces of stable maps

Stability for  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  says "no infinitesimal automorphisms".

We mimic this definition, but work over the base X.

Definition of the moduli space of stable maps  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,d)$ :

- introduced by Kontsevich (1994)

– construct via Hilbert schemes; get a stack / orbispace

Key property : if X is a projective variety,  $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(X,d)$  is compact.

## **Good examples**

 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X,0) = X \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}$ 

- check: this has the expected dimension

 $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{0,0}(\mathbf{P}^2,2)$  is the space of complete conics in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ 

- tiny subtlety: automorphisms

The moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(\mathbf{P}^N,d)$  are smooth orbifolds and have the expected dimension.

- see e.g. Fulton-Pandharipande, Notes on stable maps...

# **Bad examples**

 $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}(X,0) = X \times \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,1}$ 

- virtual dimension = 1
- dimension =  $\dim X + 1$

 $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_{1,0}(\mathbf{P}^2,3)$ 

 "compactifying strata" have bigger dimension than the "main stratum"

In the general (non-convex) case, spaces of stable maps are usually also non-reduced and singular.

#### **Properties**

 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X,d)$  is compact.

In the case where  $X = \mathbf{P}^N$  and g = 0:

- $-\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X,d)$  is a smooth orbifold of the expected dimension
- the set  $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}(X,d)$  of stable maps from smooth curves is open
- the complement is a divisor with normal crossings
- the set  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}^*(X,d)$  of automorphism-free stable maps is open

In general, all this remains "virtually true".

- virtual fundamental class: Li-Tian, Behrend-Fantechi

#### **Gromov–Witten invariants**

Definition

Example:

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X,0)} \mathrm{ev}_1^* \alpha \wedge \mathrm{ev}_2^* \beta \wedge \mathrm{ev}_3^* \gamma = \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma$$

These are the structure constants for the cup product with respect to the Poincaré pairing.

Example:

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3d-1}(\mathbf{P}^2,d)} \mathrm{ev}_1^{\star} P^2 \wedge \mathrm{ev}_2^{\star} P^2 \dots \wedge \mathrm{ev}_{3d-1}^{\star} P^2$$

This gives the number of degree-d rational curves in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  through 3d-1 general points.

## Topologically twisted non-linear sigma models

Fano or Calabi–Yau manifold  $X \longrightarrow$  topologically twisted NL $\sigma$ M

NL $\sigma$ M: fields are maps  $f : \Sigma \to X$  (bosonic) plus sections of spin bundles on the Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  (fermionic).

topological twisting: modify fields  $\longrightarrow$  supersymmetry

consequences:

- correlation functions of physical operators are independent of the metric on  $\Sigma$ , so this is a 'topological field theory''
- physical states  $\longleftrightarrow$  cohomology classes on X
- get an associative product on the space of physical states

#### Algebra structure: what?

Pick a basis  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_N$  for  $H^*(X)$ , so that  $t \in H^*(X)$  is  $t = t^1 \phi_1 + \ldots + t^N \phi_N$ 

Define the genus-zero GW potential  $F^0: H^*(X) \to \mathbb{C}[[Q]]$  by

$$\Phi(t) = \sum_{n,d} \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n} \frac{Q^d t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_n}}{n!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n}(X,d)} \mathrm{ev}_1^* \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{ev}_n^* \phi_{i_n}$$

This is a formal series in  $t^1, \ldots, t^N$  and Q whose Taylor coefficients are genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants.

Let  $g_{ab} = (\phi_a, \phi_b)$  — Poincaré pairing — and  $\partial_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^a}$ . Then  $\phi_a \star \phi_b = C_{ab}{}^c(t)\phi_c$ where  $C_{ab}{}^c(t) = \partial_a \partial_b \partial_k \Phi(t) g^{kc}$ .

#### Algebra structure: why?

This algebra is manifestly commutative:  $\partial_a \partial_b \partial_k \Phi(t) = \partial_b \partial_a \partial_k \Phi(t)$ .

For associativity, we need:

$$\partial_a \partial_b \partial_k \Phi(t) g^{kl} \partial_l \partial_c \partial_d \Phi(t) = \partial_a \partial_d \partial_k \Phi(t) g^{kl} \partial_l \partial_b \partial_c \Phi(t)$$

There is a forgetful map ct :  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+4}(X,d) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}$ .

Now  $\partial_a \partial_b \partial_c \partial_d \Phi(t)$  is

$$\sum \frac{Q^d t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_n}}{n!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+4}(X,d)} ev_1^* \phi_a \wedge ev_2^* \phi_b \wedge ev_3^* \phi_c \wedge ev_4^* \phi_d \wedge ev_5^* \phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge ev_{n+4}^* \phi_{i_n}$$

Consider

$$\sum \frac{Q^d t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_n}}{n!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,n+4}(X,d)} (\dots \text{ as above. } \dots) \cap [\mathsf{ct}^{-1}(\lambda)]$$

for  $\lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4} \cong \mathbf{P}^1$  and specialize to  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\lambda = \infty$ .

#### Algebra structure: so what?

For  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , the potential  $\Phi(x, y, z)$  is

$$\sum_{a,b,c,d\geq 0} \frac{Q^d x^a y^b z^c}{a!b!c!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,a+b+c}(\mathbf{P}^2,d)} \underbrace{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}_1^\star 1 \wedge \ldots} \wedge \widetilde{\operatorname{ev}_{a+1}^\star P \wedge \ldots}}_{a+b+1} \wedge \underbrace{\widetilde{\operatorname{ev}_{a+b+1}^\star P^2 \wedge \ldots}}_{a+b+1}$$

The degree-zero part is  $\frac{1}{2}x^2z + \frac{1}{2}xy^2$ .

There are no other x's: compute

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,a+b+c}(\mathbf{P}^2,d)} \mathrm{ev}_1^{\star} 1 \wedge \dots$$

via

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,a+b+c}(\mathbf{P}^2,d) \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,a+b+c-1}(\mathbf{P}^2,d) \to \mathsf{pt}$$

# $P^2$ example (continued)

Also,  

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,a+b+c}(\mathbf{P}^2,d)} ev_1^* P \wedge (stuff) = d \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,a+b+c-1}(\mathbf{P}^2,d)} (stuff)$$
so

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}x^2z + \frac{1}{2}xy^2 + \sum_{d>0} Q^d e^{dy} \frac{z^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} N_d$$

where  $N_d$  is the number of rational curves of degree d in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  which pass through 3d-1 general points.

Write

$$\varphi(x, y, z) = \sum_{d>0} Q^d e^{dy} \frac{z^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} N_d$$

# $P^2$ example (continued)

The WDVV (associativity) equations are equivalent to

$$\varphi_{zzz} = \varphi_{yyz}^2 - \varphi_{yyy}\varphi_{yzz}$$

This gives the recursion

$$N(d) = \sum_{k+l=d} N(k)N(l)k^{2}l \left[ l \binom{3d-4}{3k-2} - k \binom{3d-4}{3k-1} \right]$$
for  $d \ge 2$ .

Since N(1) = 1, we can solve:

## Mirror symmetry

Back to our first problem: counting curves on a quintic threefold.

Mirror symmetry (after Witten, Vafa, Hori): equivalence of the topologically twisted NL $\sigma$ M with a topologically twisted Landau–Ginzburg model.

In our Calabi–Yau case (Candelas, de la Ossa, Green, Parkes, Greene, Plesser, Morrison,...):

topologically twisted NL $\sigma$ M with target Q $\uparrow$  *B-twisted* NL $\sigma$ M with target Q', the "mirror of Q"

## Why this helps

Recall that the *coefficients* of the associativity equations are defined in terms of Gromov–Witten invariants of Q.

Solutions to analogous differential equations on the mirror side can be written in terms of periods of Q'

$$\int_{\mathsf{\Gamma}\subset Q'} \Omega$$

where  $\Omega$  is the Calabi–Yau form on Q'.

These satisfy Picard–Fuchs differential equations, so we can compute them.

# **Open problems**

Find a satisfactory mathematical formulation of mirror symmetry

Higher-genus Gromov–Witten invariants:

- how to compute them
- their connection to enumerative geometry
- Gopakumar-Vafa conjecture

Connection to integrable systems

Thank you for coming