

# The work of Kolyvagin on the arithmetic of elliptic curves

Karl Rubin\*

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University  
New York, NY 10027 USA

This paper gives a complete proof of a recent theorem of Kolyvagin [3, 4] on Mordell-Weil groups and Tate-Shafarevich groups of elliptic curves. Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and assume that  $E$  is modular: for some integer  $N$  there is a nonconstant map defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\pi : X_0(N) \rightarrow E$$

which we may assume sends the cusp  $\infty$  to 0. Here  $X_0(N)$  is the usual modular curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (see for example [8]) which over  $\mathbb{C}$  is obtained by compactifying the quotient  $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma_0(N)$  of the complex upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  by the group

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

The points of  $X_0(N)$  correspond to pairs  $(A, C)$  where  $A$  is a (generalized) elliptic curve and  $C$  is a cyclic subgroup of  $A$  of order  $N$ . Fix an imaginary quadratic field  $K$  in which all primes dividing  $N$  split, and an ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $K$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{n} \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ . Write  $H$  for the Hilbert class field of  $K$  and  $x_H$  for the point in  $X_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$  corresponding to the pair

$$(C/\mathcal{O}_K, \mathfrak{n}^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_K).$$

Fix an embedding of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ ; then the theory of complex multiplication shows that  $x_H \in X_0(N)(H)$ . Define  $y_H = \pi(x_H) \in E(H)$ ,  $y_K = \mathrm{Tr}_{H/K}(y_H) \in E(K)$ , and  $y = y_K - y_K^\tau \in E(K)$ , where  $\tau$  denotes complex conjugation on  $K$ .

Let  $\mathbb{I}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  denote the Tate-Shafarevich group of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem.** (Kolyvagin [3, 4]) *Suppose  $E$  and  $y$  are as above. If  $y$  has infinite order in  $E(K)$  then  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathbb{I}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  are finite.*

---

\*supported by grants from the NSF, the DFG, the SERC, the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik and the Ohio State University

*Remarks.* 1. The proof of this theorem given below is organized differently from Kolyvagin's proof, and somewhat simplified, but the important ideas are all due to Kolyvagin and contained in [3, 4].

2. It is not difficult to show, using the Hecke operator  $w_N$ , that  $y$  has infinite order if and only if both  $y_K$  has infinite order and the sign in the functional equation of the L-function  $L(E, s)$  is  $+1$ .

3. The proof will give an annihilator of  $\text{Ш}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  which, via the theorem of Gross and Zagier [2], gives evidence for the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture.

4. Observe that Kolyvagin's theorem makes no mention of the L-function of  $E$ . To relate his result to the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture one needs the following:

**Theorem.** (Gross and Zagier [2]) *With  $E$  and  $y$  as above,  $y$  has infinite order in  $E(K)$  if and only if  $L(E, 1) \neq 0$  and  $L'(E, \chi_K, 1) \neq 0$ , where  $\chi_K$  is the quadratic character attached to  $K$ .*

**Analytic Conjecture.** *If  $E$  is a modular elliptic curve and the sign in the functional equation of  $L(E, s)$  is  $+1$ , then there exists at least one imaginary quadratic field  $K$ , in which all primes dividing  $N$  split, such that  $L'(E, \chi_K, 1) \neq 0$ .*

This analytic conjecture, as yet unproved, together with the theorems of Kolyvagin and Gross and Zagier, would imply:

(\*) *For any modular elliptic curve  $E$ , if  $L(E, 1) \neq 0$  then  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\text{Ш}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$  are finite.*

Assertion (\*) is known for elliptic curves with complex multiplication, by theorems of Coates and Wiles [1] (for  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ ) and Rubin [6] (for  $\text{Ш}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}$ ).

*Acknowledgements.* I would like to thank John Coates and Bryan Birch for helpful discussions, and the Mathematisches Institut (Erlangen), the Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics (Cambridge) and the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik (Bonn) for their hospitality.

*Notation.* For any abelian group  $A$ ,  $A_n$  will denote the  $n$ -torsion in  $A$  and  $A_{n^\infty} = \bigcup_i A_{n^i}$ . If  $A$  is a module for the appropriate Galois group, we will write  $H^i(L/F, A)$  for  $H^i(\text{Gal}(L/F), A)$ ,  $H^i(F, A)$  for  $H^i(\bar{F}/F, A)$ , and  $H^i(F, E)$  for  $H^i(F, E(\bar{F}))$ .

### Tools of the proof.

Fix a prime number  $p$  and a positive integer  $n$ . For any completion  $\mathbf{Q}_v$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  we have the diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & E(\mathbf{Q})/p^n E(\mathbf{Q}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}, E_{p^n}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}, E)_{p^n} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_v & & \downarrow \text{res}_v \\ 0 & \rightarrow & E(\mathbf{Q}_v)/p^n E(\mathbf{Q}_v) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}_v, E_{p^n}) & \rightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{Q}_v, E)_{p^n} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and we define the Selmer group  $S^{(p^n)}$  and the  $p^n$ -torsion in the Tate-Shafarevich group,  $\mathbb{I}_{p^n}$ , by

$$S^{(p^n)} = \bigcap_v \text{res}_v^{-1}(\text{image } E(\mathbf{Q}_v)),$$

$$0 \rightarrow E(\mathbf{Q})/p^n E(\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow S^{(p^n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{p^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

To prove Kolyvagin's theorem it will suffice to show that  $S^{(p)} = 0$  for almost all  $p$ , and that for other  $p$  the order of  $S^{(p^n)}$  is annihilated by a power of  $p$  which is independent of  $n$ .

For  $s \in S^{(p^n)}$  write  $s_v$  for the inverse image of  $\text{res}_v(s)$  in  $E(\mathbf{Q}_v)/p^n E(\mathbf{Q}_v)$ . Our main tool for bounding  $S^{(p^n)}$  is the following, which is proved using the local Tate pairings.

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $\ell$  is a prime such that  $E(\mathbf{Q}_\ell)_{p^n} \cong \mathbf{Z}/p^k \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $k \geq 0$  is an integer, and  $c_\ell \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}, E)_{p^n}$  satisfies*

- (i) *for all  $v \neq \ell$ ,  $\text{res}_v(c_\ell) = 0$ ,*
- (ii)  *$\text{res}_\ell(c_\ell)$  has order  $p^{n-k}$ .*

*Then for every  $s \in S^{(p^n)}$ ,  $p^k s_\ell = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For any place  $v$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v$  denote the local Tate pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v : E(\mathbf{Q}_v)/p^n E(\mathbf{Q}_v) \times H^1(\mathbf{Q}_v, E)_{p^n} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p^n \mathbf{Z}.$$

For any  $s \in S^{(p^n)}$  and  $c \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}, E)_{p^n}$ , let  $c'$  be any lift of  $c$  to  $H^1(\mathbf{Q}, E_{p^n})$  in (1) and define an element  $b(s, c)$  in the Brauer group of  $\mathbf{Q}$  by the cup product

$$b(s, c) = s \cup c' \in H^2(\mathbf{Q}, E_{p^n} \otimes E_{p^n}) \cong H^2(\mathbf{Q}, \mu_{p^n}) = \text{Br}(\mathbf{Q})_{p^n}.$$

Here the map  $E_{p^n} \otimes E_{p^n} \rightarrow \mu_{p^n}$  is given by the Weil pairing. By the definition of the Tate

pairing ([5] §I.3, especially remark 3.5) we have

$$\langle s_v, \text{res}_v(c) \rangle_v = \text{inv}_v(b(s, c)).$$

Thus

$$\sum_v \langle s_v, \text{res}_v(c) \rangle_v = \sum_v \text{inv}_v(b(s, c)) = 0.$$

Applying this reciprocity law with a class  $c_\ell$  as in the statement of the proposition we conclude that  $\langle s_\ell, \text{res}_\ell(c_\ell) \rangle_\ell = 0$ . But

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell) \cong E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{p^\infty}/p^n E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{p^\infty} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z},$$

so if  $\text{res}_\ell(c_\ell)$  has order  $p^{n-k}$  the nondegeneracy of the Tate pairing shows that  $p^k s_\ell = 0$ . //

It remains now to construct such a cohomology class  $c_\ell$  for sufficiently many  $\ell$ , with  $k$  bounded and usually 0. Kolyvagin constructs such a  $c_\ell$  using Heegner points. Write  $\tau$  for the complex conjugation on  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  induced by our embedding of  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $[\tau]$  for its conjugacy class in  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ . If  $A$  is a  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -module with  $A_2 = A/2A = 0$ , the action of  $\tau$  gives a decomposition  $A = A^+ \oplus A^-$ . From now on, for simplicity we will assume that  $p \neq 2$ , and if  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$  we also assume  $p \neq 3$ . Write  $D_K$  for the discriminant of  $K$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $\ell$  is a prime not dividing  $pD_K N$ ,  $r > 0$ , and  $\text{Frob}_\ell(K(E_{p^r})/\mathbb{Q}) = [\tau]$ . Then if  $\tilde{E}$  denotes the reduction of  $E$  modulo  $\ell$  and  $a_\ell = \ell + 1 - \#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ ,*

(i)  $p^r \mid a_\ell$  and  $p^r \mid \ell + 1$ ,

(ii)  $\ell$  remains prime in  $K$ ,

(iii)  $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{p^r} \cong \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)_{p^r} \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $(E(K_\ell)_{p^r})^- \cong (\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^2})_{p^r})^- \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* The characteristic polynomial of Frobenius acting on  $E_{p^r}$  is  $T^2 - a_\ell T + \ell$ , and the characteristic polynomial of  $\tau$  acting on  $E_{p^r} = E(\mathbb{C})_{p^r}$  is  $T^2 - 1$ . Comparing these polynomials modulo  $p^r$  proves (i). The second assertion holds because  $\text{Frob}_\ell(K/\mathbb{Q}) \neq 1$ , and the third because  $E(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{p^r} \cong (E_{p^r})^+ \cong E(\mathbb{R})_{p^r}$  and  $E(K_\ell)_{p^r} \cong (E_{p^r})^+ \oplus (E_{p^r})^-$ . //

Suppose  $\ell$  is a rational prime which remains prime in  $K$  and  $\ell \nmid N$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  be the order of conductor  $\ell$  in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and  $x_\ell$  the point in  $X_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$  corresponding to the pair

$$(C/\mathcal{O}_\ell, (\mathfrak{n} \cap \mathcal{O}_\ell)^{-1}/\mathcal{O}_\ell).$$

The theory of complex multiplication shows that  $x_\ell \in X_0(N)(K[\ell])$  where  $K[\ell]$  denotes the ring class field of  $K$  modulo  $\ell$ , the abelian extension of  $K$  corresponding to

the subgroup  $K^\times C^\times \prod_q (\mathcal{O}_\ell \otimes \mathbf{Z}_q)^\times$  of the ideles of  $K$ . It follows easily that  $K[\ell]$  is a cyclic extension of  $H$  of degree  $(\ell+1)/u_K$  where  $u_K = \#(\mathcal{O}_K^\times)/2$ ,  $K[\ell]/H$  is totally ramified at  $\ell$  and unramified everywhere else, and  $\tau$  acts on  $\text{Gal}(K[\ell]/K)$  by  $-1$ . Define  $y_\ell = \pi(x_\ell) \in E(K[\ell])$ . The only facts about Heegner points which we will need (other than their natural fields of definition) are contained in the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** i)  $u_K \text{Tr}_{K[\ell]/H}(y_\ell) = a_\ell y_H$ .  
 ii) For any prime  $\lambda$  of  $K[\ell]$  above  $\ell$ ,  $\tilde{y}_\ell = \tilde{y}_H^{\text{Frob}} \in \tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})$ , where  $\sim$  denotes reduction modulo  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Fix an elliptic curve  $A$  defined over  $H$ , with complex multiplication by  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , so that  $(A, A_n)$  represents  $x_H$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $A$  has good reduction at all primes above  $\ell$ . The point  $x_\ell$  can be represented by  $(A', A'_n)$  where  $A' = A/C_\ell$  is the quotient of  $A$  by a subgroup of order  $\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the collection of the  $\ell+1$  subgroups of  $A$  of order  $\ell$ . The Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K[\ell]/H)$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{C}/\text{Aut}(E)$ , which has order  $(\ell+1)/u_K = [K[\ell]:H]$ . Thus, writing  $T_\ell$  for the Hecke correspondence on  $X_0(N)$ ,

$$T_\ell(x_H) = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} (A/C, (A/C)_n) = u_K \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K[\ell]/H)} (x_\ell)^\sigma.$$

Projecting to  $E$  via  $\pi$  proves the first assertion, since  $\pi \circ T_\ell = a_\ell \pi$ . For the second, consider the isogeny

$$\varphi : (A, A_n) \rightarrow (A', A'_n)$$

of degree  $\ell$ . Since  $\ell$  remains prime in  $K$ , both  $A$  and  $A'$  have supersingular reduction at  $\lambda$ , so the reduced isogeny

$$\tilde{\varphi} : (\tilde{A}, \tilde{A}_n) \rightarrow (\tilde{A}', \tilde{A}'_n)$$

must be, up to an automorphism, Frobenius ([9] II.2.12). This proves that  $\tilde{x}_p = \tilde{x}_H^{\text{Frob}}$  in  $\tilde{X}_0(N)(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})$ . By the universal property of the Néron model,  $\pi$  reduces to a morphism  $\tilde{\pi}$  from  $\tilde{X}_0(N)$  to  $\tilde{E}$ , and applying  $\tilde{\pi}$  completes the proof. //

*Remark.* One can avoid using the universal property of the Néron model by requiring instead that  $\ell$  not belong to a certain finite set of primes. This restriction does not interfere with the proof of Kolyvagin's theorem.

Suppose  $\ell$  is a prime not dividing  $pD_K N$ ,  $r > 0$ , and  $\text{Frob}_\ell(K(E_{p^r})/\mathbf{Q}) = [\tau]$ . By Lemma 2,  $p^r | a_\ell$  and  $p^r | u_K [K[\ell]:H]$ , so there is a (unique) extension  $H'$  of  $H$  of

degree  $p^r$  in  $K[\lambda]$ . Let  $\phi$  denote any lift of any  $\text{Frob}_\lambda(H/Q)$  to  $\text{Gal}(H'/Q)$  and define

$$z_1 = u_K \text{Tr}_{K[\lambda]/H} (y_\lambda - y_\lambda^\phi) - (a_\lambda/p^r)(y_H - y_H^\phi) \in E(H').$$

**Corollary 4.** Suppose  $\lambda \nmid pD_K N$  and  $\text{Frob}_\lambda(K(E_{p^r})/Q) = [\tau]$ , and let  $z_1$  be as above.

(i)  $\text{Tr}_{H'/H}(z_1) = 0.$

(ii) For any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/K)$ , let  $\bar{\sigma}$  denote any lift of  $\sigma$  to  $\text{Gal}(H'/K)$ . Then modulo any  $\lambda$  of  $H'$  above  $\lambda$ ,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(H/K)} \tilde{z}_1^{\bar{\sigma}} = -((\lambda+1+a_\lambda)/p^r)\tilde{y}.$$

*Proof.* This follows without difficulty from Proposition 3. //

For each place  $v$  of  $Q$  let  $m_v = \#[H^1(Q_v^{\text{unr}}/Q_v, E(Q_v^{\text{unr}}))]$ . By [5] Proposition I.3.8, each  $m_v$  is finite and all but finitely many are zero, so  $m(p) = \sup\{\text{ord}_p(m_v) : \text{all } v \text{ of } Q\}$  is a well-defined integer, equal to zero for almost all  $p$ .

**Proposition 5.** Suppose  $\lambda \nmid pD_K N$  and  $\text{Frob}_\lambda(K(E_{p^r})/Q) = [\tau]$ , where  $r = n + m(p)$ . Then there is an element  $c_\lambda \in H^1(Q, E)_{p^n}$  such that

i)  $\text{res}_v(c_\lambda) = 0$  for all  $v \neq \lambda$ ,

ii) the order of  $\text{res}_\lambda(c_\lambda)$  in  $H^1(Q_\lambda, E)_{p^n}$  is equal to the order of  $y$  in  $E(K_\lambda)/p^n E(K_\lambda)$ .

*Proof.* First suppose  $p \nmid [H:K]$ . Then there is a (unique) extension  $K'$  of  $K$  of degree  $p^r$  in  $K[\lambda]$ , totally ramified at  $\lambda$  and unramified at all other primes, and  $H' = HK'$ . Define

$$z = \text{Tr}_{H'/K}(z_1) \in E(K').$$

By Corollary 4,  $\text{Tr}_{K'/K}(z) = 0$ . Fixing a generator  $\sigma$  of  $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$  gives rise to a group isomorphism (which is *not*  $\tau$ -equivariant, see below)

$$\{\alpha \in E(K') : \text{Tr}_{K'/K}(\alpha) = 0\} / (\sigma-1)E(K') \cong H^1(K'/K, E(K')).$$

Define

$$c'_\lambda \in H^1(K'/K, E(K')) \subset H^1(K, E)_{p^r}$$

to be the image of  $z$  under this isomorphism.

Since  $\tau$  commutes with  $\text{Tr}_{K[\lambda]/K}$ ,  $z^\tau = -z$ . Since  $\tau$  also acts by  $-1$  on  $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$ , we conclude that  $c'_\lambda{}^\tau = c'_\lambda$ . Thus  $c'_\lambda \in (H^1(K, E)_{p^r})^+$ . But for  $p > 2$  the restriction map

gives an isomorphism  $H^1(\mathbf{Q}, E)_{p^r} \cong (H^1(\mathbf{K}, E)_{p^r})^+$ , so  $c'_\ell \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}, E)_{p^r}$ . Finally, define  $c_\ell = p^{m(p)}c'_\ell \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}, E)_{p^n}$ .

For  $v \neq \ell$ , since  $\mathbf{K}'/\mathbf{K}$  is unramified at  $v$ ,

$$\text{res}_v(c_\ell) = p^{m(p)}\text{res}_v(c'_\ell) \in p^{m(p)}H^1(\mathbf{Q}_v^{\text{unr}}/\mathbf{Q}_v, E(\mathbf{Q}_v^{\text{unr}}))_{p^r} = 0$$

by definition of  $m(p)$ .

To complete the proof of the proposition we must determine the order of  $\text{res}_\ell(c_\ell)$  in  $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, E)_{p^n}$ . Write  $I_\ell$  for the inertia subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell/\mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ , and consider the maps

$$H^1(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, E)_{p^n} \rightarrow H^1(I_\ell, E(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell))_{p^n} \rightarrow H^1(I_\ell, \tilde{E}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_\ell))_{p^n} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\mathbf{K}'/\mathbf{K}), \tilde{E}_{p^n}).$$

The first map is injective because its kernel,  $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_\ell^{\text{unr}}/\mathbf{Q}_\ell, E(\mathbf{Q}_\ell^{\text{unr}}))_{p^n}$ , is 0 since  $E$  has good reduction at  $\ell$ . The second map is an isomorphism because the kernel of reduction modulo  $\ell$  is a pro- $\ell$  group. The third map is an isomorphism because  $I_\ell$  acts trivially on  $\tilde{E}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_\ell)$  and  $\mathbf{K}'\mathbf{Q}_\ell^{\text{unr}}$  is the unique abelian extension of  $\mathbf{Q}_\ell^{\text{unr}}$  of exponent  $p^r$ . It is easy to see that the image of  $c_\ell$  under this sequence of injections is the homomorphism which sends the chosen generator  $\sigma$  of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{K}'/\mathbf{K})$  to  $p^{m(p)}\tilde{z}$ . Thus the order of  $\text{res}_\ell(c_\ell)$  in  $H^1(\mathbf{Q}_\ell, E)_{p^n}$  is the same as the order of  $p^{m(p)}\tilde{z}$  in  $\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})$ .

Corollary 4 shows that

$$p^{m(p)}\tilde{z} = -((\ell+1+a_\ell)/p^n)\tilde{y}.$$

Up to a factor of 2,  $\#[\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})^-] = \#[\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})]/\#[\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_\ell)] = \ell+1+a_\ell$ . By Lemma 2,  $(\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})_{p^\infty})^-$  is cyclic, so we conclude that  $(\ell+1+a_\ell)/p^n$  maps  $\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})^-/p^n\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})^-$  isomorphically to  $(\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})_{p^n})^-$ . Therefore the order of  $p^{m(p)}\tilde{z}$  in  $\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})$  is the same as the order of  $y$  in  $E(\mathbf{K}_\ell)/p^nE(\mathbf{K}_\ell) \cong \tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})/p^n\tilde{E}(\mathbf{F}_{\ell^2})$ . This completes the proof when  $p \nmid [H:\mathbf{K}]$ .

If  $p \mid [H:\mathbf{K}]$ , there may not exist a field  $\mathbf{K}'$  as above. In that case, use the point  $z_1$  to define  $c'_{1,\ell} \in H^1(H, E)_{p^r}$ . Then define  $c'_\ell$  to be the corestriction of  $c'_{1,\ell}$  to  $H^1(\mathbf{K}, E)$  and proceed as above. //

**Corollary 6.** *Suppose  $\ell \nmid pD_{\mathbf{K}}N$ , and  $\text{Frob}_\ell(\mathbf{K}(E_{p^{n+m(p)}})/\mathbf{Q}) = [\tau]$ . If  $k \geq 0$  and  $p^{n-k-1}y \notin p^nE(\mathbf{K}_\ell)$ , then for all  $s \in S^{(p^n)}$ ,  $p^k s_\ell = 0$ .*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Propositions 1 and 4. //

For any  $t \in H^1(\mathbf{K}, E_{p^n})$ , write  $\hat{t}$  for the image of  $t$  under the restriction map

$$(2) \quad H^1(\mathbf{K}, E_{p^n}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{K}}/\mathbf{K}(E_{p^{n+m(p)}})), E_{p^n})^{\text{Gal}(\mathbf{K}(E_{p^{n+m(p)}})/\mathbf{K})}.$$

**Lemma 7.** Suppose  $t \in H^1(K, E_{p^n})^\pm$  and the image of  $\hat{t}$  is cyclic. Then the order of  $t$  is at most  $p^{a+b}$ , where  $p^a$  is the order of the largest  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational cyclic subgroup of  $E_{p^\infty}$  and  $p^b$  is the exponent of  $H^1(K(E_{p^{n+m(p)}})/K, E_{p^n})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\hat{t}$  is  $\text{Gal}(K(E_{p^{n+m(p)}})/K)$ -equivariant, its image is  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -invariant. Since  $\tau$  acts on  $\hat{t}$  by  $\pm 1$ , the image is in fact rational over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus if the image is cyclic, the order of  $\hat{t}$  is at most  $p^a$ . The kernel of the restriction map (2) is  $H^1(K(E_{p^{n+m(p)}})/K, E_{p^n})$ , so  $t$  has order at most  $p^{a+b}$ . //

### Proof of Kolyvagin's theorem.

As above, we fix a prime  $p$  not dividing  $\#\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . Suppose  $y$  has infinite order in  $E(K)$ , and let  $k = k(p)$  be the largest integer such that  $y \in p^k E(K) + E(K)_{\text{tors}}$ . Fix any integer  $n \geq k + 1$ . First assume that

(3)  $E$  has no  $p$ -isogeny defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,

(4)  $H^1(K(E_{p^{n+m(p)}})/K, E_{p^n}) = 0$ ,

both of which hold for all but a finite number of  $p$  by Serre's theorem [7] or the theory of complex multiplication. Under these assumptions we will show that  $p^k S^{(p^n)} = 0$ .

Write  $r = n + m(p)$ . Fix  $s \in S^{(p^n)}$ , and as in Lemma 7 write  $\hat{s}$  for the restriction of  $s$  to  $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K(E_{p^r}))$  and write  $\hat{y}$  for the restriction of the image of  $y$  under the injection

$$E(K)^-/p^n E(K)^- \rightarrow H^1(K, E_{p^n})^-.$$

Fix a finite extension  $F$  of  $K(E_{p^r})$ , Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so that both  $\hat{s}$  and  $\hat{y}$  factor through  $G = \text{Gal}(F/K(E_{p^r}))$ .

Choose any  $\gamma \in G$ , and choose any prime  $\ell$ , not dividing  $pD_K N$ , such that  $\text{Frob}_\ell(F/\mathbb{Q}) = [\gamma\tau]$ . Then  $\text{Frob}_\ell(K(E_{p^r})/\mathbb{Q}) = [\tau]$ , and  $\text{Frob}_\ell(F/K(E_{p^r})) \in [(\gamma\tau)^2]$  so

$$p^k s_\ell = 0 \Leftrightarrow p^k \hat{s}((\gamma\tau)^2) = 0, \text{ and } p^{n-k-1} y \in p^n E(K)_\ell \Leftrightarrow p^{n-k-1} \hat{y}((\gamma\tau)^2) = 0.$$

Since  $\hat{s}^\tau = \hat{s}$ , and  $\hat{y}^\tau = -\hat{y}$ ,

$$\hat{s}((\gamma\tau)^2) = \hat{s}(\gamma) + \hat{s}(\tau\gamma\tau) = (1+\tau)\hat{s}(\gamma)$$

$$\hat{y}((\gamma\tau)^2) = \hat{y}(\gamma) + \hat{y}(\tau\gamma\tau) = (1-\tau)\hat{y}(\gamma)$$

By Corollary 6, we conclude that for every  $\gamma \in G$ , either  $p^k \hat{s}(\gamma) \in (E_{p^n})^-$  or

$p^{n-k-1}\hat{y}(\gamma) \in (E_{p^n})^+$ . Therefore  $G = (p^k\hat{s})^{-1}((E_{p^n})^-) \cup (p^{n-k-1}\hat{y})^{-1}((E_{p^n})^+)$ . But a group cannot be the union of two proper subgroups, so either  $p^k\hat{s}(G) \subset (E_{p^n})^-$  or  $p^{n-k-1}\hat{y}(G) \subset (E_{p^n})^+$ . By Lemma 7 (using assumptions (3) and (4)) we conclude that either  $p^k s = 0$  in  $S^{(p^n)}$  or  $p^{n-k-1}y = 0$  in  $E(K)/p^n E(K)$ . Since the latter is impossible by our definition of  $k$ , we have shown that  $p^k S^{(p^n)} = 0$ .

Since  $k = 0$  for almost all  $p$ , this proves Kolyvagin's theorem except for the finite number of  $p$ -parts which we have ruled out above. Without assumptions (3) and (4), using Lemma 7 the proof above gives a somewhat weaker annihilator of  $S^{(p^n)}$ , but still one which is independent of  $n$  (again using [7] or the theory of complex multiplication to show that the exponent of  $H^1(K(E_{p^{n+m(p)}})/K, E_{p^n})$  is bounded independent of  $n$ ). Also, with a little more care, one obtains a suitable annihilator when  $p \nmid \#\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . This completes the proof. //

## References.

1. Coates, J., Wiles, A.: On the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. *Invent. Math.* **39**, 223-251 (1977)
2. Gross, B., Zagier, D.: Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. *Invent. Math.* **84**, 225-320 (1986)
3. Kolyvagin, V.A.: Finiteness of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\text{III}(E, \mathbb{Q})$  for a class of Weil curves. (Russian) To appear in *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*
4. Kolyvagin, V.A.: On Mordell-Weil and Shafarevich-Tate groups of elliptic Weil curves. (Russian) preprint
5. Milne, J.S.: Arithmetic duality theorems. *Persp. in Math.* **1**, Orlando: Academic Press (1986)
6. Rubin, K.: Tate-Shafarevich groups and L-functions of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. *Invent. Math.* **89**, 527-560 (1987)
7. Serre, J-P.: Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques. *Inv. Math.* **15**, 259-331 (1972)
8. Shimura, G.: Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic forms. *Pub. Math. Soc. Japan* **11**, Princeton: Princeton University Press (1971)
9. Silverman, J.: The arithmetic of elliptic curves. *Grad. Texts in Math.* **106**, New York: Springer (1986)