Torsion Points on Elliptic Curves

Torsion Points on Elliptic Curves over Quartic Fields

William Stein

(this is joint work with Sheldon Kamienny)

University of Washington May 2010



Motivating Problem

Let K be a number field.

Theorem (Mordell-Weil): If E is an elliptic curve over K, then E(K) is a finitely generated abelian group.

Thus $E(K)_{\text{tor}}$ is a finite group.

Problem: Which finite abelian groups $E(K)_{\text{tor}}$ occur, as we vary

over all elliptic curves E/K?

Observation: $E(K)_{\text{tor}}$ is a finite subgroup of \mathbb{C}/Λ , so $E(K)_{\text{tor}}$ is cyclic or a product of two cyclic groups.

An Old Conjecture

Conjecture (Levi around 1908; re-made by Ogg in 1960s):

When $K = \mathbf{Q}$, the groups $E(\mathbf{Q})_{\mathrm{tor}}$, as we vary over all E/\mathbf{Q} , are the following 15 groups:

$${f Z}/m{f Z}$$
 for $m\leq 10$ or $m=12$ $({f Z}/2{f Z}) imes ({f Z}/2v{f Z})$ for $v\leq 4$.

Note:

- 1. This is really a conjecture about **rational points on** certain **curves of** (possibly) **higher genus**
- 2. Or, it's a conjecture in **arithmetic dynamics** about **periodic points**.

Modular Curves

The modular curves $Y_0(N)$ and $Y_1(N)$:

• Let $Y_0(N)$ be the affine **modular curve** over ${\bf Q}$ whose points parameterize isomorphism classes of pairs (E,C), where $C\subset E$

is a cyclic subgroup of order N.

• Let $Y_1(N)$ be ... of pairs (E, P), where $P \in E(\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ is a *point* of order N.

Let $X_0(N)$ and $X_1(N)$ be the compactifications of the above affine curves.

Observation: There is an elliptic curve E/K with $p \mid \#E(K)$ if and only if $Y_1(p)(K)$ is nonempty.

Also, $Y_0(N)$ is a quotient of $Y_1(N)$, so if $Y_0(N)(K)$ is empty, then so is $Y_0(N)$.

Mazur's Theorem (1970s)

Theorem (Mazur) If $p \mid \#E(\mathbf{Q})_{tor}$ for some elliptic curve E/\mathbf{Q} , then $p \leq 13$.

Combined with previous work of Kubert and Ogg, one sees that Mazur's theorem implies Levi's conjecture, i.e., a complete classification of the finite groups $E(\mathbf{Q})_{tor}$.

Here are representative curves by the way (there are infinitely many for each j-invariant):

```
([6], y^{2} = x^{3} + 1)
([7], y^{2} + xy + y = x^{3} - x^{2} - 3x + 3)
([8], y^{2} + 7xy = x^{3} + 16x)
([9], y^{2} + xy + y = x^{3} - x^{2} - 14x + 29)
([10], y^{2} + xy = x^{3} - 45x + 81)
([12], y^{2} + xy + y = x^{3} - x^{2} - 122x + 1721)
([2, 2], y^{2} = x^{3} - 4x)
([4, 2], y^{2} + xy - 5y = x^{3} - 5x^{2})
([6, 2], y^{2} + 5xy - 6y = x^{3} - 3x^{2})
([8, 2], y^{2} + 17xy - 120y = x^{3} - 60x^{2})
```

Mazur's Method

Theorem (Mazur) If $p \mid \#E(\mathbf{Q})_{tor}$ for some elliptic curve E/\mathbf{Q} , then $p \leq 13$.

Basic idea of the proof:

- 1. Find a <u>rank zero quotient</u> A of $J_0(p)$ such that...
- 2. ... the induced map $f: X_0(p) \to A$ is a <u>formal immersion</u> at infinity (this means that the induced map on complete local rings is surjective, or equivalently, that the induced map on cotangent spaces is surjective).
- 3. Then consider the <u>point</u> $x \in Y_0(p)$ corresponding to a pair $(E, \langle P \rangle)$, where P has order p.
- 4. If E has <u>potentially good reduction</u> at 3, get contradiction by injecting p-torsion mod 3 since p > 13, so E has multiplicative reduction, hence we may assume x reduces to the cusp ∞ .
- 5. The image of x in $A(\mathbf{Q})$ is thus in the kernel of the reduction map mod 3. But this <u>kernel of reduction is a formal group</u>, hence torsion free. But $A(\mathbf{Q}) = A(\mathbf{Q})_{tor}$ is finite, so image of x is 0.
- 6. Use that f is a formal immersion at infinity along with step 5, to show that $x = \infty$, which is a contradiction since $x \in Y_0(p)$.

Mazur uses for A the *Eisenstein quotient* of $J_0(p)$ because he is able to prove -- way back in the 1970s! -- that this quotient has rank 0 by doing a p-descent. This is long before much was known toward the BSD conjecture. More recently one can:

. .

- Merel 1995: use the winding quotient of $J_0(p)$, which is the maximal analytic rank 0 quotient. This makes the arguments easier, and we know by Kolyvagin-Logachev et al. or by Kato that the winding quotient has rank 0. (For p = 67 they already differ, since 67a has trivial torsion and rank 0.)
- Parent 1999: use instead the winding quotient of $J_1(p)$, which leads to a similar argument as above. This quotient has rank 0 by Kato's theorem.

Kamienny-Mazur

A prime p is a **torsion prime for degree** d if there is a number field K of degree d and an elliptic curve E/K such that $p \mid \#E(K)_{\text{tor}}$

Let $S(d) = \{ \text{torsion primes for degree } \leq d \}$. For example, $S(1) = \{ 2, 3, 5, 7 \}$

Finding all possible torsion structure over all fields of degree $\leq d$ often involves determining S(d), then doing some additional work (which we won't go into). E.g.,

Theorem (Frey, Faltings): If S(d) is finite, then the set of groups $E(K)_{\mathrm{tor}}$, as E varies over all elliptic curves over all number fields K of degree $\leq d$, is finite.

Kamienny and Mazur: Replace $X_0(p)$ by the *symmetric* power $X_0(p)^{(d)}$ and gave an explicit criterion in terms of independence of Hecke operators for $f_d: X_0(p)^{(d)} \to J_0(p)$ to be a formal immersion at $(\infty, \infty, \dots, \infty)$ A point $y \in X_0(p)(K)$, where K has degree d, then defines a point $\tilde{y} \in X_0(p)^{(d)}(\mathbf{Q})$ etc.

Theorem (Kamienny and Mazur):

- $S(2) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$
- S(d) is finite for $d \le 8$,
- S(d) has density 0 for all d.

Abromovich soon proved that S(d) is finite for $d \leq 14$.

Corollary (Uniform Boundedness): There is a fixed constant B such

that if E/K is an elliptic curve over a number field of degree ≤ 8 , then $\#E(K)_{\mathrm{tor}} \leq B$.

(Very surprising!)

Torsion Structures over Quadratic Fields

Theorem (Kenku, Momose, Kamienny, Mazur): The complete list of subgroups that appear over quadratic fields is:

```
Z/mZ for m \le 16 or m = 18

(Z/2Z) \times (Z/2vZ) for v \le 6.

(Z/3Z) \times (Z/3vZ) for v = 1, 2

(Z/4Z) \times (Z/4vZ)
```

and each occurs for infinitely many j-invariants.

What is S(d)?

Kamienny, Mazur: "We expect that $\max(S(3)) \leq 19$, but it would simply be too embarrassing to parade the actual astronomical finite bound that our proof gives."

But soon, Merel in a *tour de force*, proves (by using the winding quotient and a deep modular symbols argument about independence of Hecke operators):

Theorem (Merel, 1996):
$$\max(S(d)) < d^{3d^2}$$
, for $d \ge 2$.

thus proving the full Universal Boundedness Conjecture, which is a huge result.

Shortly thereafter Oesterle modifies Merel's argument to get a much better upper bound:

Theorem (Oesterle): $\max(S(d)) < (3^{d/2} + 1)^2$.

```
for d in [1..10]:
    print '%2s%10s %s'%(d,
floor((3^(d/2)+1)^2), d^(3*d^2))
```

```
2
       16
            4096
3
       38
            7625597484987
4
            7922816251426433759354395033
      100
5
      275
26469779601696885595885078146238811314105987
6
      784
10973244131286950950144985197629484442993151
69310779664367616
7
      2281
16959454617563682698054005840792102521632243
85673187859182380929943992481270515110091434
8
      6724
24733040147310453406050252101964719003513134
72251065318671703164010612430449895976714260
09967546155101893167916606772148699136
     19964
76020337568296881795356121019273424347980062
2645084755838563839913304464000985751312679(
69252266341608361370939719058347391410024303
7236044960360057945209303129
10
     59536
```

Remark (Merel, personal communication, 2010-05-10)

- 1. The known bounds for S(d) are exponential in d. However, a polynomial bound on S(d) in d is expected. Therefore, one can not expect to computationally determine the exact list of torsion primes in degree for many more d's.
- 2. The bound is obtained by considering (essentially) two cases (according to the type of reduction modulo ℓ of your elliptic curve): in one case it is easily seen to be exponential in d, the hard case finally yields a bound which is polynomial in d (something like $O(d^8)$ in my paper, $O(d^6)$ after Oesterlé, I suspect one can lower it to $O(d^2)$). Unsatisfying!
- 3. If you want a bound depending on the field K (instead of just the degree of K), you can obtain a bound like O(size of the residue field of K of smallest order).

Parent's Kamienny Method: Nailing Down S(3)

By Oesterle, we know that $\max(S(3)) \leq 37$.

In 1999, **Parent** made Kamienny's method applied to $J_1(p)$ explicit and computable, and used this to bound S(3) explicitly, showing that $\max(S(3)) \leq 17$.

This makes crucial use of Kato's theorem toward the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture!

In subsequent work, Parent rules out 17 finally giving the answer:

$$S(3) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$$

The list of groups $E(K)_{tor}$ that occur for K cubic is still *unknown*. However, using the notion of *trigonality* of modular curves (having a degree 3 map to P^1), [Jeon, Kim, and Schweizer, 2004] showed that the groups that appear for infinitely many j-invariants are:

$$Z/mZ$$
 for m<=16, 18, 20 $Z/2Z \times Z/2vZ$ for v<=7

Remark: Parent also gave an explicit bound for the torsion of order powers of prime numbers in his thesis...

What about Degree 4?

By Oesterle, we know that $\max(S(4)) \leq 97$.

Recently, Jeon, Kim, and Park (2006), again used gonality (and big computations with Singular), to show that the groups that appear for infinitely many j-invariants for curves over quartic fields are:

Question: Is $S(4) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}$?

Explicit Kamienny-Parent for d=4

To attack the above unsolved problem about S(4), we made Parent's (1999) approach very explicit in case d=4 and $\ell=2$ (he gives a general criterion for any d...). One arrives that the following (where t is a certain explicitly computed element of the Hecke algebra). With $\ell=2, d=4$, we have $(1+\ell^{d/2})^2=25$.

Proposition 3.3. Let p > 25 be a prime and consider Hecke operators T_n in the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_{\Gamma_1(p)} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$ associated to $S_2(\Gamma_1(p); \mathbb{F}_2)$. Consider the following sequences of 4 elements of the Hecke algebra mod 2:

- 1. Partition 4=4: (t, tT_2, tT_3, tT_4)
- 2. Partition 4=1+3: $(t, t\langle d \rangle, t\langle d \rangle T_2, t\langle d \rangle T_3)$, for 1 < d < p/2.
- 3. Partition 4=2+2: $(t, tT_2, t\langle d \rangle, t\langle d \rangle T_2)$, for 1 < d < p/2.
- 4. Partition 4=1+1+2: $(t, t\langle d_1 \rangle, t\langle d_2 \rangle, t\langle d_2 \rangle T_2)$, for $1 < d_1 \neq d_2 < p/2$.
- 5. Partition 4=1+1+1+1: $(t, t\langle d_1 \rangle, t\langle d_2 \rangle, t\langle d_3 \rangle)$, for $1 < d_1 \neq d_2 \neq d_3 < p/2$.

If the entries in every single one of these sequences (for all choices of d_i) are linearly independent then there is no elliptic curve over a degree 4 number field with a rational point of order p.

NOTES:

- 1. This looks pretty crazy, but this is really just a way of expressing the condition that a certain map is a formal immersion.
- 2. As p gets large, there are a **LOT** of 4-tuples of elements of the Hecke algebra to test for independence mod 2.
- 3. Here is code that implements this algorithm: code.sage

Running the Algorithm

After a few *days* we find that the criterion is **not satisfied** for p = 29, 31, but it is for $37 \le p \le 97$.

Conclusion:

Theorem (Kamienny, Stein): $\max(S(4)) \leq 31$.

It's unclear to me, but Kamienny seems to also have a proof that rules out 29, 31, which would nearly answer the big question for degree 4.

Last 2-3 Days...

A complete solution!?!

Theorem (Kamienny, Stein Stoll): $S(4) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}$

Proofs uses that $\operatorname{rank}(J_1(p))=0$ for the above p, informed by calculations from [Conrad-Edixhoven-Stein] about the arithmetic of $J_1(p)$ for small p, so one can use much more direct geometric arguments. This also involves some large computations with Magma on explicit algebraic curves, e.g., Riemann-Roch spaces, enumerating and reducing divisors, etc., built on top of Florian Hess's function fields package. **Stoll:** "Finding the degree 4 points takes about 3 hours [...] The other problem is that Magma crashes once in a while when turning a point into a place. This will be fixed in the next release, but for now, one may have to try the actual checking a few times until it runs through."

Related Conjecture (Stein): $J_1(p)(\mathbf{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ is generated by differences of rational cusps.

(See extensive data about this conjecture in Conrad-Edixhoven-Stein.)

Future Work

- 1. Determine if $J_1(p)(\mathbf{Q})_{\mathrm{tor}}$ is cuspidal.
- 2. Make the algorithm for showing that $\max(S(4)) \leq 31$ much more efficient. Right now it takes way too long.

3. Repeat my calculations, but for d=5 and hope to replace the Oesterle bound of $\max(S(5)) \leq 271\,\mathrm{by}$

$$\max(S(5)) \le 43$$
 (or something close).

And then?

- 4. **Isogeny degrees** -- still an open problem even over *quadratic fields*!
 - \circ Cremona (a few minutes ago on Google Buzz): "I'm also very interested in the corresponding question for $X_0(\ell)$, so we know what the possible prime degrees of isogenies are for a given field (or degree). I had some interesting correspondence about this with Parent about 6 months ago; he says that is still wide open for quadratic fields! My student Kimi is implementing isogenies of degree 11, 17, 19 (the genus 1 cases) in Sage (work in progress). But to have a genuine isogeny_class() function over any non-Q number fields we need a bound." and
 - **Mazur** (email): "It would be also interesting if you could, say, rule out a few primes *p* occurring as *p*-isogenies over such fields (for non CM curves)?"

 $float((1+2^{(5/2)})^2)$

44.313708498984766

previous_prime(275)

271