## 3

## Modular Symbols

These are notes for Math 252 by William Stein. They probably still contain typos.

This chapter is about how to explicitly compute the homology of modular curves using modular symbols.
We assume the reader is familiar with basic notions of algebraic topology, including homology groups of surfaces and triangulation. We also assume that the reader has read XXX about the fundamental domain for the action of $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$ on the upper half plane, and XXX about the construction of modular curves.

Some standard references for modular symbols are [4] [3, IV], [1], and [5]. Sections 3.1-3.2 below very closely follow Section 1 of Manin's paper [4].

For the rest of this chapter, let $\Gamma=\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$ and let $G$ be a subgroup of $\Gamma$ of finite index. Note that we do not require $G$ to be a congruence subgroup. The quotient $X(G)=G \backslash \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{h}^{*}=\mathfrak{h} \cup \mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{Q})$ by $G$ has an induced structure of compact Riemann surface. Let $\pi: \mathfrak{h}^{*} \rightarrow X(G)$ denote the natural projection. The matrices

$$
s=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad t=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

together generate $\Gamma$; they have orders 2 and 3 , respectively.

### 3.1 Modular symbols

Let $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X(G), \Omega^{1}\right)$ denote the complex vector space of holomorphic 1-forms on $X(G)$. Integration of differentials along homology classes defines a perfect pairing

$$
\mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{R}) \times \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X(G), \Omega^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}
$$

hence an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{R}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X(G), \Omega^{1}\right), \mathbf{C}\right)
$$

For more details, see [3, §IV.1].

Given two elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$, integration from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ induces a well-defined element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X(G), \Omega^{1}\right), \mathbf{C}\right)$, hence an element

$$
\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{R})
$$

Definition 3.1.1 (Modular symbol). The homology class $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{R})$ associated to $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$ is called the modular symbol attached to $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Proposition 3.1.2. The symbols $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ have the following properties:

1. $\{\alpha, \alpha\}=0,\{\alpha, \beta\}=-\{\beta, \alpha\}$, and $\{\alpha, \beta\}+\{\beta, \gamma\}+\{\gamma, \alpha\}=0$.
2. $\{g(\alpha), g(\beta)\}=\{\alpha, \beta\}$ for all $g \in G$
3. If $X(G)$ has nonzero genus, then $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})$ if and only if $G(\alpha)=$ $G(\beta)$ (i.e., the cusps $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are equivalent). ${ }^{1}$

Remark 3.1.3. We only have $\{\alpha, \beta\}=\{\beta, \alpha\}$ if $\{\alpha, \beta\}=0$, so the modular symbols notation, which suggests "unordered pairs", is actively misleading.
Proposition 3.1.4. For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$, the map $G \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})$ that sends $g$ to $\{\alpha, g \alpha\}$ is a surjective group homomorphism that does not depend on the choice of $\alpha$.

Proof. If $g, h \in G$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$, then

$$
\{\alpha, g h(\alpha)\}=\{\alpha, g \alpha\}+\{g \alpha, g h \alpha\}=\{\alpha, g \alpha\}+\{\alpha, h \alpha\},
$$

so the map is a group homomorphism. To see that the map does not depend on the choice of $\alpha$, suppose $\beta \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$. By Proposition 3.1.2, we have $\{\alpha, \beta\}=\{g \alpha, g \beta\}$. Thus

$$
\{\alpha, g \alpha\}+\{g \alpha, \beta\}=\{g \alpha, \beta\}+\{\beta, g \beta\}
$$

so cancelling $\{g \alpha, \beta\}$ from both sides proves the claim.
The fact that the map is surjective follows from general facts from algebraic topology. Let $\mathfrak{h}^{0}$ be the complement of $\Gamma i \cup \Gamma \rho$ in $\mathfrak{h}$, fix $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}^{0}$, and let $X(G)^{0}=$ $\pi\left(\mathfrak{h}^{0}\right)$. The map $\mathfrak{h}^{0} \rightarrow X(G)^{0}$ is an unramified covering of (noncompact) Riemann surfaces with automorphism group $G$. Thus $\alpha$ determines a surjective homomorphism $\pi_{1}\left(X(G)^{0}, \pi(\alpha)\right) \rightarrow G$. When composed with the morphism $G \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})$ above, the composition

$$
\pi_{1}\left(X(G)^{0}, \pi(\alpha)\right) \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})
$$

is the canonical map from the fundamental group of $X(G)^{0}$ to the homology of the corresponding compact surface, which is surjective. This proves the claim.

### 3.2 Manin symbols

We continue to assume that $G$ is a finite-index subgroup of $\Gamma=\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$, so the set $G \backslash \Gamma=\left\{G g_{1}, \ldots G g_{d}\right\}$ of right cosets of $G$ in $\Gamma$ is finite.

[^0]
### 3.2.1 Using continued fractions to obtain surjectivity

Let $R=G \backslash \Gamma$ be the set of right cosets of $G$ in $\Gamma$. Define

$$
[]: R \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{R})
$$

by $[r]=\{r 0, r \infty\}$, where $r 0$ means the image of 0 under any element of the coset $r$ (it doesn't matter which). For $g \in \Gamma$, we also write $[g]=[g G]$.
Proposition 3.2.1. Any element of $\mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})$ is a sum of elements of the form [r], and the representation $\sum n_{r}\left\{\alpha_{r}, \beta_{r}\right\}$ of $h \in \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})$ can be chosen so that $\sum n_{r}\left(\pi\left(\beta_{r}\right)-\pi\left(\alpha_{r}\right)\right)=0 \in \operatorname{Div}(X(G))$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.1.4, every element $h$ of $\mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})$ is of the form $\{0, g(0)\}$ for some $g \in \mathbf{G}$. If $g(0)=\infty$, then $h=[G]$ and $\pi(\infty)=\pi(0)$, so we may assume $g(0)=a / b \neq \infty$, with $a / b$ in lowest terms and $b>0$. Also assume $a>0$, since the case $a<0$ is treated in the same way. Let

$$
0=\frac{p_{-2}}{q_{-2}}=\frac{0}{1}, \frac{p_{-1}}{q_{-1}}=\frac{1}{0}, \frac{p_{0}}{1}=\frac{p_{0}}{q_{0}}, \frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}}, \frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}=\frac{a}{b}
$$

denote the continued fraction convergents of the rational number $a / b$. Then

$$
p_{j} q_{j-1}-p_{j-1} q_{j}=(-1)^{j-1} \quad \text { for }-1 \leq j \leq n
$$

If we let $g_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}(-1)^{j-1} p_{j} & p_{j-1} \\ (-1)^{j-1} q_{j} & q_{j-1}\end{array}\right)$, then $g_{j} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{0, \frac{a}{b}\right\} & =\sum_{j=-1}^{n}\left\{\frac{p_{j-1}}{q_{j-1}}, \frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}}\right\} \\
& \left.=\sum_{j=-1}^{n}\left\{g_{j} 0, g_{j} \infty\right\}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=-1}^{r}\left[g_{j}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For the assertion about the divisor sum equaling zero, notice that the endpoints of the successive modular symbols cancel out, leaving the difference of 0 and $g(0)$ in the divisor group, which is 0 .

### 3.2.2 Triangulating $X(G)$ to obtain injectivity

Let $C$ be the abelian group generated by symbols $(r)$ for $r \in G \backslash \Gamma$, subject to the relations

$$
(r)+(r s)=0, \quad \text { and }(r)=0 \quad \text { if } \quad r=r s
$$

For $(r) \in C$, define the boundary of $(r)$ to be the difference $\pi(r \infty)-\pi(r 0) \in$ $\operatorname{Div}(X(G))$. Since $s$ swaps 0 and $\infty$, the boundary map is a well-defined map on $C$. Let $Z$ be its kernel.

Let $B$ be the subgroup of $C$ generated by symbols $(r)$, for all $r \in G \backslash \Gamma$ that satisfy $r=r t$, and by $(r)+(r t)+\left(r t^{2}\right)$ for all other $r$. If $r=r t$, then $r t(0)=r(0)$,

so $r(\infty)=r(0)$, so $(r) \in Z$. Also, using (3.2.1) below, we see that for any $r$, the element $(r)+(r t)+\left(r t^{2}\right)$ lies in $Z$.

The map $G \backslash \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{R})$ of Proposition 3.1.4 induces a homomorphism $C \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{R})$, so by Proposition 3.2.1 we obtain a surjective homomorphism

$$
\psi: Z / B \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})
$$

Theorem 3.2.2 (Manin). The map $\psi: Z / B \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. We only have to prove that $\psi$ is injective. Our proof follows the proof of [4, Thm. 1.9] very closely. We compute the homology $\mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})$ by triangulating $X(G)$ to obtain a simplicial complex $L$ with homology $Z_{1} / B_{1}$, then embed $Z / B$ in the homology $Z_{1} / B_{1}$ of $X(G)$. Most of our work is spent describing the triangulation $L$.

Let $E$ denote the interior of the triangle with vertices 0,1 , and $\infty$, as illustrated in Figure 3.2.1. Let $E^{\prime}$ denote the union of the interior of the region bounded by the path from $i$ to $\rho=e^{\pi i / 3}$ to $1+i$ to $\infty$ with the indicated path from $i$ to $\rho$, not including the vertex $i$.

When reading the proof below, it will be helpful to look at the following table, which illustrates what $s=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), t=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, and $t^{2}$ do to the vertices in Figure 3.2.1:

| 1 | 0 | 1 | $\infty$ | $i$ | $1+i$ | $(1+i) / 2$ | $\rho$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | $\infty$ | -1 | 0 | $i$ | $(-1+i) / 2$ | $-1+i$ | $-\bar{\rho}$ |
| $t$ | $\infty$ | 0 | 1 | $1+i$ | $(1+i) / 2$ | $i$ | $\rho$ |
| $t^{2}$ | 1 | $\infty$ | 0 | $(1+i) / 2$ | $i$ | $1+i$ | $\rho$ |

Note that each of $E^{\prime}, t E^{\prime}$, and $t^{2} E^{\prime}$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$, in the sense that every element of the upper half plane is conjugate to exactly one element in the closure of $E^{\prime}$ (except for identifications along the boundaries). For example, $E^{\prime}$ is obtained from the standard fundamental domain for $\Gamma$, which has vertices $\rho^{2}, \rho$, and $\infty$, by chopping it in half along the imaginary axis, and translating the piece on the left side horizontally by 1 .

If $(0, \infty)$ is the path from 0 to $\infty$, then $t(0, \infty)=(\infty, 1)$ and $t^{2}(0, \infty)=(1,0)$. Also, $s(0, \infty)=(\infty, 0)$. Thus each half side of $E$ is $\Gamma$-conjugate to the side from $i$ to $\infty$. Also, each 1 -simplex in Figure 3.2.1, i.e., the sides that connected two adjacent labeled vertices such as $i$ and $\rho$, maps homeomorphically into $X(\Gamma)$. This is clear for the half sides, since they are conjugate to a path in the interior of the standard fundamental domain for $\Gamma$, and for the medians (lines from midpoints to $\rho$ ) since the path from $i$ to $\rho$ is on an edge of the standard fundamental domain with no self identifications.

We now describe our triangulation $L$ of $X(G)$ :
0 -cells The 0 cells are the cusps $\pi\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}(\mathbf{Q})\right)$ and $i$-elliptic points $\pi(\Gamma i)$. Note that these are the images under $\pi$ of the vertices and midpoints of sides of the triangles $g E$, for all $g \in \Gamma$.
1-cells The 1 cells are the images of the half-sides of the triangles $g E$, for $g \in \Gamma$, oriented from the edge to the midpoint (i.e., from the cusp to the $i$-elliptic point). For example, if $r=G g$ is a right coset, then

$$
e_{1}(r)=\pi(g(\infty), g(i)) \in X(G)
$$

is a 1 cell in $L$. Since, as we observed above, every half side is $\Gamma$-conjugate to $e_{1}(G)$, it follows that every 1-cell is of the form $e(r)$ for some right coset $r \in G \backslash \Gamma$.
Next observe that if $r \neq r^{\prime}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{e}_{1}(r)=e_{1}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { implies } \quad r^{\prime}=r s \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $\pi(g(\infty), g(i))=\pi\left(g^{\prime}(\infty), g^{\prime}(i)\right)$, then $r i=r^{\prime} i$ (note that the endpoints of a path are part of the definition of the path). Thus there exists $h, h^{\prime} \in G$ such that $h g(i)=h^{\prime} g^{\prime}(i)$. Since the only nontrivial element of $\Gamma$ that stabilizes $i$ is $s$, this implies that $(h g)^{-1} h^{\prime} g^{\prime}=s$. Thus $h^{\prime} g^{\prime}=h g s$, so $G g^{\prime}=G g s$, so $r^{\prime}=r s$.

2-cells There are two types of 2-cells, those with 2 sides and those with 3 .
2-sided: The 2-sided 2-cells $e_{2}(r)$ are indexed by the cosets $r=G g$ such that $r t=r$. Note that for such an $r$, we have $\pi\left(r E^{\prime}\right)=\pi\left(r t E^{\prime}\right)=\pi\left(r t^{2} E^{\prime}\right)$. The 2-cell $e_{2}(r)$ is $\pi\left(g E^{\prime}\right)$. The image $g(\rho, i)$ of the half median maps to a line from the center of $e_{2}(r)$ to the edge $\pi(g(i))=\pi(g(1+i))$. Orient $e_{2}(r)$ in a way compatible with the $e_{1}$. Since $G g t=G g$,

$$
\pi(g(1+i), g(\infty))=\pi\left(g t^{2}(1+i), g t^{2}(\infty)\right)=\pi(g(i), g(0))=\pi(g s(i), g s(\infty))
$$

so
$e_{1}(r)-e_{1}(r s)=\pi(g(\infty), g(i))+\pi(g s(i), g s(\infty))=\pi(g(\infty), g(i))+\pi(g(1+i), g(\infty))$.
Thus

$$
\partial e_{2}(r)=e_{1}(r)-e_{1}(r s)
$$

Finally, note that if $r^{\prime} \neq r$ also satisfies $r^{\prime} t=r^{\prime}$, then $e_{2}(r) \neq e_{2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ (to see this use that $E^{\prime}$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ ).

3 -sided: The 3 -sided 2-cells $e_{2}(r)$ are indexed by the cosets $r=G g$ such that $r t \neq r$. Note that for such an $r$, the three triangles $r E^{\prime}, r t E^{\prime}$, and $r t^{2} E^{\prime}$ are distinct (since they are nontrivial translates of a fundamental domain). Orient $e_{2}(r)$ in a way compatible with the $e_{1}$ (so edges go from cusps to midpoints). Then

$$
\partial e_{2}(r)=\sum_{n=0}^{2}\left(e_{1}\left(r t^{n}\right)-e_{1}\left(r t^{n} s\right)\right)
$$

We have now defined a complex $L$ that is a triangulation of $X(G)$. Let $C_{1}, Z_{1}$, and $B_{1}$ be the group of 1-chains, 1-cycles, and 1-boundaries of the complex $L$. Thus $C_{1}$ is the abelian group generated by the paths $e_{1}(r)$, the subgroup $Z_{1}$ is the kernel of the map that sends $e_{1}(r)=\pi(r(\infty), r(0))$ to $\pi(r(0))-\pi((\infty))$, and $B_{1}$ is the subgroup of $Z_{1}$ generated by boundaries of 2-cycles.

Let $C, Z, B$ be as defined before the statement of the Theorem 3.2.2. We have $\mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z}) \cong Z_{1} / B_{1}$, and would like to prove that $Z / B \cong Z_{1} / B_{1}$.

Define a map $\varphi: C \rightarrow C_{1}$ by $(r) \mapsto e_{1}(r s)-e_{1}(r)$. The map $\varphi$ is well defined because if $r=r s$, then clearly $(r) \mapsto 0$, and $(r)+(r s)$ maps to $e_{1}(r s)-e_{1}(r)+$ $e_{1}(r)-e_{1}(r s)=0$. To see that $f$ is injective, suppose $\sum n_{r}(r) \neq 0$. Since in $C$ we have the relations $(r)=-(r s)$ and $(r)=0$ if $r s=r$, we may assume that $n_{r} n_{r s}=0$ for all $r$. We have

$$
\varphi\left(\sum n_{r}(r)\right)=\sum n_{r}\left(e_{1}(r s)-e_{1}(r)\right)
$$

If $n_{r} \neq 0$ then $r \neq r s$, so (3.2.2) implies that $e_{1}(r) \neq e_{1}(r s)$. If $n_{r} \neq 0$ and $n_{r^{\prime}} \neq 0$ with $r^{\prime} \neq r$, then $r \neq r s$ and $r^{\prime} \neq r^{\prime} s$, so $e_{1}(r), e_{1}(r s), e_{1}\left(r^{\prime}\right), e_{1}\left(r^{\prime} s\right)$ are all distinct. We conclude that $\sum n_{r}\left(e_{1}(r s)-e_{1}(r)\right) \neq 0$, which proves that $\varphi$ is injective.

Suppose $(r) \in C$. Then

$$
\varphi(r)+B_{1}=\psi(r)=\{r(0), r(\infty)\} \in \mathrm{H}_{1}(X(G), \mathbf{Z})=C_{1} / B_{1}
$$

since
$\varphi(r)=e_{1}(r s)-e_{1}(r)=\pi(r s(\infty), r s(i))-\pi(r(\infty), r(i))=\pi(r(0), r(i))-\pi(r(\infty), r(i))$
belongs to the homology class $\{r(0), r(\infty)\}$. Extending linearly, we have, for any $z \in C$, that $\varphi(z)+B_{1}=\psi(z)$.

The generators for $B_{1}$ are the boundaries of 2-cells $e_{2}(r)$. As we saw above, these have the form $\varphi(r)$ for all $r$ such that $r=r t$, and $\varphi(r)+\varphi(r t)+\varphi\left(r t^{2}\right)$ for the $r$ such that $r t \neq r$. Thus $B_{1}=\varphi(B) \subset \varphi(Z)$, so the map $\varphi$ induces an injection $Z / B \hookrightarrow Z_{1} / B_{1}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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