

# 582e: Lectures 4<sup>5</sup> Morphisms of Pairs

## §1. Morphisms of Pairs

$$(G, A) \longrightarrow (G', A')$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G' & \xrightarrow{f} & G \\ A' & \xleftarrow{g} & A \end{array}$$

such that  $g(f(s')).a = s', g(a)$   
for all  $s' \in G'$ ,  $a \in A$

induces:

$$H^q(G, A) \longrightarrow H^q(G', A') \quad \text{for all } q.$$

Why:  $f: G' \rightarrow G$  induces a morphism of the resolutions  
of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\text{Mod}_G$  and  $\text{Mod}_{G'}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[G \times G'] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[G'] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[G \times G] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[G] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Hence get morphism of corresponding complexes (in other direction).

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdots \xrightarrow[G]{\quad} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[G], A) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[G \times G], A) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow \\ \cdots \xrightarrow[G]{\quad} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[G'], A') \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[G' \times G], A') \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

$\boxed{(g \circ \varphi \circ f : \mathbb{Z}[G'] \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\varphi} A \xrightarrow{g} A')}$

Morphism of pairs  $(G, A) \rightarrow (G', A')$

induces morphism on cohomology  $H^q(G, A) \rightarrow H^q(G', A')$ .

Useful!!!

## §2. Shapiro's Lemma:

2010-01-11

582e

w. Stein

(2)

### Special case:

$G' \trianglelefteq G$  subgroup

$A'$  —  $G'$ -module.

$$A = \text{Hom}_{G'}(\mathbb{Z}[G], A')$$

coinduction to make  
a left  $G$ -module from  $A'$ .

action:  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow A'$

$s \in G$

then  $s.\varphi : t \mapsto \varphi(ts)$

$\varphi(ts)$

NOT  $\varphi(t\bar{s})$

For fixed  $s$ ,  $\psi_s : \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G]$  given by  $t \mapsto ts^{-1}$  is a left  $G$ -module morphism.  
 $\varphi \circ \psi_s$  would thus give a right  $G$ -action since it is precomp., so is a left action.

Shapiro's Lemma: For all  $q \geq 0$ ,

$$H^q(G, A) \cong H^q(G', A').$$

Proof:  $L^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  free  $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -resolution,

$\Rightarrow L^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  also free  $\mathbb{Z}[G']$ -resolution, since  $G'$  is a subgroup.

We have

$$\text{Hom}_G(L^i, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{G'}(L^i, A')$$

$$\text{Hom}_G(L^i, \text{Hom}_{G'}(\mathbb{Z}[G], A'))$$

$$b \mapsto (s \mapsto \varphi(b s^{-1}))$$

$$b \mapsto \varphi(b)(1) \in A'$$

$$s.b \mapsto \varphi(s.b)(1) \\ = s(\varphi(b))(1)$$

Conclusion: Same complexes  
so same cohomology.

Note:  
Very important in  
making Eichler Shimura  
explicit and computable...

### §3: Inf and Res.

$H \hookrightarrow G$   
 $H \leq G$  subgroup

$A - G\text{-module}$

Morphism of Pairs:  $(G, A) \longrightarrow (H, A)$

$H \hookrightarrow G$   
 $A \xleftarrow{\text{id}} A$

induces:  $H^q(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_H} H^q(H, A).$

"Restriction homomorphism".

E.g.: in terms of 1-cocycles

$$H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}_H} H^1(H, A)$$

$$[f] \longmapsto [f|_H] \quad \text{literally "restriction".}$$

$$f: G \rightarrow A$$

$$f(st) = f(s) + s f(t)$$

Next suppose  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . Get  $(G/H, A^H) \longrightarrow (G, A)$

$G \rightarrow G/H$   
 $\downarrow \quad \downarrow$   
 $A \hookrightarrow A^H$

Induces the inflation homomorphism:

$$H^q(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^q(G, A).$$

Theorem (for later):

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1(H, A)^{G/H}$$

$$\hookrightarrow H^2(G/H, A^H)$$

is exact.

Full Proof uses spectral sequences.

## Lecture 5:

### § 4 Inner Automorphisms:

Errata: action on co-induced module.

2010-01-11	(4)
582e	Stein

Suppose  $t \in G$

$s \mapsto tst^{-1}$  inner automorphism of  $G$

$tst^{-1} \leftarrow$  is

Morphism of pairs:  $(G, A) \longrightarrow (G, A)$

$G \leftarrow G$

$\downarrow$   
 $a \mapsto t^{-1}a$

$A \longrightarrow A$

Get  $H^q(G, A) \longrightarrow H^q(G, A)$ .

i.e. a natural action of  $G$  on  $H^q(G, A)$ !

$\downarrow st^{-1}a$

Prop: This action is trivial, i.e. map  $t \in G$  induces identity map on  $H^q(G, A)$ .

Proof: Use dimension shifting.

$$\underline{q=0}: \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G], A) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G], A) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G], A)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \varphi: \mathbb{Z}[G] \rightarrow A \\ \text{with } \varphi \in \text{ker}(d) \\ \text{so } \varphi(1) \in A^G \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{so } (g \circ \varphi \circ f)(1) \\ &= g(\varphi(1)t^{-1}) \\ &= t^{-1}\varphi(1)t^{-1} = t^{-1}(\varphi(1)) \\ &= (\varphi(1)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \downarrow$$

$$\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G], A) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G], A) \xrightarrow{d} \text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{Z}[G], A)$$

$$g \circ \varphi \circ f$$

$$\begin{array}{c} f: G \rightarrow G \\ f(s) = tst^{-1} \end{array}$$

since  $\varphi(1)$   
is  $G$ -invariant.

$$\begin{array}{c} g: A \rightarrow A \\ g(a) = t^{-1}(a) \end{array}$$

so map is id for  $q=0$ .

$q > 0$ :  $0 \rightarrow A \longrightarrow A^* \longrightarrow A' \rightarrow 0$  with  $A^*$  co-induced.

$$H^q(G, A) \cong H^{q-1}(G, A')$$

$$\text{and } H^1(G, A) \cong \text{coker}(H^0(G, A^*) \rightarrow H^0(G, A'))$$

|| functorial.

By induction,  $H^{q-1}(G, A') \cong H^{q-1}(G, A')$  . . . ,

by conj. by  $t$ , so prop follows.

## §5. An application of inner automorphisms.

$\mathbb{k}$  field of char  $\neq 2$ .

$G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})$ ,  $A = \mathbb{k}^n$  equipped with natural  $G$ -action

Prop:  $H^g(G, A) = 0$  for all  $g$ . // Finally, an example!

Proof: Let  $t = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in G$ . Then

We proved above that  $(G, A) \rightarrow (G, A)$

induced by conj by  $t$  induces the identity map:

$$H^g(G, A) \rightarrow H^g(G, A).$$

But in fact  $t$  induces mult. by  $-1$  on  $A$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s = t^{-1}t & \xleftarrow{\quad t \quad} & \\ G & \longleftarrow & G \\ | & & | \\ A & \longrightarrow & A \\ a & \longmapsto & t^{-1}a = -a \end{array} \quad H^g(G, A) \xrightarrow{-1} H^g(G, A)$$

Conclusion: On  $\mathbb{k}$ -vector space,  $H^g(G, A)$ , we have  $-1 = 1$ ,  
so  $H^g(G, A) = 0$  for all  $g$ .  $\square$

Remark: In the proof we can replace  $G$  by any subgroup  
of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})$  that contains a nonidentity scalar  $t$ .

Application Elliptic Curves.

$$H^g(\mathrm{Gal}(K(E[p])/K), E[p]) = 0 \quad \forall g$$

Whenever  $\bar{\rho}_{E,p}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E[p])$  -

§6. The Restriction-Inflation Sequence

Prop:  $H \trianglelefteq G$  normal subgroup  
 $A$   $G$ -module

Then get exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1(H, A)$$

Proof: Direct check on 1-cocycles, following book (Atiyah & Wall).

Exactness at  $H^1(G/H, A^H)$ , i.e. injectivity of inf.

$$H^1(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G, A)$$

$$[f] \rightsquigarrow [\bar{f}] \quad \text{where}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & A \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \\ & G/H & \xrightarrow{f} A^H \end{array}$$

$[\bar{f}] = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{f}$  is a coboundary

$\Rightarrow a \in A$  with  $\bar{f}(s) = sa - a$ , all  $s \in G$ .

$\bar{f}$  is constant on each coset of  $H$  in  $G$ , so

$$\bar{f}(s) = \bar{f}(st), \quad \text{all } t \in H$$

$$\begin{matrix} \parallel & \parallel \\ sa-a & sta-a \end{matrix} \Rightarrow sa = sta \Rightarrow a = ta \Rightarrow a \in A^H. \quad (\text{all } t \in H).$$

Thus  $f$  is also a coboundary (attached to  $a \in A^H$ ), hence  $[f] = 0$ .

Res  $\circ$  Inf = 0 :  $H^1(G/H, A^H) \rightarrow H^1(G, A) \rightarrow H^1(H, A)$

i.e.  $\text{im}(\text{inf}) \subseteq \ker(\text{res})$

$$[f] \xrightarrow{\psi} [\bar{f}] \xrightarrow{} [\bar{f}|_H].$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(1,1) &= f(1) + 1 \cdot f(1) \\ &= \bar{f}(1) + f(1) \\ &\Rightarrow f(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

But  $\bar{f}$  constant on cosets of  $H$ , so  $\bar{f}|_H(t) = \bar{f}|_H(1) = 0$ .

continued

Exactness at  $H^1(G, A)$ ; i.e.  $\ker(\text{res}) \subseteq \text{im}(\text{inf})$

2010-01-15

582e | w Stein

7

$$H^1(G, A) \longrightarrow H^1(H, A)$$

$$[f] \longmapsto [0]$$

$f: G \rightarrow A$ ,  $\exists a \in A$  s.t.  $f(t) = t \cdot a - a$  all  $t \in H$ .

$$[f] = [f - \varphi_a] \quad \text{where } \varphi_a(s) = s \cdot a - a, \forall s \in G.$$

So may assume  $f|_H = 0$ .

If  $t \in H$ , then

$$f(st) = f(s) + s \cdot \varphi_t(t) = f(s) \quad (\text{so constant on cosets})$$

so  $f$  defines  $\tilde{f}: G/H \rightarrow A$ , function.

If  $s \in H, t \in G$ , then

$$f(t) = f(st) = 0 + s \cdot f(t) \Rightarrow f(t) \in A^H.$$

$\uparrow$   
since constant  
on cosets

$$\text{So } \tilde{f}: G/H \rightarrow A^H, \text{ so } [\tilde{f}] \longmapsto [f]. \quad \square$$

Prop: Let  $q \geq 1$ .

Suppose  $H^i(H, A) = 0$  all  $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ .

Then  $0 \rightarrow H^q(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^q(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^q(H, A)$  is exact.

Proof: Dimension shifting. See book.

Next: Cohomology Sets, i.e. case when  $A$  is nonabelian.

See pg's 123-126 of Serre's "Local Fields".