Enumerating mod *p* eigenforms

Craig Citro (joint with Alex Ghitza)

October 31, 2008

Outline

1 Modular forms for the uninitiated

- 2 Modular forms for the initiated
- 3 Modular forms mod p
- 4 Galois representations
- 5 Finiteness Theorems and Results

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のへぐ

6 Practice

Goal

Enumerate all systems of eigenvalues coming from mod p modular forms.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = つへぐ

Current Status

We can currently do this for level 1.

Outline

1 Modular forms for the uninitiated

- 2 Modular forms for the initiated
- 3 Modular forms mod *p*
- 4 Galois representations
- 5 Finiteness Theorems and Results
- 6 Practice



A modular form is a holomorphic function on the upper half plane \mathfrak{H} that satisfies a strange-looking symmetry condition and a growth condition. For any modular form f, we have the following invariants:

- a weight, which is a positive integer (and is "usually" even), always denoted *k*
- \blacksquare a level, which is a positive integer, always denoted N
- a Fourier expansion, or *q*-expansion, which is a power series expansion for *f* in $q = e^{2\pi i z}$ of the form

$$f(z)=\sum_{n\geq 0}a_nq^n.$$

If $a_0 = 0$, we call f a **cusp form**. Given integers N and k, we write $M_k(N)$ for the modular forms with weight k and level N, and $S_k(N)$ for the space of cusp forms.

Fact

For any positive integers k and N, $M_k(N)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} .

Fact

A modular form over \mathbb{C} of level N is determined by its q-expansion.

Fact

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} with conductor N, and write $L(s, E) = \sum_n a_n n^{-s}$ for its Hasse-Weil L-function. Then $\sum_n a_n q^n$ is a cusp form in $S_2(N)$.

Fact

There is a commuting family of operators on $M_k(N)$ and $S_k(N)$ called **Hecke** operators, one for each integer n, which we denote T_n or $T_{n,k}$. We write \mathcal{H} or \mathcal{H}_N for the algebra $\mathbb{Z}[T_n|(N, n) = 1]$. Much of the arithmetic theory of modular forms is centered around these operators, and in particular, the forms which are simultaneous eigenvectors for all these operators.

For completeness, I'll also write down a definition. Let $\Gamma_0(N)$ be the subgroup of SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) (that is, the 2 × 2 matrices with integer entries and determinant 1) whose lower-left entry is divisible by *N*. Then a **modular form of weight** *k* **and level** $\Gamma_0(N)$ is a holomorphic function $f : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

•
$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z)$$
 for all $z \in \mathfrak{H}$, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$.

■ The sequence of coefficients {*a_n*} in the *q*-expansion of *f* is *O*(*n'*) for some *r*.



1 Modular forms for the uninitiated

2 Modular forms for the initiated

3 Modular forms mod *p*

- 4 Galois representations
- 5 Finiteness Theorems and Results

6 Practice

- $M_k(\Gamma) =$ modular forms of weight k, level Γ over \mathbb{C}
- $S_k(\Gamma) = \text{cuspidal subspace of } M_k(\Gamma)$
- $M_k(\Gamma, R)$ = modular forms in $M_k(\Gamma)$ whose Fourier expansions have coefficients in R



1 Modular forms for the uninitiated

2 Modular forms for the initiated

3 Modular forms mod p

- 4 Galois representations
- 5 Finiteness Theorems and Results

6 Practice

- ▲ロト ▲聞ト ▲国ト ▲国ト 三回 - うんぐ

We now want to study modular forms mod p. However, our definition of a modular form as a holomorphic function is too analytic to be helpful here. However, we noted that a modular form is determined by its q-expansion, which we **can** think about trying to reduce mod p, at least when the coefficients are in \mathbb{Z} (or more generally in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, i.e. those rational numbers whose denominator is coprime to p).

Fact

Given any k and N, there exist forms $f_1, \ldots, f_d \in M_k(N, \mathbb{Z})$ whose \mathbb{C} -span is $M_k(N, \mathbb{C})$.

Then we have natural maps

$$M_k(N,\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[q]] \to \mathbb{F}_p[[q]].$$

We now define the space of **mod** p **modular forms** to be the \mathbb{F}_p -span of the image of this composite map. We abuse notation and denote this $M_k(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$, or (even worse) $M_k(N)$ when the context is clear.

Of course, this definition is completely ad-hoc, and raises several questions:

- Is every element of $M_k(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$ the reduction mod p of an element of $M_k(N, \mathbb{Z})$?
- **2** Does the space get larger if we add in the reductions of elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$?
- **3** What kind of bases for $M_k(N, \mathbb{Z})$ generate all of $M_k(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$?
- 4 What relationship do dim_C $M_k(N, \mathbb{C})$ and dim_{F_p} $M_k(N, \mathbb{F}_p)$ have?

Of course, there are better definitions. First, one can take the \mathbb{F}_p -span of the entire space $M_k(N, \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. The "best" choice is to have a completely geometric definition, with modular forms global sections of a certain line bundle on a modular curve, which is the solution of a certain moduli problem. In this setting, the geometric theory of mod p and p-adic modular forms is due to Katz. In some cases (i.e. when N or p is less than 5), one has to be careful with the geometric definition, but it's possible to get all the details squared away.

Many things are fairly similar to the characteristic 0 theory. In particular, you still have q-expansions (which is how we defined them, but the q-expansion map still makes sense with the geometric definition), and Hecke operators. We write

$$\mathscr{H} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{T}_{\ell} \mid \ell \not| \mathsf{Np}]$$

for the Hecke algebra acting on mod p modular forms of level N. (One should really think of \mathcal{H} as its own object, and we're just talking about its image in $End(M_k(N, \mathbb{F}_p))$.) One also has a notion of weight and level, as the notation suggests.

However, things are not all as they seem ...

Consider the Eisenstein series E_{p-1} , normalized to have constant coefficient 1. Then the Fourier expansion is given by

$$E_{p-1}(z) = 1 - rac{2(p-1)}{B_{p-1}} \sum_{n \ge 1} \sigma_{p-2}(n) q^n.$$

However, the theorem of Kummer and von Staudt says that B_{p-1} has denominator divisible by p. Thus the reduction of this form mod p has q-expansion 1.

That is, we have a form of weight other than 0 whose q-expansion is just 1. (For the geometrically inclined, this form is simply the mod p reduction of the Hasse invariant.) We'll also denote this form A.

So this means that we can't identify a modular form of a given level simply by looking at its q-expansion: we need to know something about its weight. But don't despair! In fact, the existence of A makes so many new things possible.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のへぐ

Let $M(N) = \bigoplus_k M_k(N)$ denote the ring of mod p modular forms.

As with the case of modular forms in characteristic 0, for any given space $M_k(N)$, we have a *q*-expansion map

$$q: M_k(N) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[q]].$$

However, we know that forms of different weights different weights can have the same q expansion. That is, the map

$$q: M(N)
ightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[[q]]$$

is **not** an injection. However, all is not lost: one knows that the kernel of the above map is given by A - 1, where A is the mod p reduction of the Hasse invariant, which is a modular form of weight p - 1. In particular, if two forms have the same q-expansion, then we know that their weights are congruent mod p - 1.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We said that the central objects for number theorists are the **eigenforms**, i.e. simultaneous eigenvectors for all the Hecke operators. Then a simultaneous eigenform f for \mathscr{H} gives rise to a system of eigenvalues for each T_{ℓ} , which we'll call a **mod** p **eigensystem** for short. (We should probably include N in the notation, but we're currently focused on level 1, so we won't here.) This is the same as a homomorphism

$$\lambda_f: \mathscr{H} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}.$$

We'll also write M(N) for the ring of all mod p modular forms of level N, i.e. $M(N) = \bigoplus_k M_k(N)$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

One also has an operator

$$\theta: M_k(N) \to M_{k+p+1}(N),$$

whose effect on q-expansions is just $q \cdot d/dq$, and satisfies the relation

$$T_{\ell}(\theta f) = \ell \theta T_{\ell}(f).$$

In particular, if f is an eigenform with eigenvalues $\{a_\ell\}$, then θf is an eigenform with eigenvalues $\{\ell a_\ell\}$.

Outline

1 Modular forms for the uninitiated

- 2 Modular forms for the initiated
- 3 Modular forms mod *p*

4 Galois representations

5 Finiteness Theorems and Results

6 Practice

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへぐ

There is another interesting interpretation for these mod p eigensystems. Let

$$\rho: \mathsf{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathsf{GL}(2, \overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$$

be an odd continuous galois representation of conductor *N*. (**Odd** just means that det($\rho(c)$) = -1 for any complex conjugation *c*.) Then ρ is determined (at least up to semisimplification) by the collection of $\{a_\ell\}_{\ell \neq \rho}$, where

 $a_{\ell} = \operatorname{tr}(\rho(\operatorname{Frob}_{\ell})).$

Serre's Conjecture

Any system of $\{a_{\ell}\}$ coming from such a representation in fact arises from a mod p modular form of level N and some (predictable) weight k.

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q A

This is now a Theorem of Khare, Wintenberger, Kisin and others.

In particular, the θ operator has a meaningful interpretation in terms of galois representations. Write ε_p for the mod p cyclotomic character, i.e. the character

$$\varepsilon_p: \mathsf{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{F}_p^{ imes}$$

given by

$$\sigma(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^{\varepsilon_p(\sigma)},$$

where ζ_p is a primitive *p*-th root of unity. Then one knows that $\varepsilon_p(\operatorname{Frob}_{\ell}) = \ell \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ for all $(\ell, p) = 1$. So if *f* is a mod *p* eigenform with associated mod *p* galois representation ρ_f , we have

$$\rho_{\theta f} = \rho_f \otimes \varepsilon_p.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Outline

1 Modular forms for the uninitiated

2 Modular forms for the initiated

3 Modular forms mod *p*

4 Galois representations

5 Finiteness Theorems and Results

6 Practice

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへぐ

First, we need to know that it's possible to enumerate **all** eigensystems for a given N:

Theorem (Serre, Tate, Jochnowitz)

There are only finitely many eigensystems arising from M(N). In particular, all eigensystems occur in weight at most p + 1 up to twist.

In particular, by applying θ to the space of weight 1 forms, it suffices to look in weights up to p + 2 to find all eigensystems.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Now one needs a way of comparing two eigensystems in a finite amount of time:

Theorem (Sturm)

Let
$$f,\,g\in M_k(N).$$
 If $a_n(f)=a_n(g)$ for all $n\leq k[\Gamma(1):\Gamma_0(N)]/12.$ Then $f=g.$

We call Sturm(k) the bound occurring in this theorem for weight k.

Theorem (C., Ghitza)

Let $f \in M_{k_1}(1)$, $g \in M_{k_2}(1)$. Say that $k_1 \equiv k_2 \mod p - 1$, and let k' be the larger of the residues of k_1 and $k_2 \mod p + 1$. If $a_n(f) = a_n(g)$ for $n \leq \text{Sturm}(k' + p + 1)$, then f = g.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Outline

1 Modular forms for the uninitiated

- 2 Modular forms for the initiated
- 3 Modular forms mod *p*
- 4 Galois representations
- 5 Finiteness Theorems and Results

6 Practice

|▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ | 亘||||の��

We currently have two implementations for enumerating mod p eigensystems, via completely different means:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ のへぐ

- using modular symbols
- working with q-expansions

(Both use Sage.)

This works by creating each space of mod p modular symbols, and simply computing the decomposition of the space and asking for the eigenvalues. Pros:

- Works for all levels
- Easier to write

Cons:

- Vastly slower
- Mod p modular symbols are more subtle than in the case of characteristic zero.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

The second implementation simply computes a basis of *q*-expansions for $M_k(SL(2,\mathbb{Z}))$ in characteristic 0 (specifically the Victor Miller basis), reduces mod *p*, and uses some clever linear algebra techniques to find eigenforms for the Hecke operators one at a time. Pros:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Quite fast
- Less subtle than mod p modular symbols
- Always does as little linear algebra as possible

Cons:

 \blacksquare Only currently works for level 1

Here's a live demo of the code running ...

◆□ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ > ○ < ○</p>

Any questions?

