

# Lecture 13: Quadratic Reciprocity II

William Stein

Math 124 HARVARD UNIVERSITY Fall 2001

IN-CLASS MIDTERM THIS WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 17!

Monday's lecture will be a review lecture; Grigor's review session is on Monday at 4pm; I will have an extra office hour in SC 515, Tuesday, 2:35–3:30.

## 1 Recall Gauss's Lemma

We proved the following lemma in the previous lecture.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a$  an integer with  $p \nmid a$ . Form the numbers  $a, 2a, 3a, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}a$  and reduce them modulo  $p$  to lie in the interval  $(-\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2})$ . Let  $\nu$  be the number of negative numbers in the resulting set. Then  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^\nu$ .*

## 2 Euler's Conjecture

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$\#((a, b) \cap \mathbb{Z}) \equiv \#((a, b + 2n) \cap \mathbb{Z}) \equiv \#((a + 2n, b) \cap \mathbb{Z}) \pmod{2}.$$

*Proof.* If  $n > 0$ , then

$$(a, b + 2n) = (a, b) \cup [b, b + 2n),$$

where the union is disjoint. Let  $[x]$  denote the least integer  $\geq x$ . There are  $2n$  integers,

$$[b], [b] + 1, \dots, [b] + 2n - 1,$$

in the interval  $[b, b + 2n)$ , so the assertion of the lemma is true in this case. We also have

$$(a, b - 2n) = (a, b) \setminus [b - 2n, b)$$

and  $[b - 2n, b)$  also contains exactly  $2n$  integers, so the lemma is also true when  $n$  is negative. The statement about  $\#((a + 2n, b) \cap \mathbb{Z})$  is proved in a similar manner.  $\square$

The following proposition was first conjectured by Euler, based on extensive numerical evidence. Once we've proved this proposition, it will be easy to deduce the quadratic reciprocity law.

**Proposition 2.2 (Euler's Conjecture).** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  a natural number with  $p \nmid a$ .*

1. The symbol  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  depends only on  $p$  modulo  $4a$ .

2. If  $q$  is a prime with  $q \equiv -p \pmod{4a}$ , then  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right)$ .

*Proof.* To apply Gauss's lemma, we have to compute the parity of the intersection of

$$S = \left\{ a, 2a, 3a, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}a \right\}$$

and

$$I = \left(\frac{1}{2}p, p\right) \cup \left(\frac{3}{2}p, 2p\right) \cup \dots \cup \left(\left(b - \frac{1}{2}\right)p, bp\right),$$

where  $b = \frac{1}{2}a$  or  $\frac{1}{2}(a-1)$ , whichever is an integer. (Why? We have to check that every element of  $S$  that reduces to something in the interval  $(-\frac{p}{2}, 0)$  lies in  $I$ . This is clear if  $b = \frac{1}{2}a < \frac{p-1}{2}a$ . If  $b = \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$ , then  $bp + \frac{p}{2} > \frac{p-1}{2}a$ , so  $((b - \frac{1}{2})p, bp)$  is the last interval that could contain an element of  $S$  that reduces to  $(-\frac{p}{2}, 0)$ .) Also note that the integer endpoints of  $I$  are not in  $S$ , since those endpoints are divisible by  $p$ , but no element of  $S$  is divisible by  $p$ .

Dividing  $I$  through by  $a$ , we see that

$$\#(S \cap I) = \# \left( \mathbb{Z} \cap \frac{1}{a}I \right),$$

where

$$\frac{1}{a}I = \left( \left(\frac{p}{2a}, \frac{p}{a}\right) \cup \left(\frac{3p}{2a}, \frac{2p}{a}\right) \cup \dots \cup \left(\frac{(2b-1)p}{2a}, \frac{bp}{a}\right) \right).$$

Write  $p = 4ac + r$ , and let

$$J = \left( \left(\frac{r}{2a}, \frac{r}{a}\right) \cup \left(\frac{3r}{2a}, \frac{2r}{a}\right) \cup \dots \cup \left(\frac{(2b-1)r}{2a}, \frac{br}{a}\right) \right).$$

The only difference between  $I$  and  $J$  is that the endpoints of intervals are changed by addition of an even integer. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\nu = \# \left( \mathbb{Z} \cap \frac{1}{a}I \right) \equiv \#(\mathbb{Z} \cap J) \pmod{2}.$$

Thus  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^\nu$  depends only on  $r$ , i.e., only on  $p$  modulo  $4a$ . **WOW!**

If  $q \equiv -p \pmod{4a}$ , then the only change in the above computation is that  $r$  is replaced by  $4a - r$ . This changes  $\frac{1}{a}I$  into

$$K = \left( \left(2 - \frac{r}{2a}, 4 - \frac{r}{a}\right) \cup \left(6 - \frac{3r}{2a}, 8 - \frac{2r}{a}\right) \cup \dots \cup \left(4b - 2 - \frac{(2b-1)r}{2a}, 4b - \frac{br}{a}\right) \right).$$

Thus  $K$  is the same as  $-\frac{1}{a}I$ , except even integers have been added to the endpoints. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\#(K \cap \mathbb{Z}) \equiv \# \left( \left(\frac{1}{a}I\right) \cap \mathbb{Z} \right) \pmod{2},$$

so  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

The following more careful analysis in the special case when  $a = 2$  helps illustrate the proof of the above lemma, and is frequently useful in computations.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then*

$$\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8} \end{cases}.$$

*Proof.* When  $a = 2$ , the set  $S = \{a, 2a, \dots, 2 \cdot \frac{p-1}{2}\}$  is

$$\{2, 4, 6, \dots, p-1\}.$$

We must count the parity of the number of elements of  $S$  that lie in the interval  $I = (\frac{p}{2}, p)$ . Writing  $p = 8c + r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#(I \cap S) &= \# \left( \frac{1}{2}I \cap \mathbb{Z} \right) = \# \left( \left( \frac{p}{4}, \frac{p}{2} \right) \cap \mathbb{Z} \right) \\ &= \# \left( \left( 2c + \frac{r}{4}, 4c + \frac{r}{2} \right) \cap \mathbb{Z} \right) \equiv \# \left( \left( \frac{r}{4}, \frac{r}{2} \right) \cap \mathbb{Z} \right) \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from Lemma 2.1. The possibilities for  $r$  are 1, 3, 5, 7. When  $r = 1$ , the cardinality is 0, when  $r = 3, 5$  it is 1, and when  $r = 7$  it is 2.  $\square$

### 3 The Quadratic Reciprocity Law

With the lemma in hand, it is straightforward to deduce the quadratic reciprocity law.

**Theorem 3.1 (Gauss).** *Suppose that  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct odd primes. Then*

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* First suppose that  $p \equiv q \pmod{4}$ . By swapping  $p$  and  $q$  if necessary, we may assume that  $p > q$ , and write  $p - q = 4a$ . Since  $p = 4a + q$ ,

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{4a + q}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{4a}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right),$$

and

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p - 4a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-4a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{a}{p}\right).$$

Proposition 2.2 implies that  $\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ , since  $p \equiv q \pmod{4a}$ . Thus

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}},$$

where the last equality is because  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  is even if and only if  $\frac{q-1}{2}$  is even.

Next suppose that  $p \not\equiv q \pmod{4}$ , so  $p \equiv -q \pmod{4}$ . Write  $p + q = 4a$ . We have

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{4a - q}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{4a - p}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right).$$

Since  $p \equiv -q \pmod{4a}$ , Proposition 2.2 implies that  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$ . Since  $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \cdot \frac{q-1}{2}} = 1$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3.1 Examples

*Example 3.2.* Is 6 a square modulo 389? We have

$$\left(\frac{6}{389}\right) = \left(\frac{2 \cdot 3}{389}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{389}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{3}{389}\right) = (-1) \cdot (-1) = 1.$$

Here, we found that  $\left(\frac{2}{389}\right) = -1$  using Proposition 2.3 and that  $389 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . We found  $\left(\frac{3}{389}\right)$  as follows:

$$\left(\frac{3}{389}\right) = \left(\frac{389}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -1.$$

Thus 6 is a square modulo 389.

Annoyingly, though we know that 6 is a square modulo 389, we still don't know an  $x$  such that  $x^2 \equiv 6 \pmod{389}$ !

```
? for(a=1,388,if(Mod(a,389)^2==6,print1(a, " ")))  
28 361
```

*Example 3.3.* Is 3 a square modulo  $p = 726377359$ ? We proved that the answer is “no” in the previous lecture by computing  $3^{p-1} \pmod{p}$ . It's easier to prove that the answer is no using Theorem 3.1:

$$\left(\frac{3}{726377359}\right) = (-1)^{1 \cdot \frac{726377358}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{726377359}{3}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = -1.$$

## 4 Some Homework Hints

Spend time studying for the midterm in addition to doing the homework. To point you in the right direction on the homework problems, here are some hints.

- (1) Use the quadratic reciprocity law, just like in the above examples.
- (2) Use the quadratic reciprocity law.
- (3) Relate the statement for  $n = 3$  to the statement for  $n > 3$ .
- (4) Write down an element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z})^*$  that looks like it might have order  $p$ , and prove that it does. Recall that if  $a, b$  have orders  $n, m$ , with  $\gcd(n, m) = 1$ , then  $ab$  has order  $nm$ .
- (5)
- (6)
- (7) Replace  $\sum \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  by  $\sum \left(\frac{ab}{p}\right)$  and use that  $\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$ .
- (8) Write a little program.