On Fermat's Last Theorem

by Hagop Taminian

Harvard University

"And perhaps, posterity will thank me for having shown it that the ancients did not know everything." - Pierre de Fermat (1601-1665)

Introduction

 $x^n + y^n \neq z^n$ for an integer n > 2 with $x, y, z \neq 0$

In this paper, I present a proof of Fermat's Last Theorem for n=3, n=4 and a special case of the theorem when x=y for general n. I also provide proofs for the irrationality of e and π .

Section 1

In this section, I present Fermat's proof of the case n=4 using his infinite descent argument.

Lemma 1. If $x^4 + y^4 = z^2$ has integer solutions where $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then there exists $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $a^4 + b^4 = c^2$ with c < z.

Definition 2. A fundamental Pythagorean triple is a triple (x,y,z) with $x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ and x,y, and z are coprime.

We rewrite $x^4 + y^4 = z^2$ as

 $(x^2)^2 + (y^2)^2 = z^2 \qquad \dots (1)$

Assume that x, y, z are coprime. Since all numbers are either even or odd, $x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ or $x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Therefore, $x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. This means that no square can be equivalent to $2 \pmod{4}$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. Therefore, x and y cannot both be odd, since $(x^4 + y^4) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, which isn't a square. Obviously, x and y cannot both be even, since that would imply that z is even, which would mean that x, y, z have at least one common factor - a contradiction to the assumption that x, y, z are coprime. Therefore, one of x and y must be even and the other odd.

Without loss of generality, let x be even and y be odd. Then, for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that m, n are coprime, we can write:

x = 2 mn

 $y = m^2 - n^2$ $z = m^2 + n^2$

(Note: this parameterization is well-known, and seeing why it is true is simple. Consider the equation

 $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 = \gamma^2$, with α, β, γ coprime and such that α is even.

It follows from above that β and γ are odd. We can rewrite this as:

$$\alpha^2 = \gamma^2 - \beta^2 = (\gamma - \beta)(\gamma + \beta)$$

Consider an odd prime p such that p is a factor of $(\gamma - \beta)$ but not a repeated factor. Then, $p|\alpha^2$ and hence $p|\alpha$. Hence, p is a repeated factor of α^2 , which means that it is a repeated factor of $(\gamma - \beta)(\gamma + \beta)$. Therefore, p must also divide $(\gamma + \beta)$. This means that p divides all of α , $(\gamma - \beta)$, $(\gamma + \beta)$, and hence, must divide α , 2γ , 2β . But since p is an odd prime, then p also divides α , β and γ , and there is a contradiction since it was assumed that a, β, γ are coprime. Hence, every factor of $(\gamma - \beta)$ and $(\gamma + \beta)$ other than 2 must be repeated. Note that each of α , $(\gamma - \beta)$ and $(\gamma + \beta)$ are even. Obviously, α^2 must have an even number of factors of 2. If $(\gamma - \beta)$ and $(\gamma + \beta)$ also had an even number of factors of 2, then it would follow that α , β and γ have a common factor - a contradiction. Hence, we can write

$$\gamma - \beta = 2\upsilon^2$$
 and

 $\gamma + \beta = 2\sigma^2$ for some $\upsilon, \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Adding these two equations gives $\gamma = v^2 + \sigma^2$, and subtracting would give $\beta = \sigma^2 - v^2$. It would follow by substituting for β and γ that $\alpha = 2v\sigma$.)

Going back to equation (1), we see that (x^2, y^2, z) is a Pythagorean triple. There are two cases to consider now: one with x, y and z having a common prime factor, and one with x, y and z having no common factor.

Consider the first case. In other words, let p be a prime number such that x, y, and z have a common factor p. Then, we can write that the following is also a valid solution for our equation:

$$(x/p)^4 + (y/p)^4 = (z/p^2)^2$$

(Note: this is true since the multiple of any pythagorean triple is also a pythogorean triple itself)

Hence, we have found a new Pythogrean triple $(x/p, y/p, z/p^2)$ such that $z/p^2 < z$.

Now, consider the case where x, y, z are coprime. This means, by definition 2, that if $x^4 + y^4 = z^2$ has solutions, then (x^2, y^2, z) is a fundamental Pythogrean triple. Recall that for m,n coprime such that m,n $\in \mathbb{Z}^+$, without loss of generality,

$x^2 = 2mn$	$\dots(2)$
$y^2 = m^2 - n^2$	(3)
$z = m^2 + n^2$	(4)

Rewrite equation (3) as

 $y^2 + n^2 = m^2$. Since m and n are coprime, then there must exist a fundamental Pythagorean triple (y,n,m) satisfying this equation. Since y^2 is odd, then y is odd, and n^2 must be even. If n^2 is even, then n is also even. Hence, once again, we can write for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and r, s coprime:

$$n = 2rs$$
 ...(5)
 $b = r^2 - s^2$...(6)

$$m = r^2 + s^2 \qquad \dots (7)$$

Note that if the product of two coprime positive integers is a perfect square, then each is a perfect square individually.

Consider $m \cdot n/2$

 $m \cdot n/2 = 2 \text{mn} \cdot 1/4 = x^2/4 = (x/2)^2$

Hence, the product of m and n/2 is a square, which means that m and n/2 are each a perfect square too.

In a similar way, rs = 2rs/2 = n/2, which we just showed is a perfect square

Finally, let $r = a^2$

 $s = b^2$

 $m = c^2$

Then, from equation (7),

 $c^2 = a^4 + b^4$

Obviously, c < z since $z = m^2 + n^2$ (from equation (4)), which means that $z = c^4 + n^2$, which means that $c^4 < z$, which implies that c < z.

Hence, the lemma is proved, which means that we can have an infinite sequence of decreasing integers, which is clearly impossible.

Section 2

Euler was the first to make a substantial attempt to prove the case of Fermat's Last Theorem for n=3. His proof, however, was incomplete, and his work lead to Kummer's theory of ideals. I will consider Euler's proof of the theorem for n=3 in this section in reference to L.J. Mordell's paper "Three Lectures on Fermat's Last Theorem".

 $x^3 + y^3 = z^3$, with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and x, y, z coprime ...(8)

Two of x, y, z must be odd, since if all three are even there is a common factor between them. Since x, y, z are all integers, they can take positive or negative values. Therefore, it is immaterial which two of x, y, z are odd. So assume without loss of generality that x, y are odd and z is even.

Since x, y are odd, then their difference and sums are even. This means we can write that

 $x + y = 2p, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$x - y = 2q, q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Adding these two equations gives x = p + q and y = p - q. Substituting these values in equation 8,

$$\begin{split} &(p+q)^3 + (p-q)^3 = z^3 \\ \Rightarrow &(p^3 + 3p^2q + 3q^2p + q^3) + (p^3 - 3p^2q + 3q^2p - q^3) = z^3 \\ \Rightarrow &2p^3 + 6q^2p = z^3 \\ \Rightarrow &2p(p^2 + 3q^2) = z^3 \qquad \dots (9) \end{split}$$

Note that p and q are coprime. If p and q are both odd, then their difference is even and their sum is even, which means that x and y would be even - a contradiction since it is assumed that x and y are coprime. Also, p cannot be odd and q even. This can be seen by considering modulo arguments: first, note that any cube is equivalent to 0, 1, or 3 (mod 4). If p is odd, then $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. This implies that $2p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and that $p^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If q is even, then $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. This means that $q^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and oviously, that $3q^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. So, from equation (9), this would imply that $z^3 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, which is impossible. So p cannot be odd and q even. Finally, this means that p is even and q is odd. This means that $(p^2 + 3q^2)$ is odd.

Now, since p and q are coprime, the terms 2p and $(p^2 + 3q^2)$ are either coprime or have a common factor of 3. I shall only consider the first case, since both cases involve the same approach:

If 2p and $p^2 + 3q^2$ are coprime, then each must be a perfect cube in order for z^3 to be a perfect cube. Hence, we write

 $p^2 + 3q^2 = m^3$...(10)

These values for p, q and m can be found by taking

$$m = r^2 + 3s^2$$
, with $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ (11)

and then

$$p + q\sqrt{-3} = (r + s\sqrt{-3})^3$$

Expanding,

$$p + q\sqrt{-3} = r^3 + 3r^2s\sqrt{-3} - 9s^2r - 3s^3\sqrt{-3}$$

Equating real and imaginary parts,

$$p = r^3 - 9s^2r, \text{ and} \qquad \dots (12)$$

$$q = 3r^2s - 3s^3 = 3s(r^2 - s^2) = 3s(r - s)(r + s) \quad \dots (13)$$

(Note: finding solutions satisfying equations similar to equation (10) lead to the theory of ideals.)

In equation (13), q is odd, which implies that r is even and s is odd. If r and s are coprime, not both odd, and $3 \nmid r$, then p and q are coprime and $3 \nmid p$. Since 2p is a cube, then 2r(r+3s)(r-3s) is a perfect cube. Since $3 \nmid r$, then 2r, r+3s, r-3s must be coprime. In order for both these conditions to hold, then 2r, r+3s, r-3s are each a cube. Hence, we write

$$r + 3s = a^3, r - 3s = b^3, 2r = c^3$$
 ...(14)

Going back to equations (9), (12) and (13):

$$\begin{split} &z^3 = 2p(p^2 + 3q^2) \\ &= 2(r^3 - 9s^2r)((r^3 - 9s^2r)^2 + 3(3r^2s - 3s^3)^2) \\ &= 2r(r^2 - 9s^2)(r^6 - 18s^2r^4 + 81s^4r^2 + 3(9r^4s^2 - 18r^2s^4 + 9s^4) \\ &= 2r(r - 3s)(r + 3s)(r^6 + 9r^4s^2 + 27r^2s^4 + 27s^4) \\ &= 2r(r - 3s)(r + 3s)((r^2 + 3s^2)(r^4 + 6s^2r^2 + 9s^4) \\ &= 2r(r - 3s)(r + 3s)((r^2 + 3s^2)(r^2 + 3s^2)(r^2 + 3s^2)) \\ &= a^3 \cdot b^3 \cdot c^3 \cdot (r^2 + 3s^2)^3 \end{split}$$

Taking the cubic root,

$$z = a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot (r^2 + 3s^2) \qquad \dots (15)$$

From equations (14), we can get

$$\begin{split} &2r = a^3 + b^3 \Rightarrow r = (a^3 + b^3)/2 \Rightarrow r^2 = (a^6 + 2a^3b^3 + b^6)/4 \\ &6s = a^3 - b^3 \Rightarrow s = (a^3 - b^3)/6 \Rightarrow s^2 = (a^6 - 2a^3b^3 + b^6)/36 \\ &\text{Substituting in (15),} \\ &z = a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot ((a^6 + 2a^3b^3 + b^6)/4 + (a^6 - 2a^3b^3 + b^6)/12) \\ &\Rightarrow z = (1/3)a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot (a^6 + a^3b^3 + b^6) \end{split}$$

Since $a, b \neq 1, z > c$. Now, using an infinite descent argument not unsimilar to that in the case for n = 4, we can find an infinite sequence of continually decreasing integers, which is impossible.

Section 3

In this section, I provide a proof for a special case of Fermat's Last Theorem where x = y. First, let us consider proving the irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$, since the proof that follows makes use of similar ideas:

Definition 3. An irrational number is a number that cannot be expressed as a fraction p/q, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \neq 0$.

Assume that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. That is, assume that

 $\sqrt{2} = p/q$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0$, and p and q are coprime.

Squaring, we get

$$2 = p^2/q^2 \Rightarrow 2q^2 = p^2$$

Observe that the L.H.S is even, which means that p^2 is even too. Since p^2 is even, it follows that p is even. Hence, p = 2a, for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting,

$$2q^2 = (2a)^2 \Rightarrow 2q^2 = 4a^2 \Rightarrow q^2 = 2a^2$$

Observe that the R.H.S is even, which means that q^2 is even. Since q^2 is even, it follows that q is even. Therefore, p and q must have at least one common factor, and there is a contradiction.

Going back to

 $x^n + y^n = z^n, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$, and x, y, z coprime

We consider the case when x = y. We substitute in the above equation to get

$$2x^n = z^n \qquad \dots (16)$$

This means that z^n is even, which means that z is even. Therefore, we can write

z = 2m for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Substituting in equation 16, we get

$$2x^n = (2m)^n = 2^n m^n$$
$$\Rightarrow x^n = 2^{n-1} m^n$$

This implies that x^n is even, which means that x, too, is even. Since both x and z are even, then they must have at least one common factor, which contradicts the assumption that they are coprime.

Section 4

In this section, I provide a simple proof for the irrationality of e.

Recall the Taylor series expansion for $e^x = 1 + x + x^2/2! + x^3/3! + \ldots + x^n/n! + x^{n+1} \cdot e^k/(n+1)!$ where 0 < k < x.

Theorem. The number e is irrational.

Proof. Assume that e is rational. That is, assume

$$e = p/q$$
, for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0$

Consider the taylor series expansion for x = 1:

$$e = p/q = 1 + 1 + 1/2! + 1/3! + \dots + 1/n! + e^k/(n+1)!$$
, where $0 < k < 1$ (17)

Take $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \ge q$ and multiply (17) by n!,

$$n!e = n!p/q = n! + n! + n!/2! + n!/3! + \dots + 1 + e^k/(n+1)$$
 ...(18)

Observe that in (18), (n! + n! + n!/2! + n!/3! + ... + 1) is an integer.

Consider the term $e^k/(n+1)$. Since $n \ge q$ and $q \ne 1$, then $n \ge 2$. Hence,

 $0 < e^k/(n+1) < e^k/e < 1$ for 0 < k < 1

 $\Rightarrow 0 < e^k/(n+1) < 1$

Since every term on the R.H.S in equation 18 is an integer except $e^k/(n+1)$, then the R.H.S is definitely not an integer.

Consider the L.H.S,

 $n!p/q = n(n-1)(n-2)...\cdot p/q$

Since $n \ge q$, q will cancel one of the n(n-1)(n-2)... terms, which means that the L.H.S is definitely an integer. Hence, there is a contradiction.

Section 5

In this section I outline Niven's proof of the irrationality of π .

Theorem. The number π is irrational.

Proof. Assume that π is rational. That is, assume

 $\pi = p/q$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

Define two functions f(x) and F(x) by

$$f(x) = x^n (p - qx)^n / n!$$

$$F(x)=f(x)-f^{(2)}(x)+f^{(4)}(x)-\ldots+(-1)^nf^{2n}(x)$$

Notice that when x = 0 or $x = \pi$, f(x) and its derivatives are integers. This implies that F(0) and $F(\pi)$ are integers too. Consider now

$$d/dx(F'(x)\sin x - F(x)\cos x) = (F''(x) + F(x))\sin x = f(x)\sin x,$$

which implies

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} f(x) \sin x \cdot dx = F(\pi) - F(0) \qquad \dots (19)$$

is an integer. But, for $0 < x < \pi$ and sufficiently large n, we have

$$0 < f(x)\sin x < \pi^n \cdot a^n/n! < 1/\pi,$$

so that

$$0 < \int_0^\pi \ f(x) \sin x \cdot dx < 1$$

Which contradicts the equation (19) being an equation in integers.